Regulation of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Signaling by Endocytosis and Intracellular Trafficking
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Ligand activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) leads to its rapid internalization and eventual delivery to lysosomes. This process is thought to be a mechanism to attenuate signaling, but signals could potentially be generated after endocytosis. To directly evaluate EGFR signaling during receptor trafficking, we developed a technique to rapidly and selectively isolate internalized EGFR and associated molecules with the use of reversibly biotinylated anti-EGFR antibodies. In addition, we developed antibodies specific to tyrosine-phosphorylated EGFR. With the use of a combination of fluorescence imaging and affinity precipitation approaches, we evaluated the state of EGFR activation and substrate association during trafficking in epithelial cells. We found that after internalization, EGFR remained active in the early endosomes. However, receptors were inactivated before degradation, apparently due to ligand removal from endosomes. Adapter molecules, such as Shc, were associated with EGFR both at the cell surface and within endosomes. Some molecules, such as Grb2, were primarily found associated with surface EGFR, whereas others, such as Eps8, were found only with intracellular receptors. During the inactivation phase, c-Cbl became EGFR associated, consistent with its postulated role in receptor attenuation. We conclude that the association of the EGFR with different proteins is compartment specific. In addition, ligand loss is the proximal cause of EGFR inactivation. Thus, regulated trafficking could potentially influence the pattern as well as the duration of signal transduction.

INTRODUCTION

The structure and function of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is evolutionarily conserved from Caenorhabditis elegans to Homo sapiens (Aroian et al., 1990) and its activity regulates the proliferation, motility, and differentiation of many different cell types (Sibilia and Wagner, 1995; Threadgill et al., 1995). Binding of any one of at least five ligands activates the intrinsic tyrosine kinase domain of the EGFR (van der Geer et al., 1994), which phosphorylates itself and activates other members of the EGFR family, such as HER2 (Stern and Kamps, 1988; van der Geer et al., 1994). Receptor phosphotyrosine residues act as nucleation sites for additional proteins such as Shc, Grb2, mSOS, ras-GAP, phospholipase C-γ, Eps8, and c-Cbl (Rozakis-Adcock et al., 1992; Fazioli et al., 1993; van der Geer et al., 1994; Levkowitz et al., 1998). These receptor signaling partners are activated by allosteric effects or by tyrosine phosphorylation, leading to recruitment of additional signaling molecules (van der Geer et al., 1994). Downstream kinase cascades and specific protein-protein assemblages can, in turn, determine cell type-specific responses (Tan and Kim, 1999).

Activated EGFR are rapidly internalized by coated pits, sorted through early endosomes, and ultimately degraded in lysosomes by a process generally known as receptor down-regulation (Wiley et al., 1991; Sorkin and Waters, 1993). G-protein coupled receptors, as well as other receptor tyrosine kinases, are also down-regulated after ligand activation (Sorkin and Waters, 1993; Kallal et al., 1998). Although degradation is the ultimate fate of internalized receptors, the rate of receptor degradation is much slower than their rate of internalization. Thus, substantial intracellular pools of receptors and ligands can accumulate (Wiley et al., 1985). It is clear that receptors are initially activated at the plasma membrane, but it is much less certain whether internalized receptors remain active until they are degraded. It is also unknown whether signals from internalized receptors are qualitatively different from those generated at the cell surface.
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For more than a decade, investigators have debated the existence of “signaling endosomes.” Experiments with rat liver have demonstrated that, after the administration of a bolus of EGF, intracellular EGFR are associated with Shc, Grb2, and mSOS (Di Guglielmo et al., 1994). These signaling cofactors are thought to be responsible for initiating signals at the cell surface (van der Geer et al., 1994). Additionally, other receptor substrates, such as c-src and rho-B, are enriched in endosomes (Adamson et al., 1992; Kaplan et al., 1992). The strongest evidence supporting the signaling endosome hypothesis comes from recent genetic and biochemical experiments with the EGFR and the β-adrenergic receptor. Schmid and colleagues used a conditional dynamin mutant to block EGFR endocytosis, resulting in specific signal transduction pathways being up-regulated and others being attenuated (Vieira et al., 1996). In similar experiments with the β-adrenergic receptor, endocytosis was inhibited with the use of both the nonspecific conditional dynamin mutant to block EGFR endocytosis, resulting in specific signal transduction pathways being up-regulated and others being attenuated (Vieira et al., 1996). In similar experiments with the β-adrenergic receptor, endocytosis was inhibited with the use of both the nonspecific conditional dynamin mutant and a specific mutation in β-arrestin. This resulted in inhibition of mitogen-activated protein kinase activation (Daaka et al., 1998; Ahn et al., 1999). Together, these data suggest that specific signals can arise from the endosomal compartment.

Despite the positive evidence, it has been argued that EGFR signal transduction is primarily restricted to the cell surface (Fiore and Gill, 1999). To a large extent, this idea is based on the correlation between low rates of EGFR internalization and cell transformation (Wells et al., 1990; Huang et al., 1997). Supporting this argument is the observation that v-Cbl transforms cells at least in part by shunting EGFR back to the cell surface (Levkowitz et al., 1998). These data, however, do not directly rule out the possibility that signal transduction can arise from endosomes; nor do they separate the effects of inhibiting receptor endocytosis from the effects of inhibiting ligand or receptor degradation. Endosomes could still make up an important signaling compartment.

