Rab7: A Key to Lysosome Biogenesis
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The molecular machinery behind lysosome biogenesis and the maintenance of the perinuclear aggregate of late endocytic structures is not well understood. A likely candidate for being part of this machinery is the small GTPase Rab7, but it is unclear whether this protein is associated with lysosomes or plays any role in the regulation of the perinuclear lysosome compartment. Previously, Rab7 has mainly been implicated in transport from early to late endosomes. We have now used a new approach to analyze the role of Rab7: transient expression of Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (EGFP)–tagged Rab7 wt and mutant proteins in HeLa cells. EGFP-Rab7 wt was associated with late endocytic structures, mainly lysosomes, which aggregated and fused in the perinuclear region. The size of the individual lysosomes as well as the degree of perinuclear aggregation increased with the expression levels of EGFP-Rab7 wt and, more dramatically, the active EGFP-Rab7Q67L mutant. In contrast, upon expression of the dominant-negative mutants EGFP-Rab7T22N and EGFP-Rab7N125I, which localized mainly to the cytosol, the perinuclear lysosome aggregate disappeared and lysosomes, identified by colocalization of cathepsin D and lysosome-associated membrane protein–1, became dispersed throughout the cytoplasm, they were inaccessible to endocytosed molecules such as low-density lipoprotein, and their acidity was strongly reduced, as determined by decreased accumulation of the acidotropic probe LysoTracker Red. In contrast, early endosomes associated with Rab5 and the transferrin receptor, late endosomes enriched in the cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor, and the trans-Golgi network, identified by its enrichment in TGN-38, were unchanged. These data demonstrate for the first time that Rab7, controlling aggregation and fusion of late endocytic structures/lysosomes, is essential for maintenance of the perinuclear lysosome compartment.

INTRODUCTION

The “kiss-and-run” model for lysosome biogenesis proposes that maintenance of the lysosomal compartment depends on continuous fusions of late endocytic structures accompanied by fission events (Storrie and Desjardins, 1996). This model implies that, in addition to heterotypic fusions between late endosomes and lysosomes in the perinuclear region, there could also be continuous exchange within the lysosomal vesicle population (homotypic fusion). Indeed, evidence for fusions and bidirectional traffic of soluble material between lysosomes and late endosomes has been reported (Jahraus et al., 1994; Mullock et al., 1994; van Deurs et al., 1995; Futter et al., 1996; Storrie and Desjardins, 1996; Bright et al., 1997; Mullock et al., 1998).

Several different molecules are part of the machinery responsible for vesicle docking and fusion, Rab GTPases and SNAREs being among the best studied (Rothman and Warren, 1994; Denesvre and Malhotra, 1996; Pfeffer, 1996, 1999; Olkkonen and Stenmark, 1997; Mayer, 1999; Waters and Pfeffer, 1999). Rab proteins are important regulators of membrane traffic on the biosynthetic and endocytic pathways (Pfeffer, 1992; Zerial and Stenmark, 1993; Novick and Zerial, 1997; Olkkonen and Stenmark, 1997; Mayer, 1999; Waters and Pfeffer, 1999). Accumulated evidence suggests that Rab GTPases recruit tethering and docking factors to establish firm contact between the membranes to fuse, after which SNAREs become involved and...
complete the fusion process (Pfeffer, 1999). Several Rab proteins have been localized to the early sorting and recycling endosomal compartments (Rab4a, Rab5a, Rab5b, Rab5c, Rab11, Rab18, Rab22, and Rab25) (van der Sluijs et al., 1991; Lüthje et al., 1994; Bucci et al., 1995; Ullrich et al., 1996; Green et al., 1997; Casanova et al., 1999), whereas only two, Rab7 and Rab9, have been localized to late endosomes (Chavrier et al., 1990; Lombardi et al., 1993). Because Rab9 is also present in the trans-Golgi network (TGN) and controls transport from late endosomes to the TGN (Lombardi et al., 1993), the more likely candidate for being part of the molecular machinery responsible for the continuous fusion events between late endocytic structures and lysosomes is Rab7. This protein has previously been implicated in downstream endocytic traffic, particularly in transport from early to late endosomes (Chavrier et al., 1990; Feng et al., 1995; Méresse et al., 1995; Papini et al., 1997; Vitelli et al., 1997; Press et al., 1998). However, it is unclear whether this GTPase is associated with lysosomes and plays any role in the regulation of the perinuclear lysosome compartment.

