Ligand-dependent Degradation of Smad3 by a Ubiquitin Ligase Complex of ROC1 and Associated Proteins
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Smads are signal mediators for the members of the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily. Upon phosphorylation by the TGF-β receptors, Smad3 translocates into the nucleus, recruits transcriptional coactivators and corepressors, and regulates transcription of target genes. Here, we show that Smad3 activated by TGF-β is degraded by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. Smad3 interacts with a RING finger protein, ROC1, through its C-terminal MH2 domain in a ligand-dependent manner. An E3 ubiquitin ligase complex ROC1-SCFFbw1a consisting of ROC1, Skp1, Cullin1, and Fbw1a (also termed βTrCP1) induces ubiquitination of Smad3. Recruitment of a transcriptional coactivator, p300, to nuclear Smad3 facilitates the interaction with the E3 ligase complex and triggers the degradation process of Smad3. Smad3 bound to ROC1-SCFFbw1a is then exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm for proteasomal degradation. TGF-β/Smad3 signaling is thus irreversibly terminated by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Cytokines of the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily are multifunctional proteins that regulate growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and morphogenesis of various types of cells (Roberts and Sporn, 1990). TGF-β and related factors bind to two different types of serine/threonine kinase receptors, termed type I and type II. Type I receptor is activated by type II receptor upon ligand binding and mediates specific intracellular signals. Smads are the central signal mediators of the TGF-β superfamily (Heldin et al., 1997; Massagué and Wotton, 2000). Among the three different classes of Smads, receptor-regulated Smads (R-Smads) directly interact with type I receptors and become activated through phosphorylation of the C-terminal SSXS motif. R-Smads then form heteromeric complexes with common-partner Smads (Co-Smads) and translocate into the nucleus. Nuclear Smad complexes bind to transcriptional coactivators (p300 and CBP) or corepressors (e.g., TGIF, c-Ski, and SnoN) and regulate transcription of target genes (Attisano and Wrana, 2000; Miyazono, 2000). Inhibitory Smads (I-Smads) interfere with the activation of R-Smads by the serine/threonine kinase receptors and the formation of R-Smad/Co-Smad complexes. Smad2 and Smad3 are R-Smads activated by TGF-β and activin receptors, whereas Smad1, Smad5, and presumably Smad8 are activated by bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) receptors. Smad4 is the only Co-Smad in mammals, and Smad6 and Smad7 are I-Smads.

Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation plays a key role in various biological processes, including signal transduction, cell cycle progression, and transcriptional regulation (Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998). Ubiquitination of proteins is induced by the action of an E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes, and E3 ubiquitin ligases. In the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway, E3 ligases play a crucial role in the recognition of target proteins and subsequent protein degradation by the 26S proteasomes. SCF complexes composed of Skp1, Cullins, and F-box proteins are a class of E3 ubiquitin ligases that participate in the degradation of a number of regulatory proteins. In the SCF complex, Cullin interacts with Skp1, and Skp1 in turn binds to an F-box protein. Recruitment of different F-box proteins into the SCF complexes may be important for the specific ubiquitination of certain target proteins (Laney and Hochstrasser, 1999).
A RING finger protein, ROC1 (also termed Rbx1 or Hrt1), has been identified as a Cullin-binding protein (Ohta et al., 1999; Seol et al., 1999; Tan et al., 1999). It has also been identified as a component of the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor complex, which contains Cullin2, elongin B, and elongin C (Kamura et al., 1999). ROC1 binds to all mammalian Cullins and also acts as an adaptor to E2-conjugating enzymes (Skowrya et al., 1999). ROC1 thus plays a crucial role in the ubiquitination of target proteins as a fourth subunit of the SCF E3 ligase complex.