A major difficulty in evaluating the role of endosomal signaling is the low sensitivity of current techniques. In general, one must isolate endosomal compartments at different times after ligand stimulation and evaluate their composition (Wada et al., 1992). Because of the low yield and time-consuming nature of this approach, previous studies have been restricted to abundant tissues, such as rat liver, or transformed cells that overexpress receptors or specific signaling components (Levkowitz et al., 1998; Xue and Lucocq, 1998). Although these studies have been informative, they have necessary limitations. Rat liver is not a physiologically important target of EGFR action and overexpression of receptors or signaling molecules can lead to altered trafficking or function. These technical issues have made it difficult to determine whether endosomal signaling is a normal consequence of EGFR activation or is restricted to specific experimental systems.

To investigate the role of EGFR trafficking in its biological actions, we have used responsive human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC). Genetic and biochemical studies in mice have shown that normal EGFR function is critical for the development of the mammary epithelium (Fowler et al., 1995; Xie et al., 1997). In vitro, blocking the EGFR in HMEC leads to cell cycle arrest as well as inhibition of cell migration and organization (Stamper et al., 1993; Wiley et al., 1998; Dong et al., 1999). Importantly, HMEC normally express high levels of EGFR, facilitating biochemical studies (Bates et al., 1990; Burke and Wiley, 1999). To investigate EGFR trafficking, we developed a new biochemical technique to isolate activated EGFR within endosomes with the use of a reversibly biotinylated nonantagonistic anti-EGFR antibody. In addition, we developed antibodies specific to tyrosine-phosphorylated EGFR to follow activated EGFR by immunofluorescence techniques. With the use of these approaches, we observed that the pattern of EGFR association with substrates and adaptor proteins changed as the EGFR moved from the cell surface through the endosomal compartment. In addition, we found that internalized EGFR lost both phosphotyrosine and associated ligand before degradation. Our results suggest that endosomes make up a major site of regulated EGFR signaling in responsive cells and that ligand loss is the proximal cause of attenuated receptor signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**General**

Human EGF was obtained from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NY) and transforming growth factor (TGF)-α was obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). Monoclonal antibody (mAb) 225 against the EGFR (Gill et al., 1984) was purified from hybridomas obtained from American Type Culture Collection. mAb 13A9 against the human EGFR (Winkler et al., 1989) was a generous gift from Genentec (San Francisco, CA). Anti-EGFR antibody C-13 and anti-EEA1 antibody 14 were from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY). Anti-HER2 antibody C18 and anti-EGFR antibody 1005 were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-LAMP-2 antibody H4B4, developed by J.T. August and J.E.K. Hillbreth, was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biological Sciences, Iowa City, IA. Antibodies used in Western blotting were purchased from Transduction Laboratories and used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies were purchased from Pierce (Rockford, IL). Western blot detection was done with enhanced chemiluminescence (NEN-Renaissance, Boston, MA) and detected on film. Densitometry was done with the use of Molecular Analyst 2.2 software Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Monoclonal 13A9 and antibodies against EEA1 and LAMP-2 were directly labeled with Alexa dye 488 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Alexa-594 streptavidin and EGF complexed to Texas Red streptavidin were purchased from Molecular Probes.

The HMEC cell line 184A1 was provided by Dr. Martha Stamper and was cultured in DFCI-1 medium supplemented with 12.5 ng/ml EGF (Stamper, 1985; Band and Sager, 1989). HB2 cells were obtained from Dr. Joyce Taylor-Papadimitriou and were cultured as 105 EGFR, respectively (Burke and Wiley, 1999). Importantly, HMEC normally express high levels of EGFR, facilitating biochemical studies (Bates et al., 1990; Burke and Wiley, 1999). To investigate EGFR trafficking, we developed a new biochemical technique to isolate activated EGFR within endosomes with the use of a reversibly biotinylated nonantagonistic anti-EGFR antibody. In addition, we developed antibodies specific to tyrosine-phosphorylated EGFR to follow activated EGFR by immunofluorescence techniques. With the use of these approaches, we observed that the pattern of EGFR association with substrates and adaptor proteins changed as the EGFR moved from the cell surface through the endosomal compartment. In addition, we found that internalized EGFR lost both phosphotyrosine and associated ligand before degradation. Our results suggest that endosomes make up a major site of regulated EGFR signaling in responsive cells and that ligand loss is the proximal cause of attenuated receptor signaling.

**Antibody against EGF Phosphorylated at Tyr-1173**

A phosphopeptide corresponding to the major tyrosine phosphorylation site of the EGFR (ENAEpYLRVAPC) was custom synthesized, conjugated to KLH, and used to raise antisera in sheep (QCB, Hopkinton, MA). Sera from immunized sheep were precipitated with 50% ammonium sulfate, resuspended in 10 ml of 50 mM Tris,
Separation of Internal from Surface EGFR

Cells were grown to near confluence in 100-mm tissue culture plates. Eighteen hours before the experiment, cells were changed to bicarbonate-free medium and incubating for 2 h at 37°C to achieve steady-state labeling of the receptor pool. The cells were then treated with 50 nM EGF in the absence of Btn-13A9 with the use of a staggered time schedule so that the cells could be processed at the same time. To control for the varying treatment times with Btn-13A9, cell samples treated with and without Btn-13A9 for the entire incubation period were also collected.

