The accessory protein negative factor (Nef) from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) is required for optimal viral infectivity and the progression to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Nef interacts with the endocytic machinery, resulting in the down-regulation of cluster of differentiation antigen 4 (CD4) and major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC I) molecules on the surface of infected cells. Mutations in the C-terminal flexible loop of Nef result in a lower rate of internalization by this viral protein. However, no loop-dependent binding of Nef to adaptor protein-2 (AP-2), which is the adaptor protein complex that is required for the internalization of proteins from the plasma membrane, could be demonstrated. In this study we investigated the relevance of different motifs in Nef from SIVmac239 for its internalization, CD4 down-regulation, binding to components of the trafficking machinery, and viral infectivity. Our data suggest that the binding of Nef to the catalytic subunit H of the vacuolar membrane ATPase (V-ATPase) facilitates its internalization. This binding depends on the integrity of the whole flexible loop. Subsequent studies on Nef mutant viruses revealed that the flexible loop is essential for optimal viral infectivity. Therefore, our data demonstrate how Nef contacts the endocytic machinery in the absence of its direct binding to AP-2 and suggest an important role for subunit H of the V-ATPase in viral infectivity.

INTRODUCTION

Negative factor (Nef) from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) was initially described as a “negative factor” for viral replication (Fisher et al., 1986). However, subsequent studies revealed Nef to be essential for high viral loads and the development of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (Kestler et al., 1991; Daniel et al., 1992; Deacon et al., 1995; Kirchhoff et al., 1995). Via endocytic motifs, Nef also interacts with intracellular trafficking pathways, resulting in its internalization from the plasma membrane (Foti et al., 1997; Mangasarian et al., 1997; Craig et al., 1998; Greenberg et al., 1998a). These tyrosine- or dileucine-based motifs are implicated in the binding to adaptor protein (AP) complexes whose function is to connect proteins to clathrin (Pearse and Robinson, 1990).

The tyrosine-based motif conforms to the amino acid sequence “YxxΦ” and the dileucine-based motif corresponds to the sequence “D/ExxLΦ” (Y, tyrosine; D, aspartic acid; E, glutamic acid; x, any amino acid; Φ, amino acid with bulky hydrophobic side chain, i.e., leucine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, methionine, or valine). AP complexes are heterotetramers consisting of two large subunits, a medium chain, and a small chain. Four different AP complexes are known to mediate protein transport between different subcellular compartments. AP-1, AP-3, and presumably also AP-4 are involved in vesicle-mediated protein transport from the trans-Golgi network (TGN) to endosomes and lysosomes (Pearse and Robinson, 1990; Dell’Angelica et al., 1997, 1999; Hirst et al., 1999). AP-2 is involved in the internalization of proteins from the plasma membrane and is found in clathrin-coated pits and vesicles, which mediate protein transport from the plasma membrane to early endosomes (Pearse and Robinson, 1990).
Known functions of Nef include cellular activation pathways, increased virion infectivity as well as internalization of cluster of differentiation antigen 4 (CD4) and major histocompatibility complex I (MHC I) determinants (Fishé et al., 1986; Kesler et al., 1991; Aiken et al., 1994; Baur et al., 1994; Sawai et al., 1994, 1996; Schwartz et al., 1996; Fackler et al., 1999, 2000). However, because motifs in Nef that are required for the internalization of CD4 (Aiken et al., 1994; Bresnahan et al., 1998; Craig et al., 1998; Greenberg et al., 1998a; Lu et al., 1998; Piguet et al., 1998) do not affect the sequestration of MHC I determinants, they are mechanistically different. Nef-mediated down-regulation of MHC I molecules depends on the ability of Nef to misroute their trafficking, resulting in their retrieval to the TGN (Schwartz et al., 1996; Greenberg et al., 1998b; Le Gall et al., 1998). Whereas the removal of MHC I determinants is thought to protect infected cells from lysis by cytotoxic T lymphocytes (Collins et al., 1996; Greenberg et al., 1998a), 1996; Greenberg et al., 1999), the internalization of CD4 might protect infected cells from superinfection (Benson et al., 1993) and prevent receptor interference during virus production (Lama et al., 1999; Ross et al., 1999).