In this study, we have expressed Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (EGFP)-tagged Rab7 wt as well as active and dominant-negative mutant proteins and analyzed their effect on the localization and other properties of late endocytic structures by confocal and electron microscopy. This approach has allowed us to demonstrate for the first time that Rab7 is a key regulatory protein for proper aggregation and fusion of late endocytic structures in the perinuclear region and consequently for the biogenesis and maintenance of the lysosomal compartment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmids**

The dog rab7 wt and mutant cDNAs used in this study have already been described elsewhere (Chavrier et al., 1990; Vitelli et al., 1997). Here, the wt and mutated cDNAs were subcloned into the pEGFP-C1 vector. This is a mammalian expression vector for fusing heterologous proteins to the C terminus of EGFP. This EGFP variant is human codon optimized, and it is mutated to produce a more intense fluorescence (Cormack, 1996; Yang et al., 1996). The wt and mutated cDNAs were rescued from pGEM-Myc–corresponding plasmids as NdeI–HindIII fragments (Vitelli et al., 1997), filled in with Klenow enzyme, and cloned into the Smal site of the pEGFP-C1 vector, as described (Maniatis et al., 1989). The myc-tagged rab7 wt and mutant cDNAs (Vitelli et al., 1997) were cloned into the pCDNA3 vector as 1400-base pair EcoRI fragments, as described (Maniatis et al., 1989), to obtain pCDNA3–myc-Rab7 constructs.

**Cell Culture and Transient Transfection**

All tissue culture reagents were from Gibco-BRL (Gaithersburg, MD). HeLa cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 10 μg/ml streptomycin in a 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C. The cells were transfected with either DOSPERR or DOTAP (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) or Lipofectamine (GIBCO-BRL) used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cells were incubated for 3 h with the transfection reagent, washed, and further incubated in medium for 12–48 h at 37°C. Cells were then processed for Western blot, GTP overlay, confocal immunofluorescence microscopy, or immunogold labeling electron microscopy. In some experiments, the cells were washed, trypanized, pelleted, and resuspended in PBS for sorting by a Facstar Plus cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) to examine the transfection level and efficiency and the cell size distribution. Alternatively, cells were fixed with 0.1% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, at room temperature immediately after trypsinization, followed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).

**Western Blot and GTP Overlay**

Transfected cells were lysed in standard SDS sample buffer, and 50 μg of total cell extracts was electrophoresed on 12% SDS–polyacrylamide gels. For immunoblotting, separated proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The filter was then blocked in 5% milk in PBS for 40 min at room temperature. Primary rabbit polyclonal anti-EGFP antibody (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) was next added at a 1:1000 dilution and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. The filters were washed and incubated with a secondary HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) at a 1:5000 dilution for 1 h at room temperature, and the bands were visualized with the use of the ECL system (Amersham). For GTP overlay, the gel was transferred to a nitrocellulose filter and incubated with [α-32P]GTP, as described previously (Bucci et al., 1992).

**Estimation of 125I-Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL) Degradation**

Cells were incubated for 18–24 h in medium supplemented with human lipoprotein-deficient serum before transfection. Transfected cells were allowed to internalize 125I-LDL that was added to the medium at a concentration of 20 μg/ml for 5 h, and the amount of 125I-LDL degraded was estimated as described (Brown and Goldstein, 1975). Briefly, to determine the amount of 125I-LDL degraded, the medium was collected and treated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA). To the TCA-soluble fraction, potassium iodide and hydroperoxide were added and subsequently extracted with chloroform to remove free iodine. An aliquot of the aqueous phase was counted in a γ-counter. This acid-soluble material is represented mainly by [125I]iodotyrosine, the product of LDL degradation.

**Confocal Immunofluorescence Microscopy**

Cells grown on eight-chamber glass slides (Nalge Nunc International) were fixed in 2% formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, followed by a PBS wash and blocking in NaBH4 for 30 min, and another PBS wash. The cells were then permeabilized in 5% normal goat serum plus 0.2% saponin for 30 min before primary antibodies were applied. In some experiments, cells were incubated at 37°C with Di-I-LDL (10 μg/ml), TRITC-ConA (5 μg/ml), or TRITC-EGF (40 μg/ml) (all from Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for various periods of time, TRITC-transferrin (40 μg/ml; Molecular Probes) for 30 min, or the fixable acidotropic probe LysoTracker Red DND-99 (100 nM; Molecular Probes) for 30 min and studied live in the confocal microscope or fixed and processed for further immunocytochemical labeling. The buffer for incubation was 20 mM HEPES (Sigma [St. Louis, MO] H-3375), 140 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 2H2O, 1 mg/ml BSA, and 1 mg/ml α–D-glucose monohydrate (Merek [Rahway, NJ] Art 8342), 10 mM KCl; 5 NaOH was added for adjustment to pH 7.5.

