Interactions of Elongation Factor 1α with F-Actin and β-Actin mRNA: Implications for Anchoring mRNA in Cell Protrusions
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The targeting of mRNA and local protein synthesis is important for the generation and maintenance of cell polarity. As part of the translational machinery as well as an actin/microtubule-binding protein, elongation factor 1α (EF1α) is a candidate linker between the protein translation apparatus and the cytoskeleton. We demonstrate in this work that EF1α colocalizes with β-actin mRNA and F-actin in protrusions of chicken embryo fibroblasts and binds directly to F-actin and β-actin mRNA simultaneously in vitro in actin cosedimentation and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. To investigate the role of EF1α in mRNA targeting, we mapped the two actin-binding sites on EF1α at high resolution and defined one site at the N-terminal 49 residues of domain I and the other at the C-terminal 54 residues of domain III. In vitro actin-binding assays and localization in vivo of recombinant full-length EF1α and its various truncates demonstrated that the C terminus of domain III was the dominant actin-binding site both in vitro and in vivo. We propose that the EF1α–F-actin complex is the scaffold that is important for β-actin mRNA anchoring. Disruption of this complex would lead to delocalization of the mRNA. This hypothesis was tested by using two dominant negative polypeptides: the actin-binding domain III of EF1α and the EF1α-binding site of yeast Bni1p, a protein that inhibits EF1α binding to F-actin and also is required for yeast mRNA localization. We demonstrate that either domain III of EF1α or the EF1α-binding site of Bni1p inhibits EF1α binding to β-actin mRNA in vitro and causes delocalization of β-actin mRNA in chicken embryo fibroblasts. Taken together, these results implicate EF1α in the anchoring of β-actin mRNA to the protrusion in crawling cells.

INTRODUCTION

β-Actin mRNA is localized in the lamellae in chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEF) and several other cell types (Lawrence and Singer, 1986; Hoock et al., 1991; Hill et al., 1994; Kislauskis et al., 1997). A 54-nucleotide sequence (the “zipcode”) in the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) directs this localization of β-actin mRNA (Kislauskis et al., 1994). Delocalization of β-actin mRNA with antisense oligonucleotides complementary to the zipcode suppresses cell motility (Kislauskis et al., 1997). A recent more rigorous analysis of antisense-treated cells revealed that the delocalization of β-actin mRNA causes the loss of cell polarity and the polarity of actin polymerization (Shestakova et al., 2001).

There could be several reasons for suppression of cell motility and polarity upon delocalization of β-actin mRNA. Both require actin polymerization at the leading edge (Tilney et al., 1981; Wang, 1985; Chan et al., 1998). Cells with
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delocalized β-actin mRNA may not synthesize sufficient actin to maintain actin filament polymerization over a period of time longer than the duration of the initial protrusion. As a result, the cells would have a slower rate of migration. Alternatively, the site of actin synthesis (and of other functionally related proteins) may affect the location of nucleation of actin polymerization that would define the direction of protrusion and, therefore, polarity of movement. For instance, the translation of the mRNA only while it is at the leading edge of fibroblasts would result in the selective sorting of β-actin protein to this region. β-Actin has been suggested to be an important player in leading edge dynamics by virtue of its isoform-specific interaction with other proteins (such as ezrin and β-Cap73; Shuster et al., 1996).

The sorting of β-actin mRNA requires transport and then selective anchoring of the mRNA on the actin cytoskeleton in the lamella (Sundell and Singer, 1991). We have proposed that the mRNA is translated once it is anchored, to facilitate protein sorting (Kislauskis et al., 1993). For this anchoring component, a protein capable of actin binding as well as participating in protein synthesis would be the ideal candidate for a regulatory molecule(s), coordinating both the location and translation of the mRNA. In this work we present evidence that the protein translation elongation factor 1α (EF1α) has a role in β-actin mRNA anchoring.

The conventional role of EF1α during protein synthesis is to bind and transport aminoacyl-tRNA to the A site of the ribosome in a GTP-dependent mechanism. However, an increasing body of evidence suggests that, in addition to its role in peptide elongation, EF1α may have functions beyond translation (Durso and Cyr, 1994; Condeelis, 1995). One of these proposed unconventional functions for EF1α is its role in the regulation of dynamics of the cytoskeleton (Liu et al., 1996a). In addition to binding to GDP/GTP, aminoacyl-tRNA, EF1β, and the ribosome, EF1α binds and bundles actin and binds microtubules. Because EF1α is an abundant protein in most eukaryotic cells, and it binds to actin filaments with relatively high affinity, it could be a potent regulator of the dynamics of the cytoskeleton. Previous in vitro studies demonstrated that EF1α inhibits the rate of actin polymerization and stabilizes actin filaments (Murray et al., 1996). It has been proposed that, because EF1α cross-links actin filaments via a unique bonding rule that tends to exclude other F-actin cross-linking proteins (Owen et al., 1992), the resulting actin structure could be the scaffold for the transport and/or anchorage of mRNA (Liu et al., 1996a).