Smad2 activated by TGF-β has been shown to be degraded by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway after translocation into the nucleus (Lo and Massagué, 1999). However, E3 ligases responsible for the ubiquitination of TGF-β–activated Smads and the mechanism for the ubiquitination of nuclear Smad2/3 have not been fully elucidated. Here we show that Smad3 activated by TGF-β interacts with the ROC1-SCF<sup>P<sub>C<sub>−</sub>bw1a</sub></sup> complex containing an F-box protein Fbw1a (also termed βTrCP1) (Cenciarelli et al., 1999; Winston et al., 1999a), which triggers the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of Smad3. Interaction of p300 with nuclear Smad3 facilitates the Smad3-ubiquitination. We also show that Smad3 associated with the E3 ligase complex is translocated from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, where Smad3 is degraded by the 26S proteasome. The ROC1-SCF<sup>P<sub>C<sub>−</sub>bw1a</sub></sup> complex is a key ligase for IkB and β-catenin, which participate in the NF-κB– and Wnt/Wingless-signaling pathways, respectively. Our present findings revealed that the ROC1-SCF<sup>P<sub>C<sub>−</sub>bw1a</sub></sup> complex is also involved in the TGF-β/Smad3–signaling pathway.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Transfection and Pulse–Chase Analysis**

Transient transfection of DNA was performed using FuGENE6 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Transfected COS7 cells or human HaCaT cells were labeled for 10 min or 2 h at 37°C with 50 μCi/ml [35S]methionine and cysteine (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Buckinghamshire, UK) in methionine- and cysteine-free DMEM and chased in DMEM supplemented with fetal bovine serum for the time periods indicated as described previously (Miyazono et al., 1991; Lo and Massagué, 1999). Cells were then lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation.

**Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting**

For inhibition of proteasomal degradation, cells were incubated with 50 μM MG132 (Peptide Institute, Osaka, Japan) for 2–4 h unless indicated otherwise and lysed with Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40) containing 50 μM MG132. Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting were performed as described by Kawabata et al. (1998). For immunoprecipitation of Smad3, antibody specific to Smad3 (Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY) was used.

**Yeast Two-Hybrid Assay**

Yeast two-hybrid assay was performed as previously described (Akiyoshi et al., 1999). Briefly, EGY48, the host yeast strain, was transformed with a combination of the reporter (p5SH18-34), a bait (pEG202 or ROC1 in pEG202), and a prey (pG4-4 or Smad3C in pG4-5). Yeast was selected on appropriate growth media, and then three independent colonies were assayed for β-galactosidase activity on 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside.

**Binding of Smad3 to Biotinylated DNA**

DNA-binding assay using biotinylated oligonucleotide was performed as described by Tada et al. (1999). Briefly, cells were treated or not with 3 ng/ml TGF-β in the presence or absence of MG132. Cell lysates were incubated with 30 pmol of biotinylated double-stranded 3xCAGA oligonucleotide and 12 μg poly dI-dC for 1 h. Proteins were precipitated with streptavidin-agarose for 30 min, washed, and detected by immunoblotting. For detection of ROC1 from nontransfected HaCaT cells, cell lysates from four 10-cm tissue culture dishes (Falcon, Becton Dickinson Labware, Franklin Lakes, NJ) were used, whereas those from a 10-cm tissue culture dish were used for detection of ROC1 from transfected COS7 cells.

**Immunofluorescence Labeling**

Immunohistochemical staining of Smad3C or full-length Smad3 in transfected COS7 cells was performed using anti-Myc, anti-FLAG, or anti-phospho-Smad3 antibodies followed by the incubation with fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G as described by Ebisawa et al. (1999). Nuclei of the cells were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Intracellular localization was determined by confocal laser scanning microscopy.

**RESULTS**

**Proteasomal Degradation of Activated Smad3**

TGF-β potently inhibits the growth of HaCaT human keratinocyte cells and regulates the expression of various genes (Akiyoshi et al., 2001). To investigate the stability of the Smad3 protein, we first examined the turnover of endogenous Smad3 in HaCaT cells by pulse–chase analysis. Similar to Smad2 activated by TGF-β (Lo and Massagué, 1999), the half-life of Smad3 was shorter in the presence than in the absence of TGF-β (Figure 1A). Because the ligand stimulation was important for the rapid turnover of Smad3, we next investigated the turnover of Smad3 phosphorylated by TGF-β using an antibody specific to phospho-Smad3. Phosphorylated Smad3 was increased after TGF-β stimulation with a peak at 45 min and rapidly disappeared thereafter (Figure 1B). Similar to phosphorylated Smad2 (Lo and Massagué, 1999), dephosphorylation may not be the major reason for the rapid disappearance of phospho-Smad3, because a proteasome inhibitor MG132 almost completely abolished the decrease in the phospho-Smad3 protein (Figure 1C).