Unless indicated otherwise, the concentration of primary antibodies used was 1:100. The primary antibodies were rabbit anti-Rab5 serum (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), mouse monoclonal anti-lysosome-associated membrane protein (LAMP)-1 (H4A3), anti-LAMP-2 (H4B4), and anti-Myc (9E10) (the mAbs H4A3 and H4B4, developed by J.T. August and J.E.K. Hildreth, and the mAb 9E10, developed by J.M. Bishop, were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biological Sciences, Iowa City, under contract NO1-HD-7-3263 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development), mouse mAb against the human transferrin receptor (Boehringer Mannheim), rabbit anti-human ca-
the psin D serum (DAKO, Carpen ter, CA), goat anti-Rab7 serum (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and rabbit anti-GFP serum (Clontech). Rabbit polyclonal anti-human Lamp-1 (Carlsson et al., 1998) (diluted 1:500) was a kind gift of Drs. S. Carlsson (Umeå University) and M. Fukuda (La Jolla Cancer Research Foundation), rabbit anti-human cation-independent man nose 6-phosphate receptor (CI-MPR) was a kind gift of Drs. B. Hofflack (Institut Pasteur de Lille) and K. von Figura (Georg August Universitatis), rabbit anti-Rab7 serum was a kind gift of Dr. J. Gruenberg (University of Geneva), and rabbit anti-TGN-38 serum was a kind gift of Dr. M. McNiven (Mayo Clinic).

The secondary antibodies were Alexa 488 or Alexa 568–conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies (Molecular Probes) (1:300) or Cy5 anti-mouse, anti-rabbit, or anti-goat antibodies (Amer sham) (1:500).

The cells were viewed with a Zeiss (Thornwood, NY) LSM 510 confocal microscope equipped with LSM 510 version 2.02 software and Ar/Kr (458 and 488 nm) and 2× He/Ne (543 and 633 nm) lasers. The lenses used were C-apochromat 40× 1.2 W corr, C-apochromat 63× 1.2 W corr, or Plan apochromat 100×/1.4 Oil Iris lers. Live recordings were made with cells on glass coverslips mounted at the bottom of a 6-cm Petri dish with a 4-cm (diameter) hole. The specimens were mounted in a Zeiss Tem control 37-2 at 37°C during the recordings.

Image series recorded with the confocal microscope were exported as single-image files in the PSD format (Photoshop, Adobe, Mountain View, CA). Arrows were then added on some images. This was followed by export of the images to GIF Movie Gear version 2.61 (G amani Productions, Kirkland, WA). In this software package, the single images were combined into a movie that was exported to the avi movie format.

**Immunogold-labeling Electron Microscopy**

Cells grown in T25 flasks were washed in PBS and fixed in the culture flask for 1 h at room temperature with 0.1% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2. After a wash, the culture flasks were cut open and the cells were scraped off the plastic. Cells were sedimented for 30 min at room temperature, pelleted at 8000 rpm for 1 min, washed in PBS, and then embedded in 7.5% gelatin in PBS for 30 min at 37°C. After cooling on ice and trimming, cell pellets were infused twice for 30 min each with 2.1 and 2.3 M sucrose, respectively, mounted on aluminum stubs, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Ultrathin sections were cut with the use of a Reichert Ultracut S microtome (Leica, Glostrup, Denmark), collected with 2.3 M sucrose, and mounted on Formvar-coated copper or nickel grids.

Detection of EGFP was performed with polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (Clontech) (1:50–1:100), CI-MPR with the above-mentioned polyclonal anti-CI-MPR antibody (1:100), and Lamp-1 with the above-mentioned polyclonal anti-Lamp-1 antibody (1:1000) followed by protein A–gold. Protein A–gold (5-, 10-, and 15-nm gold; diluted 1:50–1:100 depending on the batch) was purchased from Dr. G. Posthuma (Utrecht University, Department of Cell Biology, Utrecht, The Netherlands). Sections were analyzed in a JEOL (Tokyo, Japan) 100 CX or Philips (Eindhoven, The Netherlands) 100 CM electron microscope.

**RESULTS**

**Expression of EGFP-Rab7 Fusion Proteins in HeLa Cells**

Figure 1A shows a Western blot of lysates from transfected cells in which EGFP was detected by a polyclonal anti-EGFP antibody. When the cells were transfected with pEGFP, a band of ~30 kDa was visible. Importantly, when the cells were transfected with the different pEGFP-Rab7 constructs, the analysis revealed only one band of ~60 kDa, showing that the EGFP signal detected in the transfected cells represented the intact EGFP-Rab7 fusion proteins. The expression level after 24 h of transfection was high and largely comparable for all of the different constructs (Figure 1A). Furthermore, GTP-binding blots made on the same lysates showed that, as expected, only EGFP-Rab7 wt and EGFP-Rab7Q67L dominant-negative mutants, and the active Rab7Q67L mutant. After 24 h of transfection, cells were lysed and 50 μg of total cell lysate was loaded for SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred onto nitrocellulose filters. Incubation was performed with polyclonal anti-EGFP antibody followed by HRP-coupled anti-rabbit antibody. Bands were detected with the use of the ECL system. (B) GTP overlay on the same lysates. The expression levels of the fusion proteins are largely comparable, and only the Rab7 wt and the active Rab7Q67L mutant are able to bind GTP efficiently.