It is estimated that 70–80% of mRNA in the cell is associated with, but not bound directly to, microfilaments or microtubules (Lenk et al., 1977; Cervera et al., 1981; van Venrooij et al., 1981; Taneja et al., 1992; Bassell, 1993; Bassell et al., 1994). A linker is therefore required for the association of mRNAs to the cytoskeleton. Minimal qualifications for the linker candidate include 1) ability to bind to both the mRNAs and the cytoskeleton and 2) sufficient abundance for the large number of mRNAs. Several lines of evidence suggest that EF1α is a good linker candidate. EF1α is an abundant actin/microtubule-binding protein, which is in molar excess to the other components of the protein translation machinery (Condeelis, 1995). It is found to colocalize with poly(A) RNA and ribosomes on actin filaments in fibroblasts (Bassell et al., 1994). EF1α is also found in the mRNA particles that are transported on microtubules in oligodendro-
and cultured overnight. The cells were serum starved by incubation for 3 h in medium supplemented with 12 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, and 0.35% bovine serum albumin (BSA; MEMH) and then stimulated with 5 nM epidermal growth factor (EGF) to induce the extension of lamellipodia. To assess the actin cytoskeleton localization of EF1a–GF fusion proteins, these cells were briefly permeabilized after ~4 min of EGF stimulation to remove soluble cytoplasmic proteins with 100 μl of permeabilization buffer (20 mM 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid [PIPES], pH 6.5, with 30 mM KOH, 4 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM EGTA, pH 6.5 with 20 mM KOH and 0.025% saponin). After 10 s of permeabilization at 37°C, the dishes were gently flooded with 2 ml of fixation buffer containing 3.7% formaldehyde, 5 mM PIPES, 1.1 mM NaHPO4, 0.4 mM KH2PO4, 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 4.0 mM NaHCO3, 2 mg MgcCl2, 2 mM MgTA, and 5.5 mM glucose. After 5 min of fixation at 37°C, aldehyde autofluorescence was quenched with 0.1 M glycine for 10 min. The cells were blocked with 1% BSA and 1% fetal calf serum in TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl) and then incubated with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (50 nM plus 100 nM nonlabeled phalloidin) for 2 h or overnight. The transfected MTC cells were treated similarly except that they were not EGF stimulated before saponin permeabilization.

**Fluorescence Microscopy**

All samples were viewed with an Olympus (Tokyo, Japan) microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera. Optical sections were obtained by deconvolution using IPLab computer software (Scanco-lytics, Fairfax, VA). Image processing was performed with NIH Image (version 1.6, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and Photoshop (version 5.0; Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA) software.

**Actin Cosedimentation Assay**

Actin cosedimentation was performed in sedimentation buffer containing 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM diethiothreitol (DTT), 15 mM KCl, 25 mM PIPES, and 2 mM EGTA (pH 6.8 with 0.6 M glycine for 10 min). The cells were rinsed with 1% BSA and 1% fetal calf serum in TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl) and then incubated with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (50 nM plus 100 nM nonlabeled phalloidin) for 2 h or overnight. The transfected MTC cells were treated similarly except that they were not EGF stimulated before saponin permeabilization.

**Role of EF1α in mRNA Localization**

To study β-actin mRNA localization in CEFs, FISH was performed as described by Kislauskis et al. (1994). For double probing of protein localization and mRNA, cells were first subjected to the normal process of indirect immunofluorescence staining using RNase-free reagents and RNase inhibitor. After secondary antibody binding and washes, the samples were fixed again using 3.7% paraformaldehyde to preserve the antibodies, followed by the normal FISH process. Both signals of FISH and immunostaining are compromised in such double-probed samples compared with either FISH or immunostaining alone. However, the general pattern of distribution of mRNA and protein in double-probed samples is comparable to that for single probing. Nevertheless, samples for either FISH or immunostaining were usually processed along with the double-probed sample to ensure the correct interpretation of signals from both mRNA and protein.

**Cell Permeabilization and Fixation**

The transfected MTLn3 cells were plated onto MatTek dishes pre-coated with rat tail collagen I (Collaborative Research, Bedford, MA) and cultured overnight. The cells were serum starved by incubation for 3 h in medium supplemented with 12 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, and 0.35% bovine serum albumin (BSA; MEMH) and then stimulated with 5 nM epidermal growth factor (EGF) to induce the extension of lamellipodia. To assess the actin cytoskeleton localization of EF1α–GF fusion proteins, these cells were briefly permeabilized after ~4 min of EGF stimulation to remove soluble cytoplasmic proteins with 100 μl of permeabilization buffer (20 mM 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid [PIPES], pH 6.5, with 30 mM KOH, 4 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM EGTA, pH 6.5 with 20 mM KOH and 0.025% saponin). After 10 s of permeabilization at 37°C, the dishes were gently flooded with 2 ml of fixation buffer containing 3.7% formaldehyde, 5 mM PIPES, 1.1 mM NaHPO4, 0.4 mM KH2PO4, 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 4.0 mM NaHCO3, 2 mg MgcCl2, 2 mM MgTA, and 5.5 mM glucose. After 5 min of fixation at 37°C, aldehyde autofluorescence was quenched with 0.1 M glycine for 10 min. The cells were blocked with 1% BSA and 1% fetal calf serum in TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl) and then incubated with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (50 nM plus 100 nM nonlabeled phalloidin) for 2 h or overnight. The transfected MTC cells were treated similarly except that they were not EGF stimulated before saponin permeabilization.

**Fluorescence Microscopy**

All samples were viewed with an Olympus (Tokyo, Japan) microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera. Optical sections were obtained by deconvolution using IPLab computer software (Scanco-lytics, Fairfax, VA). Image processing was performed with NIH Image (version 1.6, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and Photoshop (version 5.0; Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA) software.

**Actin Cosedimentation Assay**

Actin cosedimentation was performed in sedimentation buffer containing 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM diethiothreitol (DTT), 15 mM KCl, 25 mM PIPES, and 2 mM EGTA (pH 6.8 with 0.6 M glycine for 10 min). The cells were rinsed with 1% BSA and 1% fetal calf serum in TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl) and then incubated with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (50 nM plus 100 nM nonlabeled phalloidin) for 2 h or overnight. The transfected MTC cells were treated similarly except that they were not EGF stimulated before saponin permeabilization.
showed a single band on SDS-PAGE gel and pelleted with F-actin after low-speed centrifugation.