Smurf1, a member of the HECT family of E3 ubiquitin ligases, ligand-independently induces the ubiquitination and degradation of BMP-specific Smad1 through binding to a PY motif in the linker region (Zhu et al., 1999). More recently, Smurf2, which is highly related to Smurf1, has been shown to interact with Smad2 through its PY motif upon TGF-β stimulation in vitro and leads to Smad2 degradation (Lin et al., 2000). We investigated whether the presence of the linker region is essential for the degradation of Smad3. The turnover of two deletion mutants of Smad3, Smad3NL containing the N-terminal MH1 domain and the linker region, and Smad3C containing the C-terminal MH2 domain, was compared in transfected COS7 cells by pulse–chase analysis. Interestingly, Smad3C lacking the linker region was less stable than Smad3NL (Figure 1D), and treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 eliminated this degradation (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results). These findings suggest that, although Smurf-like molecules might be involved in the degradation of Smad3NL, other classes of E3 ligases may...
recognize the MH2 domain of Smad3 and regulate the ubiquitination and degradation of the TGF-β-activated Smad3.

**Physical Interaction of Smad3 with ROC1**

Of different E2-conjugating enzymes, UbcH5b and UbcH5c appear to participate in the ligand-dependent degradation of Smad2 (Lo and Massagué, 1999; Xu and Attisano, 2000) and Smad3 (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results). Because UbcH5 is involved in the ubiquitin ligase activity catalyzed by ROC1 (Iwai et al., 1999; Ohta et al., 1999), we studied the physical interaction of ROC1 with Smad3. We have found that ROC1 interacts with Smad3C in the yeast two-hybrid system (Figure 2A). A ROC1 mutant C75A/H77A, which has mutations in the RING finger domain and fails to recruit ROC1-associated ligase activity (Ohta et al., 1999), also interacted with Smad3C.

The interaction between Smad3 and ROC1 was also examined in mammalian cells. When activated Smad3 was isolated using biotinylated DNA containing the Smad-binding elements (CAGA box; Dennler et al., 1998), ROC1 was coprecipitated with Smad3 upon stimulation by constitutively active TGF-β type I receptor [TβR-I(TD)] and the interaction between ROC1 and Smad3 was enhanced by the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Figure 2B). Importantly, we also found that the interaction between ROC1 and Smad3 occurs under physiological conditions. ROC1 does not have an ability to bind to the CAGA DNA by itself (Figure 2B). However, when activated Smad3 was precipitated from

---

**Figure 1.** TGF-β induces Smad3 turnover through proteosomal degradation. (A) Smad3 is more rapidly degraded in the presence than in the absence of TGF-β. HaCaT cells were metabolically labeled for 2 h, treated with TGF-β (5 ng/ml), and then chased for the time periods indicated. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated by an anti-Smad3 antibody and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The autoradiographic signals were quantified and the values were plotted relative to the 0-h values. (B) Rapid turnover of phosphorylated Smad3 upon TGF-β stimulation. HaCaT human keratinocytes were treated with TGF-β (5 ng/ml) for the indicated periods. Smad3 was then immunoprecipitated by an anti-Smad3 antibody (Transduction Laboratories), followed by immunoblotting using an antiphospho-Smad3 antibody (gift of P. ten Dijke). (C) Prevention of the rapid turnover of phosphorylated Smad3 by a proteasome inhibitor MG132. HaCaT cells were treated with TGF-β (5 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of 50 μM MG132. Phospho-Smad3 was detected as in B. The intensities of the immunoblotted bands were quantified and the values were plotted relative to the 1-h values. (D) Comparison of the turnover of deletion mutants of Smad3. Structures of the Smad3 deletion mutants are shown (top). Full, NL, and C denote full-length, the MH1 domain and linker, and the MH2 domain, respectively. 6Myc-tagged Smad3NL or Smad3C in transfected COS7 cells was metabolically labeled for 10 min and then chased for the time periods indicated. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated by a Myc antibody and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The autoradiographic signals were quantified and plotted as in A.
nontransfected HaCaT cells by the biotinylated CAGA DNA, ROC1 was coprecipitated with Smad3 upon ligand stimulation (Figure 2C).