![Figure 1](image-url). Characterization of the EGFP-Rab7 fusion proteins. (A) Western blot analysis of lysates of HeLa cells transfected with pEGFP or pEGFP encoding Rab7 wt, the Rab7T22N and Rab7N125I dominant-negative mutants, and the active Rab7Q67L mutant. After 24 h of transfection, cells were lysed and 50 μg of total cell lysate was loaded for SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred onto nitrocellulose filters. Incubation was performed with polyclonal anti-EGFP antibody followed by HRP-coupled anti-rabbit antibody. Bands were detected with the use of the ECL system. (B) GTP overlay on the same lysates. The expression levels of the fusion proteins are largely comparable, and only the Rab7 wt and the active Rab7Q67L mutant are able to bind GTP efficiently.
slightly larger than the nontransfected cells, presumably as a consequence of the transfection procedure. We also checked by confocal microscopy whether the EGFP-Rab7 wt fusion protein maintained the correct localization. In cells with moderate expression levels of EGFP-Rab7 wt, EGFP colocalized with an antibody against endogenous Rab7, further documenting that the EGFP signal obtained from the cells derives from the fusion protein and not from free EGFP and that the fusion construct was correctly localized. In addition, we double transfected HeLa cells with EGFP-Rab7 wt and mutant fusion protein constructs and the Myc-tagged, corresponding constructs. Colocalization of anti-Myc and anti-EGFP antibodies was obtained in the double-transfected cells, demonstrating that the EGFP tag did not change the localization of the Rab7 wt and mutant proteins. Furthermore, we performed LDL degradation experiments to compare the effect of untagged, Myc-tagged, and EGFP-tagged constructs. We found that whereas the active Rab7Q67L mutant did not change, or slightly increased, LDL degradation, expression of the dominant-negative mutants of Rab7 (T22N and N125I) inhibited LDL degradation by ~50% in all cases. Figure 3 compares the results obtained with the Myc-tagged versus the EGFP-tagged constructs. These results demonstrate that the EGFP tag used in the present study does not alter the functional properties of Rab7.

Localization of EGFP-Rab7 Fusion Proteins in Live HeLa Cells by Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy

Confocal imaging showed that in living HeLa cells transfected with EGFP-Rab7 wt, the EGFP signal was associated
with vesicles that were partly present throughout the cytoplasm and partly concentrated in the perinuclear region (Chavrier et al., 1990) (Figure 4, A and B). It was evident that the more peripheral, fluorescent vesicles often moved toward the perinuclear aggregate, whereas the perinuclear aggregates of fluorescent structures showed only little directional movement. Vesicle fusions were observed in this aggregate (Figure 4, A and B). The confocal images of cells expressing EGFP-Rab7 wt and active EGFP-Rab7Q67L presented in Figure 4, C, D, and F, and other figures were generated by adjusting the confocal planes and pinhole settings to show in particular the perinuclear aggregate, sometimes with apparent loss of the more peripheral structures as a result. In most cases, the EGFP signal from the active mutant was essentially similar to that obtained from the wt (Figure 4, C and D), but at very high expression levels, a remarkable ring-shaped EGFP signal predominated (Figure 4F). In contrast, expression of the dominant-negative mutants EGFP-Rab7T22N and EGFP-Rab7N125I caused a diffuse, widespread signal (Figure 4E). The appearance of EGFP-Rab7–labeled structures was not changed by fixation.

The Fluorescent Perinuclear Structures in Cells Expressing EGFP-Rab7 wt Are Mainly Lysosomes

Confocal analysis showed that the green fluorescent structures of the perinuclear aggregate in EGFP-Rab7 wt–expressing cells only partially colabeled for the late endosome marker CI-MPR (Figure 5, A–C) but colabeled to a high degree for the lysosome markers Lamp-1 (Figure 5, D–F), Lamp-2, and cathepsin D (Figure 5, G–I). CI-MPR and Lamp-1 showed some degree of overlapping localization in the EGFP-labeled cells, in agreement with previous immunogold labeling data (van Deurs et al., 1996). In contrast, the EGFP-Rab7 wt–labeled structures showed very little colocal-
ization with Rab5, the transferrin receptor, or internalized TRITC-transferrin, three markers of early endosomes. In cells transfected with pEGFP (Figure 1), markers of the endocytic pathway showed a distribution similar to that observed in EGFP-Rab7 wt–transfected cells.

The EGFP-Rab7 wt–labeled, Lamp- and cathepsin D–containing perinuclear compartment was localized on the endocytic pathway, because it could be loaded with DiI-LDL (Figure 6, A–C), TRITC-EGF, or TRITC-ConA after 2 h of incubation. Moreover, incubations of living cells with LysoTracker Red, a membrane-diffusible probe accumulating in acidic organelles (Wubbolts et al., 1996; Magez et al., 1997), revealed that the perinuclear structures associated with EGFP-Rab7 wt were acidic (Figure 6, D–I). Confocal imaging showed that the largest perinuclear structures had a distinct, acidic core surrounded by an outer ring of EGFP (Figure 6J). These findings allow us to conclude that EGFP-Rab7 wt–associated structures in the perinuclear aggregate are late endocytic organelles, predominantly lysosomes. Importantly, the degree of aggregation (compactness) of this Rab7–associated perinuclear aggregate of late endocytic organelles, as well as the diameter of the individual elements of the aggregate, increased with increasing degrees of EGFP-Rab7 wt overexpression.