Separation of Internal from Surface EGFR

To isolate the Btn-13A9 from cells, we used streptavidin agarose. To isolate the nonbiotinylated 13A9, we used precoupled rabbit, anti-mouse protein A Sepharose. This was made by incubating 1.5 ml of 50% slurry of precoupled rabbit, anti-mouse protein A Sepharose. The final product (75% recovery) was dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored at −20°C. After dialysis, the sample was washed twice in 1 ml of wash buffer (10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 2 mM EDTA, 0.02% azide, 0.1 mM orthovanadate, and 2 mM sodium pyrophosphate) at 4°C. Sample aliquots were solubilized by boiling in 1% SDS, 1% β-mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, for 5 min, snap frozen, and stored at −20°C. Samples were analyzed by Western blot after separation on 7.5% denaturing polyacrylamide gels. To maximize sample utility, blots were probed sequentially for different antigens. For example, one blot was probed first with anti-EGFR antibody (C-13, a mouse antibody) and then with anti-Shc (a rabbit antibody). A similar strategy was used for detecting Grb2 and Eps8.

Fluorescence Microscopy

Endocytosis Regulates EGFR Signaling

Cells were plated on fibronectin-coated coverslips and changed to medium lacking EGF 24 h before the experiment. Cells were treated at 37°C with 200 ng/ml biotinylated EGF complexed with Texas Red streptavidin. At appropriate intervals, coverslips were rinsed in ice-cold saline and fixed with 3.6% paraformaldehyde, 0.024% saponin, freshly prepared in PBS as previously described (Wiley et al., 1984). The fixed cells were incubated with biotinylated mAb 13A9 for 1 h and then washed with PBS on ice. The cells were incubated for 10 min with monoclonal antibody 13A9, followed by incubation with 100 μg/ml of streptavidin-peroxidase for 10 min. After washing, the cells were incubated with 200 μg/ml of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and 10 μg/ml of hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 10 min. After washing, the cells were incubated with 100 μg/ml of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and 10 μg/ml of hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 10 min. After washing, the cells were incubated with 100 μg/ml of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and 10 μg/ml of hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 10 min. After washing, the cells were incubated with 100 μg/ml of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and 10 μg/ml of hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 10 min.
1998). Cells were incubated with 3.5 μg/ml affinity-purified sheep anti-1173-P for 1 h followed by staining with 1 μg/ml Alexa-488-labeled mAb 13A9, 5 μg/ml Cy5-labeled affinity-purified donkey anti-sheep IgG (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA), and 15 nM 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole for 45 min. After rinsing, the coverslips were mounted in 40 μl of Prolong mounting medium (Molecular Probes). Slides were viewed with an inverted microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY) with the use of a 60× objective and an XF57 multiband filter set (Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT). Images (657 × 517) were captured separately at four wavelengths (460 nm; 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, 520 nm; Alexa-488, 610 nm; Texas Red and 710 nm; Cy5) with the use of a MicroMax cooled CCD camera (Princeton Instruments, Trenton, NJ) attached to a Macintosh workstation running Openlab software (Improvision, Coventry, UK). Images at each wavelength were acquired with the use of a constant exposure time. Composite images were assembled in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA) with no alterations in relative gray scale levels. The lack of cross-reactivity of the Cy5-labeled affinity-purified donkey anti-sheep IgG with mouse monoclonal antibodies was verified experimentally.

To determine colocalization with organelle markers, cells were plated on coverslips, as described above, and incubated in the presence of 500 ng/ml Btn-13A9 for 2–3 h. The medium was aspirated, the coverslips were rinsed, and fresh media lacking Btn-13A9 but containing 50 nM EGF were added. At the appropriate time intervals, the cells were rinsed, fixed, and permeabilized in saponin as described above and then incubated with 1 μg/ml Alexa-594-streptavidin and 1 μg/ml mAbs against either EEA1 or LAMP-2 directly labeled with Alexa-488 for 1 h. The antibodies were dissolved in PBS containing 10 mg/ml bovine serum albumin and 0.012% saponin. Coverslips were mounted in Prolong antifade medium, and images were collected on a Bio-Rad MRC-1024 confocal microscope attached to a microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with the use of a 40× objective. Alexa-594 and Alexa-488 image pairs were acquired sequentially and imported into the Openlab software package as Tiff files. They were converted to binary images by setting the threshold to remove the bottom 25% of the full scale intensity values (0–64). A logical “AND” between the image pairs was then used to determine the colocalization between the tagged EGFR and the appropriate subcellular marker. The percentage of colocalization was calculated by dividing the number of pixels remaining after the AND operation by the number of pixels in the corresponding EGFR binary image. Composite images were assembled in Adobe Photoshop.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of Surface and Internal EGFR**