The interaction between Smad3 and ROC1 was also examined by immunoprecipitation followed by immunoblotting. Analysis using various Smad3 deletion mutants confirmed that Smad3 binds to ROC1 through the MH2 domain (Figure 2D). Because Smad3NL failed to interact with ROC1, and it was not ubiquitinated by ROC1-SCFFbw1a (see below; Figure 3E), we used Smad3C in some of the following experiments. Although Smad4 also interacts with Smad3 through the MH2 domain (Heldin et al., 1997), Smad4 did not interfere with the interaction of ROC1 with Smad3, and it was coimmunoprecipitated with Smad3 in the ROC1-immunoprecipitates (Figure 2E).

ROC1 is a component of the ROC1-SCF<sup>Hbw1α</sup> complex. We found that Cul1 and Fbw1α, but not Skp1, weakly interact with Smad3C in transfected COS7 cells (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results). Moreover, we observed that, among several

Figure 2. Physical interaction of ROC1 with Smad3. (A) Binding of Smad3C to ROC1 in the yeast two-hybrid system. Positive interactions yield a dark color on the indicator plates. Yeast with negative interaction remains white. Wild-type (WT) or a mutant (mut) form of ROC1 (C75A/H77A) were tested in the interaction assay. pEG202 and pJG4-5 are control vectors without inserts. (B) Interaction between Smad3 and ROC1 upon receptor stimulation in mammalian cells. COS7 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids and lysed. Proteins were isolated by precipitation with a biotinylated oligonucleotide containing the Smad3-binding elements (3xCAGA), followed by immunoblotting (Blot) using FLAG antibody. The top two panels show the interaction. For the detection of ROC1, the film was exposed for a 15-times longer period than for that of Smad3. (C) Interaction of endogenous Smad3 with ROC1 in HaCaT human keratinocytes. HaCaT cells were treated with TGF-β (3 ng/ml), and ROC1 bound to Smad3 was detected by isolation of the biotinylated 3xCAGA DNA, followed by immunoblotting with a ROC1 antibody (gift of N. Shimbara). In C–F, the top panels show the interaction and the bottom panels show the expression of each protein. (D) Interaction of ROC1 with various Smad3 deletion constructs. In D–F, COS7 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids and subjected to FLAG immunoprecipitation (IP) followed by Myc immunoblotting (Blot). Full, N, NL, LC, and C denote full-length, the MH1 domain, the MH1 domain and linker, the linker and MH2 domain, and the MH2 domain, respectively. (E) Smad4 does not affect the interaction of ROC1 with Smad3. Note that the expression level of TGF-βRI(TD) was higher in the presence than in the absence of Smad4 in this experiment. (F) Enhancement of the interaction between ROC1 and Smad3C in the presence of Cul1 and Fbw1α. Among the components of the ROC1-SCF<sup>Hbw1α</sup> complex, Skp1 is abundantly expressed in COS7 cells. Therefore, it was not transfected in the present study.
F-box proteins, only Fbw1a efficiently induced ubiquitination of Smad3 (see below; Figure 4D). We therefore cotransfected Cul1 and Fbw1a together with ROC1 and examined the interaction of ROC1 with Smad3C. Interestingly, coimmunoprecipitation of Smad3C with ROC1 was dramatically enhanced in the presence of Cul1 and Fbw1a (Figure 2F), suggesting that the other components of the ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} complex may facilitate the interaction of ROC1 with Smad3.

**ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} Induces Ubiquitination of Smad3**

Ubiquitination of Smad3 by ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} was investigated in vivo. Smad3 was transfected into COS7 cells together with ROC1, Cul1, Fbw1a, and hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged ubiquitin. Although ROC1 alone did not efficiently induce the Smad3 ubiquitination (Figure 3A), addition of Cul1 and Fbw1a dramatically enhanced the ubiquitination, which was facilitated by TβR-I(TD). Ubiquitination of Smad3 by ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} was observed in the presence, but very weakly in the absence, of proteasome inhibitors MG132 (Figure 3B) or lactacystin (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results), indicating the proteasomal degradation of ubiquitinated Smad3. Ubiquitination of Smad3 was detected even in the absence of TβR-I(TD) (Figure 3, A and B), probably because Smad3 transfected into mammalian cells spontaneously translocates into the nucleus (Zhang et al., 1997; Xu et
The ubiquitinated species obtained by ubiquitin immunoblotting appeared to differ from those obtained by Smad3 immunoblotting (Figure 3, A and B), because the HA antibody directed to HA-ubiquitin may have preferentially reacted with polyubiquitinated Smad3.