**Protein Purification**

Dictyostelium actin and EF1α, rabbit skeletal muscle actin, GST fusion proteins of Dictyostelium and rat EF1α, and corresponding truncations were prepared as described previously (Liu et al., 1996b). All of the GST fusion proteins were purified by centrifugation at 320,000 × g at 4°C for 30 min and stored in storage buffer (10 mM PIPES, 0.05% NaN3, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 25% glycerol, pH 7.0) on ice for the short term or under liquid nitrogen for the long term.

**Affinity Purification of Domain-specific Antibodies**

A recombinant fusion protein consisting of a Dictyostelium EF1α C-terminal actin-binding sequence (amino acid residues 403–456), ditydro-folate reductase, and a 6× His-tag was expressed in bacteria using an expression vector, pQE-40, from Qiagen. The fusion protein was purified using a Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose bead affinity column. The fusion protein was injected into rabbits and the antiserum obtained was purified on a GST-EF1α truncate (amino acids 403–456) fusion protein affinity column using a standard procedure described previously (Bresnick et al., 1991).

**Immunoprecipitation of Native Dictyostelium EF1α by Anti-C-Terminal Antibody**

The affinity-purified C-terminal actin-binding site antibody was first incubated with protein-A beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 20 mM NaPO4, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% of Tween 20, and 1 mg/ml BSA, pH7.5. Preimmune serum was used as a control. After 45 min at room temperature, the beads were washed four times with PBS and then were incubated with 0.5 μM Dictyostelium EF1α. After a 1-h incubation at room temperature, the reaction mixture was briefly centrifuged and the supernatant was obtained for SDS-PAGE. The beads were washed three times with PBS containing BSA and two times with PBS in the absence of BSA. The beads were finally boiled with gel sample buffer for SDS-PAGE. Because the heavy chain of rabbit immunoglobulin (lg) G comigrates with Dictyostelium EF1α on SDS-PAGE gel, the amount of coprecipitated EF1α was detected by Western blotting using a chicken anti-rat EF1α peptide antibody that cross-reacts with Dictyostelium EF1α.

**In Vitro Transcription of mRNA**

A 1.8-kb full-length cDNA sequence of chicken β-actin was inserted into a pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at the HindIII and XbaI sites. To linearize this plasmid for in vitro transcription, it was digested with XbaI, BamHI, or HindIII for full-length β-actin mRNA, the antisense mRNA of β-actin 3′-UTR, or the antisense mRNA of β-actin, respectively. The digested DNAs were separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel followed by purification using the Qiagen DNA extraction kit. These linearized cDNA sequences were then used as templates for in vitro transcription with an SP6 or T7 Maxiscript kit following the manufacturer’s recommendations (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). After biotin-labeling, unincorporated nucleotides were removed by using ProbeQuant G50 MicroColumns (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). 32P-labeled RNA was purified on a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel containing 42% (wt/vol) urea. The position of the labeled RNA was identified by brief exposure of the gel to x-ray film. The band containing the labeled RNA was excised, and the RNA was eluted with elution buffer (1% SDS and 1 M ammonium acetate) overnight at 37°C. The RNA was then precipitated and washed with ethanol and redissolved in water.

**Gel Mobility Shift Assay**

Gel mobility shift was used to detect the RNA-protein interaction. Labeled chicken β-actin mRNA (10,000 cpm of 32P) was incubated with buffer or 5 μg of rabbit muscle actin or rat liver EF1α at room temperature for 20 min in 20 μl of reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl2, and 5% glycerol). To minimize nonspecific RNA-protein binding, heparin was added to a final concentration of 5 mg/ml and incubated for a further 10 min at room temperature. Samples were loaded on 3% native gel for electrophoresis. The gel was dried, and the position of the mRNA was identified by autoradiography.

**ELISA to Detect the mRNA-EF1α Interaction**

Because the ELISA assay can be used to analyze a large number of samples objectively and quickly, we used this assay for most of the characterization of the binding of EF1α to mRNA. The EF1α-mRNA interaction was analyzed by using a modified ELISA assay on a 96-well plate. Briefly, tested proteins were allowed to interact with F-actin to form complexes. The protein samples were then diluted and quickly distributed into the wells of the plate and incubated overnight at 4°C in assay buffer containing 100 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, and 10 mM MgCl2, pH 7.4. These proteins were bound tightly and nonspecifically to the surface of the wells through charge and hydrophobic interactions. The wells were then washed five times with assay buffer and blocked with 1% BSA in assay buffer containing 0.05% Tween 20 for 30 min at room temperature. mRNA was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After five washes with assay buffer, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (1:5000) was added and incubated for 30 min followed by 10 washes. Peroxidase substrate TMB (Kirkegaard and Perry Laboratories, Gaithersburg, MD) was added for color development for 10 min before the reaction was stopped by adding stop solution. The samples were read at a wavelength of 450 nm using an MRX Revelation Microplate Reader with Revelation software, version 4.0 (DYNEX Technologies, Chantilly, VA).

**Light-scattering Assay**

The effect of anti-C-terminal actin-binding site antibody (anti–403–456) on EF1α’s cross-linking of actin filaments was analyzed using right angle light scattering as described previously (Liu et al., 1996b). Briefly, rabbit muscle G-actin was allowed to polymerize for 2 h at room temperature before it was used. Native Dictyostelium EF1α was incubated with IgGs for 1 h before being added to the F-actin solution in the cuvette, while the light scattering was being measured at 600 nm.

**Electrophoresis and Western Blots**

SDS-PAGE was performed according to the method of Laemmli (1970). Western blotting was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol using an ECL kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

**Estimation of Binding Affinity**

To estimate the binding affinity of EF1α truncates to F-actin, constant amounts of GST-ΕF1α recombinant proteins were allowed to interact with various amounts of F-actin. To measure the binding affinity of EF1α for mRNA, a constant amount of EF1α was used to interact with various amounts of bis-actin mRNA. To estimate the apparent Kd, the binding titration data were graphed with Origin software (version 4.1, RockWare, Golden, CO) and then curve fitted by nonlinear least squares to a bimolecular binding isotherm according to the following expression (Hulme and Birdsell, 1992):
where $AG$ is the concentration of bound ligand/receptor, $At$ is the total concentration of ligand, and $Gt$ is the total concentration of the receptor.