A Nef-binding protein (Nef-binding protein-1 [NPB-1]) was identified as the catalytic subunit H (V1H) of the vacuolar membrane ATPase (V-ATPase) (Lu et al., 1998). Two acidic amino acid residues in the C-terminal flexible loop of Nef from HIV-1gpr were found to be essential for its binding to V1H. This mutant Nef protein was not only highly defective for its internalization but also lost its ability to down-regulate CD4 (Lu et al., 1998). These data suggested that the tyrosine- or dileucine-based motifs are not the only signals important for the internalization of Nef and CD4. Except for the tyrosine-based motifs that were found near the N terminus of Nef from SIV and HIV-2, the endocytic ability of all Nef proteins depends on the C-terminal flexible loop. However, although a weak interaction between Nef from HIV-1 and AP-2 had been suggested, most reports could not demonstrate its direct binding to AP-2, the AP complex that is required for the internalization of proteins from the plasma membrane (Greenberg et al., 1998a; Lock et al., 1998). Because AP-1 is not involved in this trafficking, the loop-dependent interaction between Nef and the β-subunit of AP-1 (Greenberg et al., 1998a) also could not account for the internalization of Nef. Thus, this study investigated how sequences in the flexible loop of Nef affect its internalization and whether mutations of these motifs contribute to viral infectivity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Generation of Constructs**

CD8-Nef hybrid proteins consisting of the extracellular and transmembrane portion of human CD8, a cytosolic portion representing the whole open reading frame of SIVmac239 Nef, and a C-terminal c-myc sequence were created as previously described (Baur et al., 1994; Sawai et al., 1996). Similarly, the CD8-V1H construct was generated by polymerase chain reaction cloning, by using the full-length V1H (previously named NBP-1) clone (Lu et al., 1998) as a template. Mutations in the nef gene were generated by using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, San Diego, CA) or the Transformer site-directed mutagenesis kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A construct expressing the α chain of the interleukin-2 receptor was kindly provided by Dr. Warner Greene (University of California, San Francisco, CA). The proviral constructs pVP-1 and pVP-2 were kindly provided by Dr. Paul Lucic (University of California, Davis, CA). To generate proviruses with mutations in the nef gene, the pEF-Nef vector was introduced into the packaging cell line 293-T. The resulting fragment spanned most of the open reading frame of Nef and included all different mutations. This fragment was then subcloned into the pVP-2 proviral vector, which was previously cut with the same enzymes. pVP-1 proviral construct (10 μg) containing the 5’ coding region of SIVmac239 was digested with SplI and ApaI, and 10 μg of the VP-2 proviral construct containing the 3’ coding region of SIVmac239 was digested with SplI and PstI. The larger fragments were gel purified and ligated overnight at 16°C by using T4-DNA-Ligase (New England Biolabs, Boston, MA). Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-Nef fusion proteins were generated by polymerase chain reaction-cloning of the respective SIVmac239 Nef, HIV-1NL4-3, or HIV-1str Nef cDNA into the BamHI/EcoRI site of the pGEX-2TK (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ) vector. The μ2 (AP-2) construct was kindly provided by M. Robinson, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England.

**Cell Culture and Transfections**

293-T, Jurkat, and CEMx174 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). The following reagent was obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, AIDS Program, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health: CMMT-CD4-LTR-β-Gal (sMAGI) from Dr. Julie Overbaugh (Chackerian et al., 1995). 293-T and sMAGI cells were grown in DMEM media containing 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin, and streptomycin in the presence of 0.5 mg/ml Geneticin (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) or 0.2 mg/ml Gentamicin (Life Technologies) and 0.1 mg/ml Hygromycin B (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN), respectively. Jurkat and CEMx174 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 media containing 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin, and streptomycin. Transfections of 293-T cells were performed with Lipofectamine (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions by using 1–5 μg (10–20 μg for kinetic assay) of total DNA. Transfections of Jurkat and CEMx174 cells were performed by electroporation by using 10 × 10⁶ cells and 15–30 μg of total DNA at a setting of 200 V, 950 μF.