We next examined which R-Smads are ubiquitinated by ROC1-SCF\(^{Fbw1a}\). Of different R-Smads, Smad3 and Smad8 were preferentially ubiquitinated by ROC1-SCF\(^{Fbw1a}\) (Figure 3C). In contrast, Smad2 and Smad4 were only minimally ubiquitinated by ROC1-SCF\(^{Fbw1a}\) (Figure 3E). In agreement with the finding that the MH2 domain of Smad3 is responsible for the interaction with ROC1, ubiquitination of the MH2 domain of Smad3 (Smad3C) was induced by ROC1-SCF\(^{Fbw1a}\) in a manner similar to that of the full-length Smad3 (Figure 3D). In contrast, the MH1 and linker region of Smad3 (Smad3NL) was not ubiquitinated by ROC1-SCF\(^{Fbw1a}\) (Figure 3E).

The degree of ubiquitination of Smad1 by Smurf1 and that of Smad3 by ROC1-SCF\(^{Fbw1a}\) were compared in transfected COS7 cells or 293T cells. Ubiquitination of Smad1 by Smurf1 was only very weakly observed in COS7 cells (Fukuchi et al., 2000; see also Figure 6B). The ubiquitinated species obtained by ubiquitin immunoblotting appeared to differ from those obtained by Smad3 immunoblotting (Figure 3, A and B), because the HA antibody directed to HA-ubiquitin may have preferentially reacted with polyubiquitinated Smad3.

Polyubiquitination of Smad3 was investigated using Fbw1a and ROC1 mutants. Ubiquitination was observed when wild-type Fbw1a, but not Fbw1a mutants (Hattori et al., 1999; Kitagawa et al., 1999; Suzuki et al., 2000), were used.
Ubiquitination of Smad3 by ROC1-SCF<sub>Fbw1a</sub>
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Figure 5. Interaction with ROC1-SCF<sub>Fbw1a</sub> and ubiquitin-dependent degradation of Smad3 are enhanced by p300. (A and B) Physical interaction of Smad3C (A) or the full-length Smad3 (B) with ROC1 is enhanced by p300 in COS7 cells. Interaction was examined by FLAG immunoprecipitation (IP) followed by Myc immunoblotting (Blot). The top panel shows the interaction and the bottom panels the expression of each protein as indicated. (C) Ubiquitination of Smad3C was induced by p300 but not by c-Ski. Lysates from transfected COS7 cells were directly subjected to anti-Myc immunoblotting. Polyubiquitination species of Smad3C are indicated ([HA-Ub]<sub>n-6Myc-Smad3C</sub>.

p300 Facilitates the Ubiquitination of Smad3

Ubiquitination of Smad3 appears to be initiated after the nuclear translocation of Smad3. Because Smad oligomers in the nucleus interact with a transcriptional coactivator p300 through the MH2 domain (Feng et al., 1998; Janknecht et al., 1998; Nishihara et al., 1998), we investigated whether the recruitment of p300 regulates the ubiquitination of Smad3. In transfected COS7 cells, p300 enhanced the interaction between ROC1 and Smad3C or full-length Smad3 (Figure 5, A and B). Moreover, p300 dramatically enhanced the ubiquitination of Smad3C (Figure 5C) and Smad3 (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results). In contrast, coexpression of Smad4 did not significantly enhance the ubiquitination of Smad3 (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results).

c-Ski interacts with Smad3 and represses the transcriptional responses through recruitment of histone deacetylases (Akiyoshi et al., 1999). c-Ski binds to the MH2 domain of Smad3 and competes with p300 for the Smad3 binding. In contrast to p300, c-Ski did not facilitate the ubiquitination of Smad3C (Figure 5C); moreover, it repressed the Smad3 ubiquitination accelerated by p300. Thus, the interaction of Smad3 with p300, but not with c-Ski, triggers the ubiquitination of Smad3.