In addition, we have also used the GraphPad Prism software package (version 3.0; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) to analyze and curve fit the data for one- and two-site binding. The equations for one- and two-site binding are:

- For one-site binding: 
  \[
  Y = \frac{B_{\text{max}} \times X}{K_d + X}
  \]

- For two-site binding: 
  \[
  Y = \frac{B_{\text{max1}} \times X_1}{K_{d1} + X_1} + \frac{B_{\text{max2}} \times X_2}{K_{d2} + X_2}
  \]

where $Y$ is the total concentration of the receptor, $X$ is the bound ligand, and $B_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal binding of the ligand.

### RESULTS

**EF1α Is Located in Cell Protrusions where mRNA Is Anchored**

In unstimulated cell lines of Dictyostelium and rat carcinoma, EF1α is diffusely distributed (Dharmawardhane et al., 1991; Okazaki and Yumura, 1995; Edmonds et al., 1996). Interestingly, we observed in unstimulated primary CEFs that ∼60% (±12%) of the cells have EF1α in protrusions. Because this is also the region to which β-actin mRNA is localized, we double probed the CEFs for EF1α protein and β-actin mRNA to investigate the relationship of localization of EF1α protein and β-actin mRNA in the same cells. As shown in Figure 1, EF1α protein and β-actin mRNA were found to colocalize in protrusions. Because EF1α is an actin-binding protein and an elongation factor that is capable of binding to RNA (aminoacyl-tRNA), the above observations suggest that EF1α in F-actin-rich protrusions might interact directly with the β-actin mRNA. To ensure that EF1α binds to mRNA, a gel shift assay was used. As shown in Figure 2, EF1α can bind to β-actin mRNA as indicated by the formation of a protein-mRNA complex that has slower migration than the mRNA alone on the native gel.

**mRNA Binds to EF1α–F-Actin Bundles but Not to Other Types of Bundles Found In Vivo**

To investigate whether EF1α, can also bind to β-actin mRNA when bound to F-actin, F-actin bundles formed with either EF1α or other actin-binding proteins were used in actin cosedimentation assays. As shown in Figure 3A, β-actin mRNA is able to bind to EF1α–F-actin bundles but not to fimbrin–F-actin bundles, suggesting that such binding is EF1α specific. A modified ELISA assay was also used to measure binding of mRNA to actin-bundling proteins. As shown in Figure 3, some of these actin-bundling proteins by themselves bind to the mRNA in the ELISA assay. We further tested the binding of mRNA to actin bundles formed using EF1α, α-actinin, aprotinin, fascin, and fimbrin. All of these bundling proteins are known to form F-actin bundles in vivo except aprotinin, which is found in serum. Aprotinin was included as a bundling protein with basic charge as a control to determine whether a basic protein was sufficient to confer mRNA-binding activity. As shown in Figure 3C, EF1α forms a bundle capable of binding to β-actin mRNA significantly above background unlike the other bundling proteins. The binding of the α-actinin/F-actin bundle to β-actin mRNA is barely detectable.

**Binding of mRNA to EF1α Is Specific, Saturable, and of High Affinity**

To further address the binding specificity and affinity of EF1α/F-actin bundle to β-actin mRNA, titration assays were performed. As illustrated in Figure 4, the binding of EF1α–F-actin to the mRNA is saturable and shows a dose-dependent relationship. Results of analyses of these data using GraphPad Prism software predict a two-site–binding reaction with an apparent $K_d$ of ∼0.1 nM (±0.1 nM) and ∼3 nM (±3.4 nM) (Figure 4). Alternatively, a less-fit one-site–binding model predicts a $K_d$ ∼ 0.3 nM (±0.05 nM). The binding stoichiometry cannot be determined because the number of EF1α molecules that are accessible in the F-actin bundles is not known. Interestingly, p50, a universal mRNA-binding protein that was also found to bind to F-actin (Ruzanov et al., 1999), was shown to bind to mRNA with a $K_d$ of 25 nM and stoichiometry of one p50 to ∼20 nucleotides (Minich and Ovchinnikov, 1992). To further address the specificity of binding, competition assays were performed. Unlabeled mRNA was able to reduce the binding of labeled mRNA significantly, whereas poly(A) RNA failed to compete with mRNA binding to EF1α (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results).

The binding of EF1α–F-actin bundles to β-actin mRNA appears not to depend on the orientation of the mRNA...
because EF1α binds to β-actin mRNA regardless of whether it is in the sense or antisense orientation. In addition, the binding does not require the 3'-UTR of β-actin mRNA (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results), which has been shown to interact with other proteins required for mRNA targeting (Kislauskis et al., 1993). EF1α binding to β-actin mRNA was not affected by the guanine nucleotide-binding state of EF1α, pH, or salt concentration up to 200 mM KCl (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results).

**Domain III Contains the Dominant Actin-binding Site of EF1α**

The above data indicate that EF1α is able to bind to F-actin and β-actin mRNA simultaneously. Therefore, it is of interest to know which domains interact with actin and/or mRNA to further define the relationship of EF1α binding to the actin cytoskeleton and the mRNA. Previous studies indicated that there is probably more than one actin-binding site on *Dictyostelium* EF1α (Liu et al., 1996b). EF1α is an evolutionarily conserved protein family that has >80% sequence homology among eukaryotes. We used *Dictyostelium* EF1α for binding studies related to EF1α of other eukaryotes because it could be readily purified in active form. We quantified the actin-binding affinity of two domains (I and III) that were previously shown to bind to F-actin. The results of the quantitative studies are shown in Figure 5. The titration curve of domain I indicates that the binding of domain I to F-actin is weak, with the curve-fitting software (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) predicting a one-site binding with apparent $K_d \sim 6 \, \mu M$ ($\pm 3 \, \mu M$). In contrast to domain I, domain III binds to F-actin strongly, and the titration curve gives a $K_d$ of 0.15 μM. These results indicate that domain III is the dominant actin-binding domain of EF1α.