**Steady-State Internalization Assays**

293-T and Jurkat cells were harvested 24 h after transfection and washed in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Half of the cells was saved for Western blotting and half was resuspended in 200 μl of PBS, 3% bovine serum albumin. To each tube 10 μl of anti-CD25-PE and 10 μl of anti-CD8-fluorescein isothiocyanate antibodies were added and the tubes were incubated on ice for 45 min. The cells were washed three times in PBS, 3% bovine serum albumin and resuspended in 500 μl of PBS. One microliter of propidium iodide (40 μg/ml final concentration) was added to each tube to stain dead cells. Fluorescence-activated cell sorter analysis (FACS) was performed with the “FACSCalibur” (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) machine, by using the CELLQuest software (Becton Dickinson). The cells were gated for viability and CD25 (interleukin-2 receptor α chain), representing all transfected cells. The level of surface expression of the different hybrid CD8-Nef proteins was calculated as the percentage of FITC signal obtained with the truncated CD8 protein (=100%). Recycling assays were performed as described previously (Piguet et al., 1998).

**Kinetic Internalization Assays**

Forty-eight hours after transfection, 293-T cells were harvested in Tris-EDTA buffer (1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.2) and washed once in PBS, 2% fetal calf serum. Twenty-five percent of the cells were saved for Western blotting and the remaining cells were stained for 45 min with 20 μl of anti-CD8-PE antibody. The cells
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were washed in PBS, 2% fetal calf serum and resuspended in 200 μl of the same. Eppendorf tubes containing 500 μl of DMEM media, 5% fetal calf serum were prewarmed to 37°C in a water bath. Cell suspension (150 μl) was added to the prewarmed media. Aliquots (100 μl) were removed at different time points (0, 5, 10, and 15 min) and added to 2 ml of cold PBS, pH 7.5. After 2 min, 10 ml of PBS, pH 8 was added for neutralization. The cells were pelleted and resuspended in 500 μl of PBS. FACS analysis was performed and the geometric mean fluorescence of the cells at the different time points was measured. The geometric mean fluorescence at time point 0 min was subtracted and the resulting value was divided through the value at time point 0 min. This value represents the percentage of internalization at a given time point (Chambers et al., 1993; Mangasarian et al., 1997).

**CD4 Down-Regulation**

293-T cells were cotransfected with 5 μg of a CD4-expressing plasmid and 5 μg of the respective Nef wild-type or mutant construct as described above. Twenty-four hours after transfection the cells were stained with an anti-CD4-PE antibody and analyzed by FACS. The CD4 level of the positive control was set to 100% and all other CD4 levels were calculated relative to this value.

**Yeast Two-Hybrid Binding Assay**

Yeast two-hybrid binding assays were performed with the Matchmaker Two-Hybrid System 2 (Clontech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The liquid culture β-galactosidase assay was performed with CPRG and ONPG reagents by using the Y187 cell
RESULTS

Effects of Mutations in Nef from SIVmac239 on Its Internalization

As shown in Figure 1A, Y28GRL and Y39SQS are tyrosine-based motifs that were implicated previously in the binding to AP-2 (Piguet et al., 1998). They are located in the N-terminal flexible anchor domain of Nef (Geyer et al., 1999) and are present only in Nef from SIV and HIV-2 but not HIV-1. Three additional motifs are located in the C-terminal flexible loop of Nef that is conserved between different alleles of Nef. D184E185 corresponds to a recently described motif in Nef from HIV-1 that is required for the trafficking from the early-to-late endosomes and lysosomes and that was reported to bind to β-COP (Piguet et al., 1999). The L194M195 motif represents a dileucine-based motif and is required for the internalization of Nef from the plasma membrane (Bresnahan et al., 1999). Finally, the D203D205 motif corresponds to the binding site of V1H in Nef from HIV-1 that is also required for the internalization of Nef and CD4 (Lu et al., 1998).