Smad3 Is Exported to the Cytoplasm by the ROC1-SCF<sub>Fbw1a</sub> Complex

Although nuclear translocation and recruitment of p300 may trigger the ubiquitination of Smad3, it is unknown where the Smad3 bound to ROC1-SCF<sub>Fbw1a</sub> is ultimately located for deg-
Figure 6.

A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FITC</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>Merge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6Myc-Smad3C</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Myc-Smad3C + SCF</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Myc-Smad3C + SCF + p300</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FITC</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>Merge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLAG-Smad3 SCF (-)</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAG-Smad3 SCF (+)</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAG-Smad3 + TβR-1(TD) SCF (-)</td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAG-Smad3 + TβR-1(TD) SCF (+)</td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image20" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image21" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smad3 phosopho-Smad3
Figure 6 (facing page). Smad3 is exported from the nucleus by the ROC1-SCF Fbw1a complex. (A) Localization of 6Myc-Smad3C was examined in the absence (top) or presence of the ROC1-SCF Fbw1a complex (middle) or both ROC1-SCF Fbw1a and p300 (bottom). Anti-Myc staining for 6Myc-Smad3C (green) and nuclear staining by 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (PI; red) were performed in transfected COS7 cells. (B) Subcellular localization of full-length FLAG-Smad3 stimulated or not with TβRI(TD) was examined in the absence or presence of the ROC1-SCF Fbw1a complex (SCF). Smad3 was detected by anti-FLAG (left; green) or anti-phospho-Smad3 staining (right; green) in transfected COS7 cells. Nuclear staining (PI; red) was performed as in A. (C) Localization of 6Myc-Smad3C was determined in the absence and presence of various components of ROC1-SCF Fbw1a (top five panels) and in the presence of the Fbw1a mutant (ΔF) or the ROC1 mutant C75A/H77A (mut) (bottom two panels). 6Myc-Smad3C (green) and the nucleus (red) were stained as shown in A.
radation. We have transfected Smad3 with or without the ROC1-SCFFbw1a complex into COS7 cells and determined its subcellular localization. R-Smads lacking the MH1 and linker regions are mainly located in the nucleus and induce constitutive activation of target genes (Baker and Harland, 1996; Zhang et al., 1997; Xu et al., 2000). Smad3C is predominantly located in the nucleus, although weak staining of the cytoplasm could also be observed (Figure 6A). However, in the presence of ROC1-SCFFbw1a, Smad3C was mainly observed in the cytoplasm. Interestingly, the cytoplasmic translocation of Smad3C was further facilitated in the presence of p300.

Full-length Smad3 was detected both in the cytoplasm (Figure 6B) and in the nucleus (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results) as reported previously (Zhang et al., 1997), and nuclear translocation of Smad3 was induced by TβR-I(TD) (Figure 6B). Phosphorylated Smad3 was not observed without ligand stimulation and detected in the nucleus only after stimulation by TβR-II(TD). Similar to Smad3C, full-length Smad3 was, both in the absence and presence of TβR-I(TD), predominantly detected in the cytoplasm when cotransfected with ROC1-SCFFbw1a.

Cytoplasmic transportation of nuclear Smad3 is dependent on the integrity of the ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} complex, because the absence of ROC1, Cul1, or Fbw1a failed to induce the cytoplasmic relocation of Smad3C (Figure 6C). Moreover, in the presence of mutant forms of Fbw1a (ÆF) or ROC1 (C75A/H77A), Smad3C was mainly stained in the nucleus. Thus, binding of ROC1-SCFFbw1a to Smad3 may trigger the cytoplasmic relocation and ultimate degradation of Smad3 by the 26S proteasomes.

**DISCUSSION**

It has been reported that TGF-β signaling is irreversibly terminated by ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the activated Smad2 (Lo and Massagué, 1999). Here we showed that Smad3 is also degraded in a ligand-dependent manner. E2-conjugating enzymes including UbcH5b/c have been suggested to be involved in the degradation of Smad2 (Lo and Massagué, 1999; Xu and Attisano, 2000). We demonstrated that the E3 ligase complex ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} interacts with activated Smad3 through its MH2 domain and induces the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of Smad3 (Figure 7).