To investigate the ability of the EGFR to associate with specific signaling molecules after endocytosis, we developed a technique to rapidly isolate and separate internal from surface EGFR. Our approach was to use a reversibly biotinylated antibody against the EGFR that would not interfere with ligand binding or receptor function. The mAb 13A9 was chosen because it does not affect EGF binding, receptor internalization, or ability to heterodimerize with HER-2 (Carraway and Cerione, 1993; Lenferink et al., 1998a; Burke, Schooler, and Wiley, unpublished observations). The mAb 13A9 was biotinylated with sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin, yielding Btn-13A9. The disulfide bond in sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin allows us to release the biotin without denaturing the antibody by the use of 50 mM glutathione. Sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin has been used previously to nonspecifically label cell surface proteins and to follow their internalization (Le Bivic et al., 1990; Schmidt et al., 1997). By first attaching the disulfide-linked biotin to mAb 13A9, we have effectively made the biotinylation reaction specific for the EGFR.

**Figure 2.** Anti-EGFR mAb 13A9 remains stably associated with the EGFR. (A) Cells were incubated with 100 ng/ml Btn-13A9 for 2 h at 37°C, and they were either left untreated (lane 1) or treated with 50 nM TGF-α (lanes 2 and 3) or EGF (lanes 4 and 5) for 15 min. Extracts were prepared in either the presence (lanes 3 and 5) or absence (lanes 2 and 4) of a 500-fold molar excess of competitive mAb 225. Total EGFR, identified in lane 1, was isolated from parallel cell extracts with the use of 2 μg of Btn-13A9. After precipitation of the Btn-13A9 with streptavidin agarose, Western blots were made and probed with anti-EGFR antibodies (top) or anti-Tyr(P) antibodies (bottom). (B) Cells were incubated with 100 ng/ml Btn-225 for 2 h at 37°C, and they were either left untreated (lane 1) or treated with 2 nM EGF for 15 min (lanes 2 and 3). Extracts were prepared in either the presence (lanes 3) or absence (lanes 1 and 2) of a 100-fold molar excess of competitive mAb 225. Extracts were analyzed as described for A. (C) Efficiency of strip and precipitation steps. Cells were incubated with Btn-13A9 on ice for 2 h. Parallel plates were treated with or without the glutathione strip solution as indicated. Both plates were serially treated with streptavidin agarose (first step) and then rabbit anti-mouse coupled to protein A agarose (second step). Western blots of the precipitates were visualized with anti-EGFR. −, without; +, with.
To isolate the EGFR at different points in the endocytic pathway, we took advantage of its occupancy-dependent trafficking. By providing a bolus of exogenous EGF, we can occupy the biotin-tagged EGFR as a cohort and follow its endocytic trafficking as a synchronized wave. After glutathione treatment, only the surface EGFR should be associated with nonbiotinylated mAb 13A9. Streptavidin-agarose followed by secondary antibodies coupled to protein A Sepharose can then be used to sequentially separate the two populations of receptors. The general protocol is depicted in Figure 1.

For our protocol to work as planned, both biotinylated and nonbiotinylated 13A9 must remain bound to a particular receptor throughout the course of the isolation steps. To determine the stability of Btn-13A9 binding, we first incubated cells in nonsaturating amounts of Btn-13A9 for 2 h at 37°C. We then added EGF for 10 min, lysed the cells, and isolated Btn-13A9 with streptavidin agarose in the presence of a competing anti-EGFR mAb 225. As shown in Figure 2A, top, competing antibodies did not alter the levels of EGFR isolated with Btn-13A9 (contrast lane 2 with lane 3 and lane 4 with lane 5). This is in contrast to biotinylated anti-EGFR mAb 225. As seen in Figure 2B, lane 3, the addition of unlabeled excess 225 mAb blocked recovery of EGFR by streptavidin agarose. This indicates that the unlabeled 225 was able to displace previously bound biotinylated 225 mAb.

Further proof of the stability of Btn-13A9 is offered by the divergent results seen when cells are activated with either EGF or TGFα. Because 13A9 selectively blocks the binding of TGFα to EGFR (Winkler et al., 1989; Lenferink et al., 1998a), 13A9 should only be able to precipitate receptors activated by EGF. Thus, the absence of phosphorylated EGFR in Figure 2A, lanes 2 and 3, shows that this is indeed the case. In contrast, the use of biotinylated 225 mAb results in the isolation of phosphorylated EGFR when EGF is added, even though this antibody can bind only to empty receptors. This indicates an exchange between different receptors during the isolation step. The lack of such behavior by Btn-13A9 demonstrates that it remains stably bound to EGFR during the extraction and isolation steps.

To test the efficiency of biotin removal from cell-surface-associated Btn-13A9, we first blocked endocytosis by incubating cells at 4°C. Btn-13A9 was bound to the cells, which were then treated either with or without glutathione and lysed in detergent. As shown in Figure 2C, glutathione treatment reduced the ability of streptavidin agarose to pull out 13A9-EGFR complexes by 90–95%. Other investigators who have used sulfo-NHS-Ss-biotin, reported similar efficiencies (Le Bivic et al., 1990). The streptavidin-agarose incubation step removed ~85–95% of the total Btn-13A9, based on the inability of secondary antibodies/protein A to bring down any additional EGFR (Figure 2C). Secondary antibodies and protein A Sepharose, however, could efficiently remove the 13A9-EGFR complexes remaining after removal of the biotin (Figure 2C). This demonstrates that the glutathione treatment efficiently removes biotin from surface-associated Btn-13A9. In addition, our data show that we can efficiently isolate both biotinylated and nonbiotinylated 13A9-EGFR complexes.