---

**Figure 2.** EF1α binds to chicken β-actin mRNA in a gel mobility shift assay. EF1α binds to the mRNA and forms a complex that exhibits shifted mobility on a 3% native gel. Lane 1, β-actin mRNA alone; lane 2, β-actin mRNA with rabbit actin; lane 3, β-actin mRNA with rat liver EF1α. Arrow indicates shifted band.

**Figure 3.** mRNA binds to EF1α-F-actin but not to other types of bundles. (A) Actin cosedimentation. Either fimbrin or EF1α (1 μM) was incubated with 2 μM F-actin for 1 h at room temperature. Biotin-labeled β-actin mRNA (3 nM) was added and incubated for 1 h before centrifugation as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Bound mRNA has been normalized against F-actin in the pellets. Lane 1, F-actin; lane 2, fimbrin/F-actin; lane 3, EF1α/F-actin. Bars, SD (n = 3). (B) Actin-binding proteins interact with mRNA in the absence of actin measured by ELISA. Each tested protein (100 nM) was immobilized in the wells of a microplate and then blocked with BSA. Biotin-labeled β-actin mRNA (3 nM) was added and incubated. After washes, the bound mRNA was quantitated as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Lane 1, F-actin; lane 2, fimbrin/F-actin; lane 3, EF1α; lane 3, fimbrin; lane 4, fascin. (C) ELISA. Each sample contained 200 nM F-actin on a microplate well, with/without 100 nM tested protein. Biotin-labeled β-actin mRNA (3 nM) was used for each sample. Lane 1, F-actin alone; lane 2, aprotinin-F-actin; lane 3, α-actinin-F-actin; lane 4, fimbrin/F-actin; lane 5, fascin/F-actin; lane 6, EF1α–F-actin. Bars, SD (n = 3).
thermore, the EF1 that is lacking in the short truncate of GST by the whole domain III may require a certain conformation. Alternatively, the maximal actin-binding activity presented for maximal actin-binding activity of domain III.

acid residues upstream of amino acid 403, which are reduced actin-binding activity of the C terminus of domain III (403–456) in the extreme C terminus. This region was tested representing two-thirds of the length of domain III (314–403). The actin-binding activity above background, whereas another truncate representing two-thirds of the length of domain III (314–403) showed no significant actin-binding activity (Figure 6B). The reduced actin-binding activity of the C terminus of domain III (403–456) may be caused by the absence of several amino acid residues upstream of amino acid 403, which are required for maximal actin-binding activity of domain III. Alternatively, the maximal actin-binding activity presented by the whole domain III may require a certain conformation that is lacking in the short truncate of GST–403–456. Furthermore, the EF1αΔC truncate only exhibited an actin-bind-

Figure 4. Binding of β-actin mRNA to EF1α is saturable and of high affinity. Complexes containing constant amounts of 10 nM EF1α with 20 nM F-actin were titrated with various amounts of biotin-labeled β-actin mRNA. The curve was fitted using Graphpad Prism software (version 3.0). The estimated apparent Kₘ values were ~0.1 nM (±0.1 nM) and ~3 nM (±3.4 nM) based on a two-site–binding prediction. Bars, SD (n = 2).

Mapping the Actin-binding Regions in Domains I and III at Higher Resolution

To delineate each of the actin-binding sites in domains I and III at higher resolution, we have expressed and purified a series of recombinant Dictyostelium EF1α truncates from bacteria. Under identical conditions, these truncates were tested for F-actin–binding activities using actin cosedimentation assays. Our mapping focused on domains I and III because these are the two domains that show actin-binding activities. We made a construct to express the N-terminal 49 residues of EF1α by taking advantage of a convenient HindIII restriction site at amino acid residue 49. As shown in Figure 6, this 49-amino acid segment of EF1α exhibited almost the same actin-binding ability as the whole domain I and that of a much bigger truncate EF1αΔC that contained ~88% of the full-length EF1α but was missing the C-terminal 54 amino acids. Therefore, the 49 amino acids at the N terminus of EF1α represents the F-actin–binding activity of domain I.

Interestingly, the actin-binding activity of domain III was localized to the 54 amino acids (403–456) in the extreme C terminus. This region was tested first in domain III because it contained the last two β-sheet structures at the C terminus (see Figure 12 in DISCUSSION) with many positively charged residues (predicted pI ~ 11.0). Although the actin-binding activity of these 54 residues was somewhat weaker than that of the intact domain III, it exhibited actin-binding activity above background, whereas another truncate representing two-thirds of the length of domain III (314–402) showed no significant actin-binding activity (Figure 6B). The reduced actin-binding activity of the C terminus of domain III (403–456) may be caused by the absence of several amino acid residues upstream of amino acid 403, which are required for maximal actin-binding activity of domain III. Alternatively, the maximal actin-binding activity presented by the whole domain III may require a certain conformation that is lacking in the short truncate of GST–403–456. Furthermore, the EF1αΔC truncate only exhibited an actin-bind-

Figure 5. Determination of the F-actin-binding affinity of recombinant Dictyostelium EF1α domains I and III. Each recombinant protein (2 μM) was incubated with various amounts of F-actin at pH 6.6, and the amount of GST-fusion protein bound to F-actin was analyzed using actin cosedimentation assays. These data were graphed and curve fit as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. The predicted Kₘs are ~6 μM (±3 μM) and ~0.15 μM (±0.04 μM) for domains I and domain III, respectively.