To investigate the importance of these different motifs for the internalization of Nef, hybrid mutant CD8-Nef proteins were expressed in 293-T or Jurkat cells (Figure 1B). Because previous studies used mainly 293-T cells, we also tested Jurkat cells, which can be infected by HIV. A functional identity between the hybrid CD8-Nef and wild-type Nef proteins had been established (Lu et al., 1998). Whereas levels of expression of these proteins were similar in 293-T cells, a slightly greater variability was observed in Jurkat cells (Figure 1C). First, the steady-state surface expression of the hybrid CD8-Nef proteins was examined [Figure 1B, CD8(+)]. The Y29A (Figure 1B, lane 4) but not the Y29A (Figure 1B, lane 5) mutant proteins exhibited a higher surface expression than the wild-type protein (Figure 1B, lane 3). When both mutations were combined (Y28A/Y39A; Figure 1B, lane 6), the surface expression of this protein was similar to the Y29A mutant protein (Figure 1B, lane 4). Moreover, the DE184AA mutant protein (Figure 1B, lane 7) had a similar surface expression to the wild-type protein (Figure 1B, lane 3). This result is different from Nef from HIV-1, where the respective mutation in the β-COP-binding site resulted in a higher surface expression of Nef due to its increased recycling to the plasma membrane (Piguet et al., 1999). The LM194AA and the DD204AA mutant proteins

Antibodies and Immunoblotting

The fluorescence-labeled antibodies against CD8, CD4, and CD25 were obtained from Becton Dickinson. The c-myc (A14) antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA) and the anti-actin antibody was obtained from Roche Molecular Biochemicals. The following reagents were obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, AIDS Program, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health: SIVmac p27Gag monoclonal antibody (55–2F12) from Dr. Niels Pedersen (Higgins et al., 1993) and CD4 antiseraum (T4-4) from Dr. R. Sweet (Willey et al., 1992). The anti-SIV Nef antibody was kindly provided by Earl T. Sawai (University of California, Davis). Protein immunoblots were prepared as described (Mandic and Lowe, 1999) by using antibodies against c-myc, SIV Nef, p27Gag, CD4, and actin.

Viral Infectivity Assay

Proviral constructs were generated as described above and transfected into CEMx174 cells. After the appearance of a cytopathic effect the cell media was harvested and assayed for reverse transcriptase (RT) activity. 1 × 10⁵ sMAGI cells were seeded into each well of a 96-well plate. The following morning 100 μl of viral supernatant was added to each well and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 5 h. The viral supernatant was then replaced with fresh media and the cells were incubated for additional 24 h. Infected cells were visualized by β-galactosidase staining and counted under a microscope. The relative infectivity was calculated as the ratio of infected (blue) cells and RT activity.

Figure 2. Internalization rates of the Nef DE184AA, LM194AA, DD204AA, and DE184AA/LM194AA/DD204AA mutant proteins were compared with the wild-type Nef protein and the truncated CD8 protein (=negative). Error bars indicate SEs of the mean from three independent experiments.