ROC1 binds to all isoforms of Cullins (Kamura et al., 1999; Ohta et al., 1999; Seol et al., 1999; Tan et al., 1999), and by recruiting E2-conjugating enzymes, it plays a critical role in the ubiquitination of target proteins. We demonstrated that ROC1 interacts with Smad3 activated by TGF-β in nontransfected HaCaT keratinocytes (Figure 2C). Moreover, our results revealed that the other components of the ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} complex facilitate the interaction with Smad3.

Figure 7. Interaction of nuclear Smad3 with ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} and export to the cytoplasm. This is a schematic representation of a model for TGF-β-dependent Smad3 degradation by ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} based on the results described in this paper. Upon activation by TGF-β receptors, Smad3 forms a complex with Smad4 and translocates into the nucleus. Transcription of target genes is facilitated by the association of the transcriptional coactivator p300 with Smad3. The ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} complex binds to the MH2 domain of Smad3, which is enhanced by p300. ROC1 may be the primary binding component for Smad3, and Cul1 and Fbw1a may also facilitate the interaction of ROC1 with Smad3. E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes function together with ROC1-SCF\textsuperscript{Fbw1a} to link ubiquitin to Smad3. Nuclear Smad3 is then exported to the cytoplasm and is ultimately degraded by the 26S proteasomes.
SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} is an E3 ligase for I\textbeta and \beta-catenin, which are involved in the NF-\kappaB and Wnt/Wingless-signaling pathways, respectively (Yaron \textit{et al.}, 1998; Spencer \textit{et al.}, 1999; Winston \textit{et al.}, 1999b). Fbw1a recognizes the phosphorylated DSG\textalpha\textalphaXS motif ($\psi$ is a hydrophobic residue) in I\textbeta and \beta-catenin through the C-terminal WD40 repeats (Hattori \textit{et al.}, 1999; Kitagawa \textit{et al.}, 1999; Suzuki \textit{et al.}, 2000). However, the DSG\textalpha\textalphaXS motif is not present in Smad3. Moreover, phosphorylation of Smad2 and Smad3 may not be essential for the ligand-dependent degradation (Lo and Massagué, 1999; Fujiuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results). Thus, the mode of interaction of Smad3 with ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} appears to be different from that of I\textbeta and \beta-catenin. Interestingly, it has recently been reported that the NF-\kappaB p105 precursor is ubiquitinated by the SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} complex through a recognition motif distinct from that of I\textbeta and \beta-catenin (Orian \textit{et al.}, 2000).

Smad2 and Smad3 are structurally highly similar to each other and activated by the TGF-\beta-activin pathway. In contrast to Smad3, however, Smad2 was not polyubiquitinated by the ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} complex (Figure 3, C and E). Interestingly, Lin \textit{et al.} (2000) reported that Smad2 degrades activated Smad2, but not Smad3, suggesting that they may be degraded by distinct E3 ligases. With regard to Smad3, only Fbw1a induced the ubiquitination of Smad3 among several F-box proteins examined in the present study (Figure 4D). Because there are more than 30 F-box proteins in mammals (Cenciarelli \textit{et al.}, 1999; Regan-Reimann \textit{et al.}, 1999; Winston \textit{et al.}, 1999a), however, it remains to be determined whether other F-box proteins may also induce the Smad3 ubiquitination. Notably, Smad8, an R-Smad in the BMP pathway, was also efficiently ubiquitinated by ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} (Figure 3C), although Smad8 is structurally less related to Smad3 than Smad2. Smad1, which is structurally related to Smad8 and activated by the BMP pathway, is degraded by Smurf1 via interaction through the PY motif in the linker region (Zhu \textit{et al.}, 1999). However, Smad8 lacks the PY motif in the linker region. Thus, similar to Smads 2 and 3, Smads 1 and 8 may be ubiquitinated through mechanisms different from each other.