The Actin-binding Sites Are on the Surface of EF1α

Because the proposed actin-binding sites were derived from in vitro data using recombinant truncations of EF1α, it was possible that sequences that were normally buried inside the molecule were exposed and the measured actin-binding activity represented in vitro artifacts. To exclude this possibility, we developed an antibody specific for the C-terminal actin-binding site. Using this antibody, we were able to completely inhibit binding of domain III to F-actin and to specifically immunoprecipitate the native Dictyostelium EF1α protein (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results). Furthermore, this antibody was able to disrupt the cross-linking activity of native Dictyostelium EF1α in a light-scattering assay by >60% (Figure 7). The residual light scattering in the presence of the antibody was likely caused by partial cross-linking of two EF1α molecules bound to actin filaments via domain I. Nonimmune IgG had no effect on cross-linking activity of the EF1α (Figure 7).
Deletion of the C-Terminal Actin-binding Site of EF1α Is Correlated with Loss of Actin Binding In Vivo

The highly conservative nature of the EF1α family has made it feasible to predict actin-binding sites on rat EF1α based on the mapping results of actin-binding sites on Dictyostelium EF1α. In addition, we have prepared recombinant full-length domains I and III of rat EF1α and qualitatively confirmed their actin-binding activities in vitro using cosedimentation assays (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results). Based on sequence alignment, amino acid residues 408–462 of rat EF1α make up the corresponding actin-binding site on domain III. To study the importance of this site for actin binding in vivo, we expressed full-length or truncated rat EF1α as GFP fusion proteins in rat adenocarcinoma cells and confirmed expression by Western blotting with an antibody against GFP (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results). To reveal the cytoskeletal localization of these EF1α-GFP fusion proteins in vivo, we briefly permeabilized these cells with saponin to remove most of the soluble cytoplasmic proteins before fixation. Although GFP was seen diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus in live and unpermeabilized cells, after saponin treatment, there is no cytoskeletal localization of the GFP (Figure 8). The nuclear localization of the GFP is probably a consequence of trapping. In contrast to the GFP localization, in cells expressing the full-length EF1α-GFP fusion protein, the green fluorescence signal was perinuclear (possibly the endoplasmic reticulum compartment) and at the cell edges (Figure 8D), where it was colocalized with F-actin as revealed by rhodamine-phalloidin staining (Figure 8C) indicating that the GFP-fusion protein was bound to cytoskeletal components. This is consistent with the endogenous EF1α localization on actin filaments observed in lightly permeabilized cells detected with anti-EF1α antibodies (Edmonds et al., 1996). It is worth noting that, although there were prominent stress fibers in these cells, there was no prominent colocalization of EF1α-GFP and the stress fibers, suggesting that EF1α-GFP selectively bound to the actin filaments in the cell edges as seen for endogenous EF1α (Figure 8D).

To determine the contribution of the C-terminal 54 amino acids of domain III for the binding of EF1α to actin filaments in vivo, EF1α was truncated to remove its C-terminal 54 amino acids and then fused to GFP to create EF1αΔC-GFP. The pattern of intracellular localization of EF1αΔC-GFP was identical to that of GFP alone (in Figure 8, compare F and B). There was no EF1αΔC-GFP localized at the cell edge, and the majority of fluorescence is in the nucleus. Therefore, deletion
of the C-terminal dominant actin-binding site on EF1α greatly reduced the ability of the truncated protein to bind to actin filaments in vivo. Furthermore, consistent with the in vitro actin-binding studies, the truncate domain III-GFP fusion protein exhibited localization with F-actin at the cell edge similar to that observed for the full-length EF1α (Figure 8H). These rat carcinoma cells generally exhibited a heterogeneous phenotype as previously shown (Edmonds et al., 1996; Chan et al., 1998; Edmonds et al., 1999). Nonetheless, within this variety of morphologies, full-length EF1α-GFP and domain III-GFP showed similar localizations. These results indicated that the C-terminal actin-binding site was the dominant binding site in vivo and it was sufficient for localization of EF1α on F-actin to the cell edge.

Domain III of EF1α Inhibits the Binding of β-Actin mRNA to EF1α–F-Actin Bundles In Vitro and β-Actin mRNA Localization In Vivo

The mapping of two actin-binding sites and the demonstration that the C terminus of domain III is the dominant actin-binding site of EF1α have enabled us to study these sites further in terms of mRNA binding. To locate which domain of EF1α interacted with β-actin mRNA, we used the recombinant truncates of EF1α for an mRNA-binding study. Interestingly, both domains I and III, but not domain II, bound to the mRNA (Figure 9).