**Figure 2.** Trafficking kinetics of EGFR in complex with Btn-13A9. (A) Cells were incubated for 2 h at 4°C in the presence of 500 ng/ml Btn-13A9. Cells were washed and 50 nM EGF in prewarmed medium was added for the indicated number of minutes. Surface-associated biotin was removed with glutathione and internal and surface EGFR were isolated as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Shown are Western blots of the precipitates visualized with anti-EGFR. (B) Cells were incubated with 200 ng/ml Btn-13A9 for 60 min at 37°C in the absence (left, −) or presence (right, +) of 50 nM EGF. Cells were then fixed, permeabilized, and stained with Texas Red-labeled streptavidin.

### Internalization and Trafficking of EGFR Tagged with Btn-13A9

Before we used Btn-13A9 as a “tag” to follow the EGFR through endosomes, we first verified that it did not disrupt the normal trafficking of the EGFR. Btn-13A9 was first bound to the cell surface at 0°C. Cells were then warmed to 37°C in the presence of EGF, and at different times they were cooled and stripped with glutathione. The surface and internalized EGFR were isolated as described above. The relative levels of the isolated receptors were then determined by Western blot. As shown in Figure 3A, in the absence of EGF and before warming the cells, 90–95% of the EGFR was found on the cell surface. After EGF addition and warming, the Btn-13A9–tagged EGFR rapidly lost its sensitivity to glutathione, indicating internalization. The time necessary to lose half of the Btn-13A9 tag from the cell surface (~5 min) is the same as the half-life of EGFR internalization in 184A1 cells (Burke and Wiley, 1999), suggesting...
that Btn-13A9 binding did not alter the kinetics of receptor internalization.

To verify that glutathione-resistant Btn-13A9 represents internalized EGFR, we incubated cells at 37°C for 1 h with Btn-13A9 in the presence or absence of EGF. The cells were fixed and permeabilized and the distribution of the Btn-13A9 was ascertained with the use of Alexa-594–labeled streptavidin. As shown in Figure 3B, cells treated without EGF displayed a Btn-13A9 distribution predominantly at the cell surface. In the presence of EGF, however, the Btn-13A9 was found in intracellular vesicles. The relative distribution of Btn-13A9 between the cell surface and intracellular compartments matches the sensitivity of Btn-13A9 to glutathione treatment, indicating that glutathione-resistant Btn-13A9 indeed represents internalized EGFR.

It has previously been shown that the addition of EGF induces the trafficking of the EGFR through early endosomes and into lysosomes (Sorkin and Waters, 1993). To ensure that the Btn-13A9 did not alter the normal trafficking pattern of the EGFR, we followed the trafficking of Btn-13A9–EGFR complexes with the use of Alexa-594 streptavidin and Alexa-488–labeled antibodies against markers of early endosomes (EEA1; Mu et al., 1995) and late endosomes/lysosomes (LAMP-2; Chen et al., 1985). We collected confocal images at different times after EGF addition and determined the extent of colocalization. As shown in Figure 4.
4A, Btn-13A9–EGFR displayed significant colocalization with the EEA1 marker within 5 min. This colocalization peaked at 72% by 15 min and subsequently fell. In contrast, colocalization of Btn-13A9–EGFR with the LAMP-2 marker was low at first but increased to 59% by 1 h (Figure 4B). This indicates that in the presence of EGF the Btn-13A9–EGFR complex passes sequentially through the early endosomes and into the lysosomes with the same kinetics as previously reported for the EGFR (Sorkin and Waters, 1993; Futter et al., 1996).

Receptor Deactivation Precedes Receptor Degradation

It has been demonstrated that internally localized EGFR can be active and tyrosine phosphorylated. However, how long they remain active is unknown (Wada et al., 1992; Di Guglielmo et al., 1994; Baass et al., 1995). Because EGFR kinase activity is not required for receptor degradation, it seemed possible that the receptor could be deactivated before being degraded (Herbst et al., 1994; Opresko et al., 1995). To investigate the kinetics of assembly of signaling molecules on intracellular EGFR, it was necessary to define the time interval during which internalized EGFR would be active.