In the nucleus, the Smad oligomers recruit the transcriptional coactivators p300/CFP (Feng \textit{et al.}, 1998; Janknecht \textit{et al.}, 1998; Nishihara \textit{et al.}, 1998; Tomoda \textit{et al.}, 1999). p300 and CBF are structurally related proteins with histone acetyltransferase activity. Through acetylation of core histones and possibly other proteins, p300 and CBF loosen the chromatin structure and accelerate transcription of target genes (Travers, 1999). Interestingly, the presence of p300 dramatically enhanced the ubiquitination of Smad3 by the ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} complex (Figure 5). Although p300 induces protein acetylation, Smad3 is not acetylated by p300 under physiological conditions (Fukuchi, Imamura, Chiba, Ebisawa, Kawabata, Tanaka, and Miyazono, unpublished results). The mechanism for the enhancement of Smad3 ubiquitination by p300 remains to be elucidated. Our results thus indicate that p300 accelerates the transcriptional response induced by Smad3, but at the same time, it may also initiate the degradation process of Smad3 and limit the signaling activity of Smad3.

Smad2 and Smad3 interact with transcriptional corepressors, including c-Ski, SnoN and TGFIF (Massagué and Wotton, 2000). c-Ski competes with p300 for the interaction with Smad3, recruits histone deacetylases to the Smad complexes, and represses the transcription of target genes induced by TGF-\beta (Akiyoshi \textit{et al.}, 1999). SnoN is structurally related to c-Ski and also recruits histone deacetylases (Nomura \textit{et al.}, 1999). Interestingly, upon TGF-\beta stimulation, SnoN and c-Ski are degraded by proteasomes, although the half-life of c-Ski is longer than that of SnoN (Stroschein \textit{et al.}, 1999; Sun \textit{et al.}, 1999). However, Smad3 is not degraded together with SnoN or c-Ski under these conditions. In agreement with these findings, recruitment of c-Ski did not affect the ubiquitination of Smad3 (Figure 5C). Thus, the Smad3 ubiquitination may be initiated when the transcriptional activation occurs by the recruitment of p300 to the Smad complexes; it remains to be elucidated whether interaction with transcriptional corepressors affects the ultimate fate of nuclear Smad3.

Nuclear translocation of Smad2 triggers its degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway (Lo and Massagué, 1999); however, it has not been determined where in the cell Smad2 is degraded. We have shown in the present study that nuclear Smad3 is located in the cytoplasm after binding to the ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} complex (Figure 6, A and B). Function of ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} may be essential for the nuclear export of Smad3, because in the absence of the components of ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a}, Smad3C remains in the nucleus (Figure 6C). Notably, the ROC1 mutant C75A/H77A failed to induce the nuclear export of Smad3C, suggesting the importance of ubiquitin ligase activity in this process. A nuclear localization signal has been identified in the MH1 domain of R-Smad (Xiao \textit{et al.}, 2000); however, this motif may not be involved in the nuclear export of Smad3 by ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a}, because the nuclear export was observed in both full-length Smad3 and Smad3C lacking the MH1 and linker regions.

t27, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, is exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm for proteasomal degradation. A 38-kDa protein JAB1 has been shown to induce the nuclear export of p27, resulting in the acceleration of its proteasomal degradation (Tomoda \textit{et al.}, 1999). The p53 tumor-suppressor protein is also exported to the cytoplasm by MDM2, for which polyubiquitination or other activities associated with the MDM2 RING-finger domain may be required (Boyd \textit{et al.}, 2000; Geyer \textit{et al.}, 2000). It should be determined in the future whether ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} by itself can induce the nuclear export of Smad3 or whether other proteins may participate together with ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} in the nuclear export of Smad3.

Our present study demonstrates the ultimate destination of Smad3 in the TGF-\beta-signaling pathway. Upon activation by the TGF-\beta receptors, Smad3 forms a heteromeric complex with Smad4 and translocates into the nucleus, where it regulates transcription of target genes. Smad3 recruits transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBF, which facilitate the transcriptional activation of target genes, but also triggers the interaction of Smad3 with the ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} complex. Smad3 is then exported to the cytoplasm and is degraded by the 26S proteasomes; TGF-\beta/Smad3 signaling is thus irreversibly terminated by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. Perturbation of the TGF-\beta/Smad-signaling pathway results in the cellular resistance to the growth inhibitory activity of TGF-\beta and progression of tumors. It will be important to determine how the degradation of Smad3 by ROC1-SCF\textsubscript{Fbw1a} is regulated under physiological and pathological conditions.
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