Because domain III of EF1α was able to bind to β-actin mRNA, we tested whether domain III can compete with full-length EF1α for mRNA binding. As shown in Figure 10 (lanes 1 and 2), incubation of domain III with β-actin mRNA in microplate wells containing EF1α-F-actin bundles reduced the amount of the mRNA bound to EF1α-F-actin bundles, suggesting that domain III competes with full-length EF1α for binding to the mRNA. To test the effect of domain III of EF1α on β-actin mRNA localization in vivo, it was expressed in CEFs as a dominant negative to disrupt the interaction of EF1α with F-actin and the mRNA. As shown in Figure 11A, cells expressing GFP-domain III were inhibited in β-actin mRNA localization to protrusions compared with the untransfected cells. Expression of GFP protein alone in CEFs did not alter the normal level of β-actin mRNA localization, demonstrating that domain III of EF1α specifically causes delocalization of β-actin mRNA in the cells. Furthermore, this inhibitory effect caused by expression of GFP-domain III is significant because localization of the β-actin mRNA in these cells was suppressed to almost background level represented by the localizations of α-tubulin mRNA in normally cultured CEFs and β-actin mRNA in CEFs in serum-depleted culture (Figure 11A, lanes 5 and 6). Localization of β-actin mRNA has been known to depend on the presence of serum in cell culture (Latham et al. 1994), whereas α-tubulin mRNA was shown not to localize in cells (Shestakova et al., 1999).
inhibited the interaction between EF1α/h9251 and Bni1p, in the absence of RhoA, the FH2 domains. The sequence is sufficient to block F-actin bundling but not binding by EF1α (Umikawa et al., 1998). These results suggest that Bni1p, in the absence of RhoA, inhibited the interaction between EF1α and F-actin sufficiently to convert it from a bivalent to a monovalent interaction, which selectively disrupts EF1α-F-actin bundles in vivo. Thus, this action of the EBS of Bni1p is predicted to have the same consequences as the dominant-negative action of domain III of EF1α. To test whether the interaction between Bni1p and EF1α can inhibit the binding of mRNA to the EF1α-F-actin bundle, we expressed the EBS of Bni1p as a GST fusion protein and confirmed its EF1α-binding ability in vitro (Liu, Grant, Persky, Latham, Singer, and Condeelis, unpublished results). This recombinant EBS was used in the ELISA mRNA-binding assay, where it inhibited binding of β-actin mRNA to EF1α-F-actin bundles (Figure 10, lane 3). A GFP-EBS fusion protein was then expressed in CEFs to determine its effect on anchoring of β-actin mRNA to the EF1α-F-actin compartment in protrusions (Figure 11). Compared with control cells either untransfected or expressing GFP alone, the number of cells with β-actin mRNA localized in protrusions was reduced significantly only in cells expressing the recombinant EBS of Bni1p.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study implicate EF1α as part of the molecular machinery for anchoring mRNA to actin fila-
The Role of EF1α in Anchoring versus Transport of mRNA

The ability of EF1α to bind to F-actin and β-actin mRNA simultaneously indicates that EF1α can act as a linker between mRNA and the cytoskeleton to anchor mRNA at the cell cortex and protrusions. Because EF1α itself is an elongation factor, which is essential for protein synthesis, it is conceivable that eukaryotic cells have evolved to localize both EF1α and mRNA to sites where synthesis of a subset of proteins is essential for sustainable cell polarity. One example would be localized β-actin mRNA, where translation would supply β-actin protein near sites of polymerization. Because β-actin is the preferred isoform of actin in protrusions (Hoock et al., 1991), localized synthesis may be crucial to maintaining this distribution. However, the binding of EF1α to mRNA appears not to be selective for β-actin mRNA. Therefore, EF1α may act as a nonselective anchor for any mRNA that is asymmetric. The binding of EF1α to antisense RNA suggests that it may be the secondary structure and not the precise sequence that is recognized by EF1α. It is known that the stem loop secondary structures in the 3′-UTR of β-actin mRNA and in ASH1 mRNA are important for interaction with their corresponding binding proteins that are needed for mRNA localization (Oleynikov and Singer, 1998; Chartrand et al., 1999). Possibly EF1α recognizes only structured mRNAs.

Targeting of mRNA in vivo is the sum of its transport and anchoring. Anchoring is defined here as the ability to bind mRNA to actin filaments in the correct location in vivo (Sundell and Singer, 1991). Either the targeting or the anchoring requires a 3′-UTR zipcode (Kislauskis et al., 1993, 1994). The results of this study indicate that the binding of mRNA to the EF1α-F-actin complex does not require a 3′-UTR. These results also suggest that EF1α, when bound to actin filaments, can act as an anchor by binding to mRNA that is transported to the cell cortex and protrusions by other mechanisms such as myosin-mediated transport (Latham et al., 2001). We have observed that both domains I and III, but not domain II, bind to β-actin mRNA. Interestingly, although domain I binds to F-actin significantly more weakly than domain III, the mRNA-binding abilities of domains I and III appear similar. It is tempting to speculate that, because of their differences in binding to actin and mRNA, domain I may tend to bind to mRNA, whereas domain III prefers to bind to F-actin, thereby allowing EF1α to bind to (but not bundle) actin filaments and mRNA simultaneously.

The responsibility for selective localization of certain mRNAs in protrusions may result from the transport apparatus. Proteins such as ZBP-1, a 3′-UTR zipcode-binding protein in CEFs, may recognize specific mRNAs for transport to protrusions (Oleynikov and Singer, 1998) where they would be nonselectively anchored on the EF1α-F-actin complex. It is not clear whether EF1α is cotransported with β-actin mRNA or whether EF1α is localized in protrusions by an independent mechanism before the mRNA is transported there. In oligodendrocytes and neurons, mRNA is transported along microtubules in particles containing EF1α, suggesting that higher order structures may be involved in transport (Barbarese et al., 1995; Bassell et al., 1998). It is interesting to note that EF1α localizes with actin filaments in protrusions but not with stress fibers. In chicken fibroblasts, serum stimulation leads to rapid localization of β-actin mRNA at the cell front similar to EF1α (Latham et al., 1994). Electron microscopy studies have shown that EF1α molecules colocalize with mRNA on actin filaments (Bassell et al., 1994). The underlying mechanism as to why EF1α binds to the actin filaments in protrusions but not to the actin filaments in stress fibers remains to be elucidated. One possible explanation is that, because stress fibers are rich in actin-binding proteins such as α-actinin and fimbrin that have a hexagonal bundling rule, EF1α may be excluded from the stress fibers because of its unique bonding rule that favors square-packed bundles (Owen et al., 1992).