Deactivation and degradation of internally localized EGFR were analyzed directly with the use of our method-
ogy. HMEC were incubated in the presence of Btn-13A9 for 2 h at 37°C. After that time, excess Btn-13A9 was removed and fresh media containing 50 nM EGF were added for various lengths of time. Cell surface-associated biotin was stripped with glutathione, and internally localized EGFR were isolated with the use of streptavidin agarose. EGFR and Tyr(P) were detected by Western blot analysis. Preliminary experiments showed that internalized phosphorylated EGFR reached a maximum between 10 and 30 min (also see Figures 8 and 9). The peak of receptor phosphorylation was followed by a rapid decline such that by 60 min most of the receptor-associated Tyr(P) was gone (Figure 5). In contrast, more than half of the isolated EGFR appeared to be intact at 60 min, and the remainder was lost over the ensuing 40 min. The observed loss of EGFR could be due to either receptor degradation or to breakdown of the Btn-13A9 tag. The appearance of lower molecular weight EGFR-immunoreactive material after 40 min indicates that at least a component of the loss was due to receptor degradation (Figure 5A). It appears, however, that internalized EGFR are deactivated ~20 min before degradation (Figure 5B). There are at least two mechanisms that could explain why the loss of receptor activity precedes the loss of receptor mass. First, the EGFR could enter a compartment that reduces its activity. Such a compartment, for example, could possess high phosphatase activity or contain other enzymes that covalently modify receptors. Alternately, the ligand could be lost or degraded before receptor degradation. To discriminate between these possibilities, we simultaneously followed total EGFR, activated EGFR, and bound EGF by immunofluorescence.

Shown in Figure 6 is the result of treating HMEC for different lengths of time with Texas Red-labeled EGF. Total EGFR was identified by the use of mouse mAb 13A9, whereas activated EGFR were localized by the use of affinity-purified sheep antibodies against a peptide corresponding to the major site of self-phosphorylation of the EGFR (Tyr1173). This antibody recognizes only the phosphorylated EGFR (Figure 6, top). Western blots of cells treated with EGF show only a single band corresponding to the molecular weight of the EGFR, demonstrating that other receptor substrates are not recognized (Schooler and Wiley, unpublished results). At both 5 and 15 min, the pattern of EGF, EGFR, and phosphorylated EGFR (1173-P) are essentially identical, both at membrane ruffles and in intracellular vesicles (Figure 6, top, arrows). Thus, at early time points, virtually all EGF-ligated receptors are phosphorylated, regardless of their cellular location. After ~30 min, however, the intracellular levels of activated receptors are greatly diminished relative to total receptor mass. There is also a loss of labeled EGF coincident with the loss of phosphotyrosine, although the reduction in phosphorylated EGFR was more extensive (Figure 6, bottom). By 60 min of EGF treatment, loss of phosphorylated EGFR was almost complete, coincident with entry of the EGFR into the late endosome/lysosome compartment (Figure 4). In parallel experiments, the internal 1173-P label colocalized with the EEA1 marker but never colocalized with the Lamp-2 marker (Burke, Schooler, and Wiley, unpublished results). Therefore, the EGFR in the late endosomes/lysosomes do not appear to be active. We conclude that deactivation of the EGFR precedes receptor degradation, most likely because of ligand loss.
EGFR Form Specific Spatially Restricted Signaling Complexes

Our data show that EGFR remain tyrosine phosphorylated for a considerable length of time after internalization. To determine whether these receptors were in complex with other signaling molecules, we treated cells with EGF for varying lengths of time and isolated the intracellular receptors after glutathione stripping. The receptor isolates were separated by gel electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with antibodies for phosphotyrosine. Because many proteins that associate with the EGFR are also phosphorylated, nonreceptor proteins that contain phosphotyrosine should represent signaling partners. Because the high levels of phosphorylated EGFR could obscure the detection of minor components, the EGFR-containing sections of Western blots were removed and exposed separately. As shown in Figure 7, multiple tyrosine phosphorylated proteins are associated with internalized EGFR. Additional blots, run in parallel, indicate that the three indicated bands represent Shc. Although the highest levels of substrate association occurs within 10 min, significant association was observed for up to 30 min. These results show that our coimmunoprecipitation protocol allows the detection of multiple EGFR-associated signaling proteins.

To determine whether signaling proteins that associate with internalized EGFR are different from those that associate at the cell surface, we treated cells with EGF for varying lengths of time and isolated the intracellular receptors after glutathione stripping. The receptor isolates were separated by gel electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with antibodies for phosphotyrosine. Because many proteins that associate with the EGFR are also phosphorylated, nonreceptor proteins that contain phosphotyrosine should represent signaling partners. Because the high levels of phosphorylated EGFR could obscure the detection of minor components, the EGFR-containing sections of Western blots were removed and exposed separately. As shown in Figure 7, multiple tyrosine phosphorylated proteins are associated with internalized EGFR. Additional blots, run in parallel, indicate that the three indicated bands represent Shc. Although the highest levels of substrate association occurs within 10 min, significant association was observed for up to 30 min. These results show that our coimmunoprecipitation protocol allows the detection of multiple EGFR-associated signaling proteins.

The results obtained above (Figure 8) were obtained with HMEC displaying a basal phenotype (Taylor-Papadimitriou et al., 1989). Although these cells are very responsive to EGF, they express very low levels of HER2 (<10,000 per cell; Burke and Wiley, unpublished observations). Because HER2 is an important signaling component of the EGFR system, we were interested in determining the location where EGFR and HER2 form heterodimers. For this analysis, we used HB2 cells, which are HMEC that have a pattern of keratin expression that is characteristic of a luminal phenotype (Bar-tek et al., 1991). These cells express ~60,000 HER2 molecules per cell (Worthylake et al., 1999).
ously shown that the trafficking of activated EGFR in HB2 cells is essentially identical to 184A1 cells (Burke and Wiley, 1999).