In Vitro Binding Assay

In vitro binding experiments were performed with GST-Nef proteins, purified from Escherichia coli and in vitro translated μ2 (AP-2). Proteins were incubated with 10 mM PMSF, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4) for 3 h, 4°C and washed three times in the same buffer. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. The protein gels were sequentially exposed to film to visualize bound μ2 (AP-2) or stained with Coomassie to visualize the GST input. In vitro translation of μ2 (AP-2) was performed by using the TNT T7-coupled reticulocyte lysate system (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Protein immunoblots were prepared as described (Mandic and Lowe, 1999) by using antibodies against c-myc, SIV Nef, p27Gag, CD4, and actin.
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Proviral constructs were generated as described above and transfected into CEMx174 cells. After the appearance of a cytopathic effect the cell media was harvested and assayed for reverse transcriptase (RT) activity. 1 × 10⁵ sMAGI cells were seeded into each well of a 96-well plate. The following morning 100 μl of viral supernatant was added to each well and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 5 h. The viral supernatant was then replaced with fresh media and the cells were incubated for additional 24 h. Infected cells were visualized by β-galactosidase staining and counted under a microscope. The relative infectivity was calculated as the ratio of infected (blue) cells and RT activity.
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that were observed with the wild-type protein (Figure 1B, lane 3), which manifested the importance of these motifs for the internalization of Nef. Surprisingly, the DE184AA/LM194AA/DD204AA triple mutant protein (Figure 1B, lane 10) did not exhibit a higher surface expression than the most severe single loop mutant protein alone (DD204AA; Figure 1B, lane 9). Finally, a Nef protein with a combination of all investigated mutations (Y28A/Y39A/DE184AA/LM194AA/DD204AA) (Figure 1B, lane 11) exhibited surface expression levels comparable to the truncated CD8 protein (Figure 1B, lane 2). This observation suggests that the L194M195 and D204D205 motifs are part of a common binding surface that likely interacts with the same protein. Despite variations in levels of surface expression of these different proteins between 293-T and Jurkat cells, the pattern of different mutations in Nef on their steady-state surface expression was similar (Figure 1B). Thus, in the absence of tyrosine-based motifs, the internalization of Nef depends entirely on its flexible loop. Because the triple loop mutant protein (Figure 1B, lane 10; Figure 2) exhibited a similar impairment for the internalization as the LM194AA and DD204AA mutant proteins, an intact flexible loop rather than a specific short motif in the loop is required for the internalization of the protein.

**Internalization Rates of the LM194AA and DD204AA Loop Mutant Nef Proteins from SIVmac239 Are Similar**

To verify that increased steady-state surface levels of the LM194AA and DD204AA mutant proteins were indeed due to a reduction in rates of internalization from the plasma membrane, they were also tested in a kinetic internalization assay (Figure 2). After 15 min, 31% of the wild-type hybrid CD8-Nef protein and 8% of the truncated CD8 protein were internalized. Internalization levels were reduced to 12 and 14% for the LM194AA and the DD204AA mutant proteins, respectively (Figure 2). These two mutant proteins did not lose fully their ability for internalization to levels of the truncated CD8 protein, which revealed the contribution of the
tyrosine-based motif near the N terminus of Nef from SIVmac239. These results also confirm a similarly reduced internalization rate for the LM194AA and DD204AA mutant proteins as observed at steady state and again suggest that these two motifs are not independent from each other but rather behave as a single functional unit (Figure 1B, lanes 8 and 9). The DE184AA mutant protein exhibited a slightly reduced ability for internalization compared with the wild-type protein, which was not obvious at steady state (Figure 1B, lane 7). Finally, the triple loop mutant protein exhibited a similarly reduced internalization rate to that observed for the LM194AA and DD204AA mutant proteins (Figure 2). No recycling of these proteins could be detected (our unpublished results). Overall, these data confirm results from the steady-state surface expression (Figure 1B).