The confocal findings were supplemented by electron microscopic analysis of ultracytosections in which the fusion protein was detected by the polyclonal anti-GFP antibody followed by protein A–gold. In this way, detection of endogenous Rab7 was avoided. Relatively little gold labeling for EGFP was found freely in the cytoplasm, i.e., not membrane associated. Moreover, although single vesicles or small vesicle aggregates were sometimes moderately EGFP labeled on the cytosolic face of the limiting membrane, by far the majority of EGFP labeling was associated with large vesicle aggregates (Figure 7). All structures with a high density of immunogold labeling for EGFP were colabeled for Lamp-1 and appeared as a mixture of typical multivesicular bodies and lysosome-like structures containing membranous vesicles, myelin figures, and other electron-dense material (Figure 7). It was characteristic that the denser the EGFP gold labeling of the late endocytic structures, the more these structures tended to aggregate, and also, the larger the size of the individual structures. Indeed, this feature could reflect various levels of transfection, but it was observed even in a single ultracytosection through a portion of one cell. A quantification of the relation between the density of EGFP gold labeling and the size of the individual late endocytic structures and the degree of aggregation revealed that in aggregates with more than six adjacent, Lamp-1–positive late endocytic structures with an average diameter of ~400 nm, the gold density was >12/μm of membrane. In contrast, in small aggregates with four or fewer Lamp-1–positive structures with diameters between 200 and 400 nm, the gold density was <3/μm of membrane.

Expression of the Active Mutant EGFP-Rab7Q67L Increases Perinuclear Aggregation and Fusion of Lysosomes

When the active Rab7 mutant–EGFP fusion protein (EGFP-Rab7Q67L) was expressed, the cellular localization of the green fluorescent organelles was basically identical to that seen after expression of EGFP-Rab7 wt, although often more accentuated, in particular at high expression levels (Figure 4, C and D). Moreover, after expression of EGFP-Rab7Q67L,
Figure 7. Immunogold labeling of cells expressing the EGFP-Rab7 wt. The panels show examples of large vesicular structures forming tightly packed aggregates. These structures appear as multivesicular bodies with numerous small, internal vesicles, or they have a more typical lysosome appearance with a dense content of membranous material. Note that all of these aggregated, late endosome/lysosome-like structures (Ly) are distinctly labeled for EGFP (10-nm gold; arrowheads) on the cytoplasmic surface of their outer membranes, as well as for Lamp-1 internally (15-nm gold, small arrows). Also note that very little cytosolic labeling for EGFP is seen. Bar, 250 nm.
the individual elements of the perinuclear lysosome aggregates tended to be very large, up to at least 2–3 μm, indicating that fusion of adjacent lysosomes in the aggregate had taken place. With the use of thin confocal sections, the green fluorescent signal obtained from the largest lysosomes in EGFP-Rab7Q67L–expressing cells appeared distinctly ring shaped, suggesting that the EGFP-active mutant fusion protein was specifically associated with the membrane of the lysosomes (Figure 4F). As for the EGFP-Rab7 wt, the EGFP-Rab7Q67L–associated structures were labeled for Lamp-1 and -2 and cathepsin D. They were acidic, as shown by their accumulation of LysoTracker Red, and they could be reached by fluorescent LDL, EGF, and ConA after 1 h of incubation.

Electron microscopic analysis of gold-labeled ultracryosections further documented the existence of very large perinuclear lysosome aggregates with EGFP–gold distinctly localized to the cytosolic surface of the limiting membrane of the individual lysosomes, as well as the remarkably large size of many of these lysosomes, in particular in cells with high expression levels (strong gold labeling).

Localization of EGFP-Rab7 Dominant-Negative Mutants in HeLa Cells

Confocal microscopy of living or fixed HeLa cells expressing the dominant-negative mutants EGFP-Rab7T22N and EGFP-Rab7N125I revealed an EGFP signal diffusely distributed in the cytosol without any apparent relation to vesicular compartments. An example of the EGFP-Rab7T22N distribution is shown in Figure 4E. In ultracryosections of cells expressing the dominant-negative mutants, the EGFP–gold labeling was not concentrated in the perinuclear region, as seen in wt- and active mutant–expressing cells, but was scattered throughout the cell and mostly (>90%) localized freely in the cytosol (Figure 8). Nonaggregated Lamp-1–labeled structures appearing as multivesicular bodies and smaller, Lamp-1–labeled vesicles were typically found everywhere in the cell, with relatively little EGFP–gold labeling of their membranes (Figure 8). The diameter of these dispersed Lamp-labeled vesicles was in the same order of magnitude (i.e., 200–400 nm) as that of the smaller vesicles of the perinuclear aggregates in cells expressing the Rab7 wt or active mutant.