The pattern of association of HER2, Grb2, and Shc with surface and internalized EGFR as a function of time is shown in Figure 9. The internalization of the activated EGF was somewhat slower than that observed for 184A1 cells, probably because of normal variation between experiments. As was the case with 184A1 cells, Grb2 showed a preferential association with surface EGFR, whereas Shc displayed no preference (Table 1). Some HER2 was found associated with the EGFR even in the absence of exogenous ligand. The addition of EGF caused the loss of EGFR–HER2 complexes from the cell surface, concomitantly with the loss of surface EGFR. There was a slow accumulation of internal EGFR–HER2 complexes after ~20–30 min, approximately when EGFR leave the early endosomes. We conclude that EGFR–HER2 complexes initially form at the cell surface but can accumulate in later compartments in the endocytic pathway, consistent with results of previous studies (Worthylake et al., 1999).

**DISCUSSION**

Endocytosis and lysosomal targeting of the EGFR is a normal consequence of receptor activation. Because degradation will inevitably terminate receptor signaling, trafficking of the EGFR has traditionally been viewed in the context of attenuation. Indeed, inhibition of receptor internalization and degradation will enhance signaling. Although EGFR internalization is the first step in receptor degradation, internalization is not necessarily an attenuation process itself. As demonstrated a number of years ago, most EGF associated with cells at steady state is in an intracellular compartment because of the disparity between rates of receptor internalization and rates of lysosomal targeting (Wiley et al., 1985). In the case of HMEC, the t_{1/2} of EGF internalization is ~5 min, but at least 20 min is required to transit the early endosomes. Thus, at a minimum, there will be at least fourfold more EGF inside the cell than at the surface at steady state. The salient question is whether the internalized ligand-receptor complex is still engaged in productive signaling and whether trafficking processes control signaling specificity.

The ability of different signaling molecules to interact with specific domains of phosphorylated receptors has been proposed to control signaling specificity (van der Geer et al., 1994). Recent experiments with the platelet-derived growth factor receptor suggest that SH2 restriction is permissive and functionally weak. Mutations in the platelet-derived growth factor receptor that eliminate specific SH2-binding domains ultimately have little effect on signal specificity (Soler et al., 1994; Fambrough et al., 1999). Other investigators have suggested that trafficking can regulate receptor signaling in that specific recycling rates control receptor half-life and therefore signal duration (Lenferink et al., 1998b; Waterman et al., 1998). Recently, we demonstrated that signaling through the phospholipase C-γ pathway is restricted to the cell surface, whereas signaling through the ras pathway occurs through both the cell surface and intracellular compartments (Haugh et al., 1999a, 1999b). Data from the studies described here also suggest that receptor-ligand trafficking can alter specific receptor-substrate interactions and thereby could potentially regulate signal specificity.

To examine the molecular composition of EGFR-signaling complexes at the cell surface and within cells, we used a reversibly biotinylated anti-EGFR antibody. Although our technique is straightforward, there are some methodological biases that need to be addressed. The first is the stability of the antibody used to label the receptor. The second is the efficiency of the strip protocol used to remove surface-associated tag, and the third is the time bias inherent with serial precipitation steps. We have addressed the first two steps by demonstrating directly that the Btn-13A9 antibody is stably associated with the EGFR and that the biotin moiety can be efficiently removed with a mild glutathione strip. The time bias of serial precipitation steps arises from the longer incubation times needed to remove the surface-associated (non-

### Table 1. Relative association of substrates with surface and internal EGFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>184A1 cells</th>
<th>HB2 cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inside</td>
<td>Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shc</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grb2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eps8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown are the ratios of the substrates and the EGFR isolated from either the surface or intracellular compartment as determined by densitometry of Western blots. Exposures were adjusted to keep the band density within the linear range of the film. Substrates and EGFR were run on same gel and exposed at the same time. Samples were taken between 10 and 30 min after EGF exposure. Error is SEM of at least three separate samples. ND, not determined.
biotinylated) antibody from the internalized (biotinylated)
antibody. This means that weak interactions between recep-
tors and substrates will be biased toward the internal recep-
tor pool. Despite this concern, we did observe substrates that
displayed a preference for the surface EGFR pool, indicating
that the association of the EGFR with at least some of it
substrates is sufficiently stable to accurately reflect their
distribution in situ. In general, however, our technique is
probably best suited for the isolation of internalized recep-
tors.

It was important to demonstrate that the antibody used to
isolate the internalized EGFR did not alter their behavior.
We and others have demonstrated that the association of
mAb 13A9 has little effect on the biochemical properties of
the EGFR (Winkler et al., 1989; Carraway and Cerione, 1993;
Lenferink et al., 1998a). We also found that in the presence of
mAb 13A9 EGFR tyrosine kinase activity, EGF-stimulated
mitogenesis appear normal (Burke, Schooler, and Wiley,
unpublished observations). Results from the current study
show that association of Bln-13A9 with the EGFR did not
change the trafficking of the EGFR.