**Requirement of the Flexible Loop in Nef from SIVmac239 for CD4 Down-Regulation**

To assess whether the loss of internalization that was observed for the mutant Nef proteins also affected their ability to down-regulate CD4, wild-type and hybrid mutant CD8-Nef proteins were coexpressed with CD4 in 293-T cells and surface levels of CD4 were quantified. As expected, the wild-type hybrid CD8-Nef protein (Figure 3, lane 3) down-regulated CD4 to 32% of control levels (100%; Figure 3, lane 2). The Y28A mutant protein also down-regulated efficiently (23%; Figure 3, lane 5), whereas the Y28A/Y39A (46%; Figure 3, lane 6) mutant proteins lost some of their ability for CD4 down-regulation. Interestingly, the LM194AA (72%; Figure 3, lane 8) and DD204AA (81%; Figure 3, lane 9) mutant proteins both lost most of their ability for CD4 down-regulation and the

![Figure 4](image-url). In vitro binding assays. (A) μ2 binds to the N-terminal tyrosine-based motif in Nef from SIVmac239. Shown is an autoradiogram of the binding of hybrid GST-Nef proteins from SIVmac239 (GST-SIV Nef and GST-SIV Y28A) and HIV-1 (GST-SF2 and GST-NL4-3 Nef) to the in vitro translated μ2 chain from AP-2 (top). Input of the GST proteins is shown in the lower panel. (B) V1H binds to the flexible loop of Nef from SIVmac239. Shown is a liquid yeast two-hybrid assay testing the interaction of wild-type and mutant Nef proteins from SIVmac239 with V1H. The β-galactosidase activity is expressed in relative light units (RLU). (C) Similar internalization rate of V1H and Nef. Kinetic internalization assay of CD8, CD8-SIV Nef, and CD8-V1H chimeras in 293-T cells.
DE184AA/LM194AA/DD204AA and Y28A/Y39A/DE184AA/LM194AA/DD204AA triple mutant proteins (104 and 134%; Figure 3, lanes 10 and 11) even induced slightly higher surface levels of CD4 than the truncated CD8 protein. The DE184AA mutant protein was also slightly impaired for CD4 down-regulation (52%, Figure 3, lane 7). Therefore, effects of the different hybrid CD8-Nef proteins on CD4 down-regulation correlated with their ability for internalization.

V1H binds to the Flexible Loop of Nef from SIVmac239

Using in vitro binding assays, previous studies showed that the N-terminal tyrosine-based motifs in SIVmac239 Nef bind to the medium chain (m2) of AP-2. Whereas Nef from SIVmac239 bound strongly to μ2, the mutant Nef protein, where both of the N-terminal tyrosine-based endocytic motifs were mutated, failed to bind to AP-2 (Piguet et al., 1998; Bresnahan et al., 1999). After performing an in vitro binding assay, we also found that this Nef binds directly to μ2 (Figure 4A). However, because the Y28A mutant protein lost all its binding to μ2, this binding was dependent on the tyrosine 28 alone. Additionally, no binding of μ2 to Nef from HIV-1NL4-3 and HIV-1SF2 was observed, which argues against a direct binding of Nef to AP-2 in the absence of a functional tyrosine-based motif (Figure 4A). We also used the yeast two-hybrid assay to examine the binding of μ2 to all other mutant Nef proteins from SIVmac239 and lost the binding to μ2 only when the tyrosine 28 was mutated to alanine. The loop as well as the tyrosine 39 mutant proteins could still bind to μ2 (AP-2) (our unpublished results).

Our previous studies also demonstrated binding of Nef from SIVmac239 to V1H in vivo. However, the exact binding site for V1H in this Nef remained unknown (Lu et al., 1998). Because previous studies mapped interactions with medium chains μ1 and μ2 by using yeast two-hybrid approaches (Aguilar et al., 1997), we chose the same assay to examine the binding to V1H. As seen in Figure 4B, the LM194AA and DD204AA mutant proteins lost most of their binding to V1H and the DE184AA mutant protein exhibited a weak binding. As expected, the triple loop mutant proteins lost all their binding to V1H. In sharp contrast, the Y28A and Y39A mutant Nef proteins exhibited strong binding to V1H (Figure 4B). Because all these mutations affected the binding to V1H, we conclude that V1H not only binds to Nef but also that this binding depends on a larger part of the flexible loop of Nef rather than on a specific single short motif.