Expression of Rab7 Dominant-Negative Mutants Causes Selective Dispersal of Perinuclear Lysosomes

Confocal microscopy showed that the distribution of the lysosomal markers Lamp-1, Lamp-2, and cathepsin D was dramatically changed in cells transfected with either Rab7 dominant-negative mutant. Indeed, the characteristic perinuclear Lamp- and cathepsin D–labeled lysosome aggregates had disappeared, and individual lysosomes were dispersed throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 9, A–D). These dispersed lysosomes clearly tended to be smaller than those in the perinuclear aggregates. In adjacent, nontransfected cells present in the same cultures, lysosomes appeared larger and showed the aggregated, perinuclear localization also found in cells from experiments with expression of the wt and active mutant Rab7-EGFP.

To further document that expression of the dominant-negative mutants did not cause a redistribution of lysosomal markers upstream to earlier endocytic compartments but a bona fide dispersal of lysosomes with their luminal (cathepsin D) and membrane-associated (Lamp) proteins, we first showed that Lamp-1 and cathepsin D colocalized to a high degree in these dispersed lysosomes (Figure 9, E and F). Second, we found no colocalization of Lamp-1 and cathepsin D with the early endosome markers TRITC-transferrin, the transferrin receptor, and Rab5 in the dominant-negative mutant-expressing cells (Figure 9, G and H).

In contrast, expression of the dominant-negative mutants had no appreciable effect on the localization of early endosomes labeled either for internalized TRITC-transferrin or immunocytochemically for the transferrin receptor or Rab5 (Figure 10, A and B). Confocal microscopy also demonstrated that expression of the dominant-negative mutants had no effect on the structure and localization of the TGN labeled by TGN-38 (Figure 10, C and D). Similarly, there was no clear effect on typical CI-MPR–enriched late endosomes. Thus, in double-labeling experiments, it was evident that in dominant-negative mutant-expressing cells Lamp-positive lysosomes were dispersed, whereas CI-MPR–enriched late endosomes remained in the perinuclear region (Figure 10, E and F). Therefore, we conclude that expression of the dominant-negative Rab7 mutants selectively affects lysosomes.

The Dispersed Lysosomes in Cells Expressing Rab7 Dominant-Negative Mutants Are Functionally Defective

We next tested whether the dispersed lysosomes in cells expressing the dominant-negative mutants were accessible to TRITC-transferrin, Dil-LDL, TRITC-EGF, and TRITC-ConA. As expected, in cells transfected with the Rab7 dominant-negative mutants, as well as in adjacent nontransfected control cells, fluorescent transferrin was not able to reach the Lamp- and cathepsin D–labeled lysosomes, whereas it did reach Rab5-positive early endosomes. Internalized Dil-LDL, TRITC-EGF, and TRITC-ConA reached the perinuclear CI-MPR–enriched late endosomes in cells expressing the dominant-negative mutants. Lamp- and cathepsin D–positive perinuclear structures, to the extent that some of these were still present, could also be reached by these ligands. However, the endocytic markers were not able to accumulate in the dispersed Lamp- and cathepsin-D–labeled lysosomes (Figure 11, A and B). These results demonstrate that the dispersed lysosomal compartment, generated by the expression of the Rab7 dominant-negative mutants, is not accessible to endocytic markers.

Moreover, the dispersed lysosomes in cells expressing the Rab7 dominant-negative mutants showed reduced acidity, as shown by the very weak fluorescent signal obtained with LysoTracker Red (Figure 11, C–H) compared with control cells or cells transfected with Rab7 wt or active mutant. The decrease in LysoTracker Red intensity in lysosomes was clearly related to the expression level of the dominant-negative mutants (Figure 11, G and H).

DISCUSSION

The interpretation of results obtained from studies with expression of various mutant proteins is often hampered by lack of a clear definition of an effect of a mutant protein on
Figure 8. Immunogold labeling of cells expressing the dominant-negative mutant EGFP-Rab7T22N. The panels show examples of sections that have been double labeled for EGFP (10-nm gold, arrowheads) and Lamp-1 (5-nm gold). Note that the labeling for EGFP is now mainly cytosolic and that the Lamp-positive late endosome/lysosome-like structures (Ly) are relatively small and nonaggregated. Nu, nucleus; Mi, mitochondria. Bar, 250 nm.
relevant structures and a precise correlation of this effect to a specific transfected cell and the expression pattern and level of the mutant protein in the particular cell. In this respect, the use of cells transfected with EGFP-tagged mutant proteins offers several advantages, as shown in this paper. Thus, (1) the transfected cells can be readily identified in the confocal microscope even at a low transfection level, and control (nontransfected) cells are always available for comparing the observed effect of wt or mutant protein (over) expression; (2) the expression pattern of the fusion protein