To place our biochemical results in context, we simulta-
neously determined the trafficking pattern of the activated
EGFR in our cells. With the use of specific antibodies to
phosphorylated EGFR together with fluorescent EGF, we
found that internalized receptors were deactivated before
degradation. This conclusion is also supported by biochem-
ical data obtained from isolated, internalized EGFR. The loss
of receptor activity appears due in large part to the loss of
ligand, probably by a combination of processes such as
proteolysis, dissociation, and endosomal sorting/recycling
(Wiley et al., 1985; French et al., 1995). This conclusion con-
tricts previous studies that suggest that EGFR and asso-
ciated ligands are degraded together in lysosomes (McK-
anna et al., 1979; Futter et al., 1996) but is consistent with
previous kinetic data. For example, the degradation t1/2
of activated EGFR in HMEC is ~2 h, but the t1/2 of ligand
internalization is only 5 min (Burke and Wiley, 1999). If the
ligand and the receptor were degraded together, then the
ratio of EGF between the surface and inside of the cell would
be at least 24 (Wiley and Cunningham, 1981). The ratio,
however, is usually between 6 and 8 in these cells (Worthy-
lake et al., 1999; Burke and Wiley, unpublished observa-
tions). This indicates that EGFR is lost three to fourfold faster
than the receptor, either by degradation or recycling fol-
lowed by escape. This is significant because it indicates that
the proximal cause of EGFR attenuation and deactivation is
ligand removal rather than receptor degradation.

Our results directly demonstrate that internalized EGFR
are tyrosine phosphorylated and are associated with numer-
ous phosphorylated proteins. The pattern of phosphorylated
proteins was different between the internalized and the sur-
face-associated EGFR. Based on the kinetics of association of
the different proteins, it appears that substrates that associ-
ate with internalized EGFR are primarily located in EEAs-
positive early endosomes. A summary of our results is pre-

Internally localized EGFR are associated with Shc and
Grb2, as previously described by others (Di Guglielmo et al.,
1994; Oksvold et al., 2000), but Grb2 appears to bind prefer-
entially to surface-localized EGFR. We also found more
HER2 associated with the surface EGFR at early time points,
but this is probably due to most of the HER2 being located
at the cell surface. Because HER2 endocytosis is slower than
EGFR endocytosis (Baulida et al., 1996; Worthylake et al.,
1999), an activating interaction would clearly be biased at
the cell surface. At later time points, HER2 was observed to
accumulate in an internal compartment. This suggests that
EGFR may alter the trafficking of HER2, a conclusion con-
sistent with the demonstrated ability of activated EGFR to
induce down-regulation of HER2 (Worthylake and Wiley,
1997).

We found that Eps8 was almost exclusively associated
with internalized EGFR. Eps8 is phosphorylated by the
EGFR and its overexpression can enhance cell proliferation
in response to EGF (Fazioli et al., 1993). Eps8 is found in the
perinuclear region and at peripheral cell extensions (Pro-
zenzano et al., 1998). It is thought to mediate the transfer of
signals between Ras and Rac (Sciata et al., 1999). The addition
of EGF seems to enhance the association of Eps8 and EGFR,
but this association appears to be independent of receptor
phosphorylation (Fazioli et al., 1993). Our data confirm that
EGF enhances Eps8-EGFR association and suggest that traf-
ficking may regulate the interaction of Eps8 with EGFR.
Whether this is due to direct association of Eps8 with the
EGFR is currently unclear.

Consistent with previous speculations (Levkowitz et al.,
1998; Waterman et al., 1999), we found that c-Cbl associates
predominantly with internalized EGFR. C-Cbl is thought to
negatively regulate the EGFR by stimulating its degradation
(Levkowitz et al., 1998), by acting as an ubiquitin-protein
ligase, or E3 (Ioazeiro et al., 1999; Yokouchi et al., 1999). Our
kinetic data on the association between EGFR and c-Cbl are
consistent with the proposed function of c-Cbl and indicate
that it associates with EGFR in early endosomes. We also
observe a substantial increase in surface-associated c-Cbl at
later time points (>20 min), perhaps due to receptor recy-
cling. Interestingly, we observed multiple molecular weight
forms of c-Cbl in HMEC: The multiple molecular weights
could represent covalently modified forms of c-Cbl. EGFR
and other kinases are known to stimulate the tyrosine phos-
phorylation and ubiquitination of C-Cbl (Wang et al., 1996;
Lusher et al., 1998). Internalized EGFR appear to associate
well with all molecular weight forms of c-Cbl. The surface-
associated c-Cbl appears to predominantly be the larger
molecular weight form. It is known that the EGFR under-
goes extensive recycling in HMEC (Burke and Wiley, 1999).
The association of c-Cbl with recycled EGFR could indicate
that, although ubiquitination marks the EGFR for degra-
dation, it does not act as a direct sorting signal. Further studies
are needed to clarify these issues.

The question as to whether EGFR signal at the cell surface
or from an internal compartment appears to be an oversim-
plification. It now appears that different patterns of signals
arise from surface and internalized receptors. A large num-
ber of different signaling molecules have been identified
over the last several years that appear to interact with the
EGFR. Our data indicate that receptor trafficking could reg-
ulate signal specificity. Questions regarding the signaling of
EGFR should include the impact of trafficking on individual
signaling pathways. The method we have described in this
paper should be generally applicable to approaching these
questions.
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