V1H Is Internalized Similarly to Nef from SIVmac239

To test whether V1H itself can be internalized from the plasma membrane, we performed an internalization assay by using the hybrid CD8-V1H and CD8-SIV Nef proteins. Interestingly, in our kinetic assays, CD8-V1H chimera internalized as efficiently as the CD8-SIV Nef fusion protein (Figure 4C). This observation demonstrates that V1H has the intrinsic ability to be internalized from the plasma membrane. Thus, its interaction with Nef could be sufficient for the observed internalization of the viral protein (Figure 4B).

Flexible Loop of Nef from SIVmac239 Is Required for High Viral Infectivity

To investigate the relevance of mutations in the nef gene for viral infectivity, proviruses with corresponding mutations were created and a single round of replication assay was
performed (Figure 5). Western blotting demonstrated equal levels of expression of Nef and p27Gag proteins in CEMx174 producer cells (Figure 5). Surprisingly, whereas the Y28A mutation did not affect viral infectivity (Figure 5, lane 2), both the LM194AA and the DD204AA mutant proteins (Figure 5, lanes 4 and 5) showed a dramatic reduction in viral infectivity to levels of the delta Nef virus (Figure 5, lane 7). Interestingly, the Y39A mutation also decreased greatly viral infectivity (Figure 5, lane 3). As expected from the observations for the single motif mutant proteins, a virus with all investigated mutations combined (Y28A/Y39A/DE184AA/LM194AA/DD204AA) resulted in a loss of infectivity to levels of the delta Nef virus (Figure 5, lane 6). These results suggest an important role of the flexible loop of Nef in the enhancement of virion infectivity.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we demonstrated that the first (Y28GRL) but not the second (Y39SQS) tyrosine-based motif in Nef from SIVmac239 binds to the medium chain of AP-2. The L194M195, D204D205, and to a lesser extent D184E185 motifs were also required for the internalization of Nef and CD4. These motifs mediated the binding to V1H and did not bind to μ2 (AP-2). Viruses with mutations of the dileucine (L194M195) or the diacidic (D204D205) motifs as well as the second (Y39SQS) but not the first (Y28GRL) tyrosine-based motifs lost their infectivity to levels of the delta Nef virus. We conclude that Nef from SIVmac239 interacts via its C-terminal flexible loop with V1H, the catalytic subunit of the V-ATPase, and that this interaction enables Nef to be internalized from the plasma membrane in the absence of its direct binding to AP-2. The observed reduction in the infectivity of the loop mutant viruses also suggests an important role of the flexible loop and V1H in viral infectivity (Figure 6A).

Because the second tyrosine-based motif (Y39SQS) lacks a bulky hydrophobic residue at position 4, which is a hallmark of tyrosine-based endocytic motifs (Ohno et al., 1995; Aguilar et al., 1997), it is not surprising that this sequence in Nef from SIVmac239 did not contribute to the internalization of CD4 or the binding to μ2 (AP-2). Interestingly, the tyrosine 28 can be phosphorylated in cells (our unpublished results). This observation agrees with our previous finding with Nef from SIVpbl14 (Luo and Peterlin, 1997). Because a phosphorylated tyrosine 28 does not bind to μ2, it cannot act as a tyrosine-based endocytic motif (Owen and Evans, 1998). This finding could explain the cell-dependent differences for the internalization of Nef, which were observed between the Jurkat and 293-T cells (Figure 1B).

However, we were surprised that the flexible loop of Nef behaved like a functional unit. Mutations of the dileucine or diacidic motifs were indistinguishable in our functional assays. Both motifs were also required for the internalization of Nef, which were observed between the Jurkat and 293-T cells (Figure 1B). The observed reduction in the infectivity of the loop mutant viruses also suggests an important role of the flexible loop and V1H in viral infectivity (Figure 6A).