Figure 9. Expression of dominant-negative EGFP-Rab7T22N causes dispersal of lysosomes. In the confocal image pairs A-B and C-D of HeLa cells transfected with EGFP-Rab7T22N, the left panels show the staining for Lamp-1 (A) and cathepsin D (C) (red) and the right panels show the merged images with EGFP (green) to identify the transfected cells. Note that in the dominant-negative mutant-expressing cells, the perinuclear lysosome aggregate disappears and the lysosomes become dispersed. In the image pair E-F, immunofluorescence double labeling for Lamp-1 (green in E) and cathepsin D (red in E) has been performed. It is evident that Lamp-1 and cathepsin D colocalize to a high degree, even in the two dominant-negative mutant-expressing cells shown in the EGFP channel in F. G shows a merged image of Lamp-1 in dispersed lysosomes (red) and the early endosome marker Rab5 (green), and H represents the EGFP channel to document that the cell is expressing EGFP-Rab7T22N. It is obvious that Lamp-1 does not colocalize with Rab5. Note that the images in E-F and G-H are triple labelings; therefore, for practical reasons, the EGFP signal is shown in black and white in the right column. Bars, 20 μm.

Figure 10. The dispersal of lysosomes induced by expression of Rab7 dominant-negative mutants does not apply to other organelles. In the confocal image pairs A-B and C-D of HeLa cells expressing EGFP-Rab7T22N, the left panels show the transferrin receptor (A) and TGN-38 (C) in red and the right panels (B and D) show the merged images with EGFP (green) to identify the transfected cells. Note that early endosomes (A) and the TGN (C) are not influenced by expression of the Rab7 mutant. E shows a merged image of the CI-MPR (green) and Lamp-1 (red), whereas F shows the EGFP signal to identify the transfected cells. It is seen that although Lamp-1–containing lysosomes become dispersed in the transfected cells, CI-MPR–enriched late endosomes are still present in the perinuclear region (arrows), as in nontransfected cells. Note that the last image pair (E-F) is a triple labeling; therefore, for practical reasons, the EGFP signal is shown in black and white. Bars, 20 μm.
can be studied in live cells, and because the EGFP signal is stoichiometric, it directly reflects the expression level; (3) live transfected cells can be analyzed and sorted by FACS; (4) the fusion protein can be colocalized with other proteins in the confocal microscope, either “live on stage” or after fixation, after application of fluorescent probes (such as DiI-LDL or TRITC-transferrin or the fixable, acidomorph probe LysoTracker Red) or by immunofluorescence detection with the use of relevant antibodies, respectively; and (5) to obtain high structural resolution after fixation, the fusion protein can be precisely localized by electron microscopy with the use of an antibody against the EGFP tag, thus excluding interference from the endogenous protein.

Previous data obtained with dominant-negative (Rab7T22N and Rab7N125I) and active (Rab7Q67L) mutants have indicated that Rab7 is important in the regulation of late endocytic traffic (Feng et al., 1995; Méresse et al., 1995; Vitelli et al., 1997; Press et al., 1998). In particular, it has been suggested that Rab7 could regulate the transport from early to late endosomes (Feng et al., 1995; Press et al., 1998). Our results demonstrate for the first time that the Rab7 GTPase is essential for the maintenance of a functional lysosome compartment but not for the function of earlier endocytic compartments. Thus, we conclude that Rab7 is directly involved in the aggregation and fusion of late endocytic structures/lysosomes, because in the absence of functional Rab7 protein, the lysosomes become dispersed, whereas overexpression of the active form causes the formation of large endocytic structures that are densely packed in the perinuclear region. Indeed, we cannot, based on morphological data alone, exclude the possibility that expression of Rab7 wt and the active mutant, rather than stimulating fusion events, actually prevents fission. However, our conclusion is in agreement with a recent report documenting endosome fusion in living cells overexpressing GFP-Rab5 (Roberts et al., 1999). The effects observed with our various fusion proteins are highly specific, because there is a direct correlation between the expression level of the wt or mutant proteins and the strength of the effect and there is no effect on other compartments, such as typical early endosomes enriched in Rab5 and the transferrin receptor, late endosomes enriched in the CI-MPR, and the TGN enriched in TGN-38. This is in agreement with a recent study showing that direct fusion of late endosomes and lysosomes requires not only NSF and SNAPs but also a GTP-bound Rab protein, although the exact localization and function of such a lysosomal Rab GTPase was not clear (Mullock et al., 1998). Indeed, Rab7 could control both aggregation and subsequent fusion, although it appears most likely that the GTPase directly controls close contact and aggregation; as a result, fusion mediated by other proteins (SNAREs) is facilitated. Thus, before membrane fusion, tethering and docking factors are now assumed to be recruited to membranes by active (GTP-bound) Rab GTPases (Pfeffer, 1999). In the case of Rab5-controlled homotypic endosome fusion, these factors have been shown to be EEA1/rabaptin-5 (Christoforidis et al., 1999), and we speculate that similar factors are recruited by Rab7.