A Single EF1α Molecule Is Sufficient to Cross-link Two Actin Filaments

Bundling of actin filaments by EF1α has been observed by investigators using EF1α isolated from different sources. Kurasawa et al. (1996) proposed that F-actin is cross-linked by a dimer of EF1α in which each monomer contains one actin-binding site. Our previous and current data, however, consistently indicate that a single EF1α molecule can cross-link two actin filaments. There is no indication that EF1α forms an oligomer because analytical gel filtration and chemical cross-linking indicate that EF1α is a monomer in...
solution (Edmonds et al., 1998). In addition, electron microscopy studies of EF1α crossbridges in two-dimensional rafts of actin filaments indicate an interfilament spacing of 120 Å, in agreement with the measured radius of a single globular 50-kDa polypeptide (Edmonds et al., 1999). As demonstrated in this report, there are two separate actin-binding sites on each EF1α molecule, which can be clearly illustrated on a three-dimensional model of EF1α (Figure 12).

Although the actin-binding activity of domain I is relatively weak compared with domain III when it was analyzed alone as a recombinant truncate, it is possible that domain I may exhibit tighter actin-binding activity in the native protein because cooperativity takes place during F-actin cross-linking involving both domains I and III. This prediction is consistent with the ability of EF1α to cross-link actin filaments in vitro and in vivo but not lead to large bundles in the cell, unlike fimbrin for instance, which has two high-affinity-binding sites. This prediction is also in agreement with electron microscope analyses of fibroblasts and Dictyostelium cells in which only cross-linked filaments but not bundles were found in association with EF1α molecules in situ (Bassell et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996a).

### Regulation of the Binding of EF1α to β-Actin mRNA

The implication of EF1α in β-actin mRNA anchoring raises a question as to how the binding of EF1α to β-actin mRNA is regulated. Furthermore, the binding of EF1α to the open reading frame (ORF) of β-actin mRNA appears to conflict with the idea that the localized mRNA is translation competent. As mentioned in RESULTS, the binding of EF1α to β-actin mRNA appeared not to be affected by pH, the guanine nucleotide-binding state of EF1α, and KCl up to 200 mM, leaving the first question unanswered. Because the mRNA has to dissociate from EF1α to participate in translation, one can only speculate that, at some point by unknown mechanisms, EF1α may dissociate from the ORF and allow the ribosome to ride through it. Such translation-competent ORFs may lack the secondary structure that is required for EF1α binding. In the mean time, the 3′-UTR may be still tethered by unknown mechanisms, including binding to EF1α.

### Regulation of the Binding of EF1α to Actin

A key issue is the mechanism by which the EF1α-F-actin interaction is regulated. We have shown previously that small changes in pH that are correlated with increases in protein synthesis in vivo cause the dissociation of the domain I actin-binding site on EF1α from F-actin to allow binding of aminoacyl-tRNA to EF1α (Liu et al., 1996b). Although a pH change probably potentiates the disassembly of EF1α-F-actin bundles to free EF1α for participation in protein synthesis, regulation by pH is suspected to lack the kind of specificity required for fine spatial and temporal control of mRNA anchoring that appears necessary for regulating the behavior of crawling cells (Kislauskis et al., 1997). However, an additional regulatory mechanism has been found that might provide finer spatial control of the EF1α-F-actin interaction. Downstream targets of RhoA include Bni1p in yeast, p140mDia in mammals, and diaphanous in Drosophila (Evangelista et al., 1997; Imamura et al., 1997; Watanabe et al., 1997). These proteins are members of the formin family and share conserved formin homology (FH) domains (Castrillon and Wasserman, 1994). The functions of these proteins are related to the regulation of cell polarity. Diaphanous is required for cytokinesis, Bni1p is concentrated at the tips of yeast mating projections and is required for normal bud growth, and p140mDia is enriched in the leading edge of crawling cells. Structural comparisons indicate that p140mDia, Bni1p, and diaphanous are the most highly related forms with more distantly related members, including cappuccino and formin (Watanabe et al., 1997). All contain FH1 and FH2 domains, and p140mDia, Bni1p, and diaphanous contain a RhoA-binding site at the N terminus. The FH1 domains of p140mDia (Watanabe et al., 1997) and Bni1p (Evangelista et al., 1997) are polyproline rich and bind profilin in vitro and may mediate colocalization of forms with profilin in vivo.

BNII deletion mutants in yeast show abnormal morphology and unpolarized distribution of cortical actin patches (Evangelista et al., 1997). Bni1p binds to EF1α, and the EBS on Bni1p has been identified (Umikawa et al., 1998). Deletion of the EBS from Bni1p results in a protein that fails to suppress the bni1/bnr synthetic phenotype (e.g., temperature-sensitive growth and loss of polarity). Bnr1p is another formin protein with at least partial functional overlap with Bni1p. These results indicate that the interaction between EF1α and Bni1p is important for the function of Bni1p (Umikawa et al., 1998). Furthermore, the BNI1 mutant, she5, prevents bud localization of ASHI mRNA localization coding for Ash1p (Long et al., 1997), an important regulator of mating-type switching (Jansen et al., 1996). These results indicate that the EF1α-Bni1p interaction is important for ASHI mRNA localization and cell polarity. These results are reminiscent of a similar study in which overexpression of p140mDia in COS-7 cells resulted in the disassembly of F-actin bundles and loss of cell polarity (Watanabe et al., 1997). Finally, mammalian cells transfected with active but not with inactive RhoA localize β-actin mRNA more efficiently to the leading edge of crawling cells, whereas transfection with all other small G-proteins has little effect compared with controls (Latham et al., 2001). These results suggest that one downstream target of RhoA, the formins, could regulate mRNA targeting to the actin cytoskeleton by maintaining the stability of the EF1α-F-actin complex. These results and the conclusion are consistent with the EBS-Bni1p-mediated loss of β-actin mRNA localization to protrusions in CEFs in vivo and inhibition of binding of the mRNA to EF1α in vitro as reported in this study.
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