Because the second tyrosine-based motif (Y39SQS) lacks a bulky hydrophobic residue at position 4, which is a hallmark of tyrosine-based endocytic motifs (Ohno et al., 1995; Aguilar et al., 1997), it is not surprising that this sequence in Nef from SIVmac239 did not contribute to the internalization of CD4 or the binding to μ2 (AP-2). Interestingly, the tyrosine 28 can be phosphorylated in cells (our unpublished results). This observation agrees with our previous finding with Nef from SIVpbl14 (Luo and Peterlin, 1997). Because a phosphorylated tyrosine 28 does not bind to μ2, it cannot act as a tyrosine-based endocytic motif (Owen and Evans, 1998). This finding could explain the cell-dependent differences for the internalization of Nef, which were observed between the Jurkat and 293-T cells (Figure 1B).
sequences for its binding to Nef. V1H fulfilled these criteria. Moreover, V1H binds directly to μ2 (Geyer and Peterlin, unpublished data), which is consistent with previous reports of AP-2 binding to the V-ATPase (Myers and Forgac, 1993; Liu et al., 1994), and internalizes efficiently from the plasma membrane when expressed as a CDB-V1H chimera (Figure 4C). This interaction between V1H and AP-2 might be critical for the trafficking of the V-ATPase, and therefore also of Nef and CD4. Given the dynamic balance of assembly and disassembly of multi-protein complexes, it remains to be established whether V1H acts as an adaptor molecule when dissociated from the V-ATPase or whether Nef recruits the entire proton pump (Kane, 2000).

Interestingly, the direct interaction between Nef and AP-2 via the first tyrosine-based endocytic motif (Y38GRL) was irrelevant for its enhancement of virion infectivity. Rather, the mutation of the second motif (Y36SQS → A39SQS), which was not required for its internalization, had a profound effect, suggesting that tyrosine-mediated internalization does not play a major role for the infectivity of SIVmac239. The significance of this tyrosine 39 is also highlighted in chimeric viruses between HIV and SIV (SHIV) (Mandell et al., 1999). When SHIV starts to replicate efficiently, it is this tyrosine that appears in Nef from HIV. In sharp contrast, the appearance of the first tyrosine-based motif has not been observed. Because the flexible loop is highly conserved between different Nef alleles it is not surprising that no mutations appeared in this region of Nef. Unlike the tyrosine-based motif (Y38GRL), mutations in the flexible loop also contributed to the infectivity of SIVmac239. Interestingly, recent in vivo studies reported the reversion of a D204 → R204 mutation in Nef from a mutant SIVmac239 (Kirchhoff et al., 1999) as well as the reduction in infectivity of a mutant HIV-1 bearing mutations in the dileucine motif in Nef (Craig et al., 1998), again emphasizing the importance of the dileucine and diacidic motifs for viral infectivity.

How could the interaction between Nef and V1H affect viral infectivity? It could help in the formation of new virions. As part of the V-ATPase, V1H is known to control the pH of different compartments and to move along the endocytic and secretory pathways (Stevens and Forgac, 1997) (Figure 6B). Interestingly, the influenza virus encodes a protein that controls the acidification of cellular organelles to prevent the activation of its envelope and fusion with these compartments before the virus is released (Steinhauer et al., 1991). The interaction between Nef and V1H could play a similar role and prevent the activation of the fusogenic activity of gp41 by acidic pH in certain strains of HIV-1 (Fackler and Peterlin, 2000).

Equally intriguing, the human T-cell leukemia virus-1 (HTLV-I) encodes a membrane-associated protein, p12(I), which also binds to the V-ATPase (Fanchini et al., 1993; Collins et al., 1999b). The p12(I) gene is not only found in the same location in the genome of HTLV-I as the nef gene in that of HIV and SIV but also its gene product is important for virion infectivity. Thus, these latter effects together with the internalization and proteolysis of cellular and viral structures could be equally important for effects of Nef in infected cells. These and other aspects of Nef and V1H are currently under investigation and could reveal the underlying mechanism of Nef-mediated enhancement of infectivity in primate lentiviruses that leads to the development of AIDS.
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