Lysosomes of most cells function principally in intracellular digestion and contain several enzymes, mainly acid hydrolases, which require a low intralysosomal pH generated by the vacuolar proton ATPase (Mellman et al., 1986;
Stevens and Forgac, 1997; Forgac, 1998). In cells expressing the Rab7 dominant-negative mutants, lysosome acidification was severely perturbed, presumably reflecting problems with the proton ATPase. Again, this effect was also directly correlated to the expression levels of the mutant proteins. This strong inhibitory effect of the dominant-negative mutants on the LysoTracker Red signal was similar to what was obtained with bafilomycin A1 (our unpublished results), which selectively inhibits the vacuolar proton ATPase (Clague et al., 1994; van Weert et al., 1995; van Deurs et al., 1996). It is unclear whether the proton pump is not properly functioning or whether the dispersed lysosomes do not have sufficient supplies of it. Little is known about the biogenesis and intracellular trafficking of the vacuolar proton pump (Mellman et al., 1986; Stevens and Forgac, 1997; Forgac, 1998). However, we tentatively speculate that maintenance of the normal low lysosomal pH depends on readily accessible supplies of the vacuolar proton ATPase from the TGN and/or after fusions of late endocytic structures with perinuclear lysosomes already enriched in the ATPase, a supply situation that seems to be severely impeded for the dispersed lysosomes in the Rab7 dominant-negative mutant-expressing cells.

Expression of Rab7 dominant-negative mutants impairs degradation of LDL and EGF (Papini et al., 1997; Vitelli et al., 1997). This perturbed degradation of endocytic markers could indicate either that LDL and EGF are not degraded in lysosomes or that these ligands do not reach lysosomes at all. Our results with LysoTracker Red indicate that LDL and EGF would not be properly degraded if present in lysosomes, because these organelles are no longer acidic. On the other hand, we also show that these endocytic markers were not transported to the dispersed Lamp- and cathepsin D–containing lysosomes, indicating that transport to these defective organelles was severely impaired. The inability of internalized molecules to reach the defective lysosomes is in agreement with previous studies showing that the proton ATPase is required for fusion with, and thus for delivery of internalized molecules to, lysosomes (van Weert et al., 1995; van Deurs et al., 1996).

It has been reported that expression of the Rab7N125I dominant-negative mutant in BHK cells caused a redistribution of late endosomal markers upstream of the endocytic pathway, because cathepsin D and CI-MPR were migrating together with early endosomal markers in gradients (Press et al., 1998). The authors concluded that transport from early to late endosomes was inhibited in cells expressing Rab7N125I. However, an alternative to the upstream movement of late endocytic markers is that expression of the dominant-negative mutants causes a bona fide dispersal of lysosomes, with their armament of marker proteins, as documented in the present study. The relatively small, dispersed lysosomes will have a different density that most likely will cause a changed distribution of markers in gradients.

According to the “kiss-and-run” model for biogenesis and maintenance of the lysosomal compartment, continuous heterotypic and homotypic fusion events between late endocytic compartments and preexisting lysosomes take place, balanced by fission events (Storrie and Desjardins, 1996). Our data are consistent with this model, and we can imagine the following scenario. At a certain stage along the endocytic pathway, downstream of the early sorting and recycling endosome compartments involved in trafficking between endosomes and the cell surface, endocytic structures start acquiring Rab7. The net direction of the movement of these Rab7-associated late endocytic structures is toward the perinuclear aggregate of already existing late endocytic structures/lysosomes. We find it tempting to speculate that active (GTP-bound) Rab7 might recruit molecular motor proteins such as dynein, kinesin, and/or myosin I (Hirokawa, 1998; Mermill et al., 1998) to facilitate efficient delivery to the perinuclear lysosome aggregate. Such Rab7-regulated movement of vesicles along the cytoskeleton seems very likely in the light of recent studies on Rab5 (Nielsen et al., 1999) and Rab6 (Echard et al., 1998; Chavrier and Goud, 1999). Moreover, Rab7 has to recruit effecter molecules responsible for tight membrane interactions and—directly or indirectly—for subsequent homotypic and heterotypic fusion of late endocytic structures, thereby providing a basis for the intermixing of membrane and contents. Membrane fusion activity, and thus addition of membrane to the perinuclear aggregate, will be counteracted by membrane fusions, leading to the budding of lysosomal vesicles that may leave the perinuclear aggregate to become temporarily dispersed after dissociation of Rab7 GTP. We further hypothesize that after some period of time, these dispersed lysosome-derived vesicles may again use Rab7 and, therefore, provided that this protein is available in its active (GTP-bound) form, return to the perinuclear aggregate to become reloaded with lysosomal enzymes and molecules to be degraded. These speculations should be tested experimentally in future studies. Furthermore, the isolation and functional characterization of Rab7-interacting proteins will be fundamental to understanding the molecular mechanism of action of this GTPase in the biogenesis of lysosomes.
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