Caspase-dependent Regulation of Histone Deacetylase
4 Nuclear-Cytoplasmic Shuttling Promotes Apoptosis
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Histone deacetylases (HDACs) are important regulators of gene expression as part of transcriptional corepressor complexes. Here, we demonstrate that caspases can repress the activity of the myocyte enhancer factor (MEF2C) transcription factor by regulating HDAC4 processing. Cleavage of HDAC4 occurs at Asp 289 and disjoins the carboxy-terminal fragment, localized into the cytoplasm, from the amino-terminal fragment, which accumulates into the nucleus. In the nucleus, the caspase-generated fragment of HDAC4 is able to trigger cytochrome c release from mitochondria and cell death in a caspase-9-dependent manner. The caspase-cleaved amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4 acts as a strong repressor of the transcription factor MEF2C, independently from the HDAC domain. Removal of amino acids 166–289 from the caspase-cleaved fragment of HDAC4 abrogates its ability to repress MEF2 transcription and to induce cell death. Caspase-2 and caspase-3 cleave HDAC4 in vitro and caspase-3 is critical for HDAC4 cleavage in vivo during UV-induced apoptosis. After UV irradiation, GFP-HDAC4 translocates into the nucleus coincidentally/immediately before the retraction response, but clearly before nuclear fragmentation. Together, our data indicate that caspases could specifically modulate gene repression and apoptosis through the proteolytic processing of HDAC4.

INTRODUCTION

Cell death by apoptosis is a genetically regulated program that plays a fundamental role during development and tissue homeostasis in metazoans (Cryns and Yuan, 1998). The family of cysteine proteases named caspase, represents the critical enzymatic activity that executes the apoptotic program (Shi, 2002). Caspases work in a hierarchical order; regulative caspases cleave and activate effector caspases, which in turn process a few hundred proteins known as death substrates (Fischer et al., 2003). Caspases, through the cleavage of death substrates, control cell survival and the morphological changes that orchestrate the apoptotic phenotype (Cryns and Yuan, 1998).

Transcription factors such as myocyte enhancer factor (MEF2) (NF-E2-related factor 2), serum response factor (SRF), CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein), FOXO3a (forkhead box-03a), and NRF2 are cleaved by caspases during apoptosis, and this processing induces loss of prosurvival functions (Ohtsubo et al., 1999; Bertolotto et al., 2000; Francois et al., 2000; Drewett et al., 2001; Li et al., 2001; Okamoto et al., 2002; Charvet et al., 2003; Fischer et al., 2003). This implies that caspases can act as indirect modulators of the expression of prosurvival genes and can therefore be considered as transcriptional repressors.

In eukaryotic cells, the genetic information is packaged into chromatin, a highly organized macromolecular complex composed of DNA, histones, and nonhistone proteins (Kornberg and Lorch, 2002). Posttranslational modifications of histones such as acetylation, phosphorylation, and methylation can locally modulate the higher order nucleosome architecture and play an important role in the control of gene expression (Woodcock and Dimitrov, 2001). Histone acetylation is regulated by two family of enzymes, the histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and the histone deacetylases (HDACs), which catalyze, respectively, the addition or the removal of acetyl groups to lysine residues of nucleosomal histones (Hassig and Schreiber, 1997).

Eighteen different HDACs have been identified and grouped into three distinct classes based on sequence homology to distinct yeast HDACs. Class I HDACs, which includes HDAC1, 2, 3, 8, and 11, shows similarity to yeast RPD3 protein. Class II HDACs is characterized by sequence homology to yeast HDAC1 and can be subdivided into class IIA, which includes HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9, and class IIB to which HDAC6 and 10 belong. Finally, HDACs belonging to class III show sequence similarity to Sir2, a yeast transcriptional repressor that requires NAD+ as a cofactor for its deacetylase activity (Grozinger and Schreiber, 2002; Peterson, 2002; Verdin et al., 2003). Posttranslational modifications of histones have been already observed during apoptosis. Phosphorylation of histones...
tone H2A, H2B, and H3 and also dephosphorylation of histone H1 characterize cell death (Waring et al., 1997; Ajiro, 2000; Kratzmeier et al., 2000; Rogakou et al., 2000). More recently, it has been reported that caspase-cleaved Mst1 kinase phosphorylates histone H2B at serine 14 (Cheung et al., 2003). However, how these alterations could promote changes in chromatin architecture during apoptosis is unclear.

Little is known about the modification of histone acetylation and on the function of HDACs during apoptosis. We decided to investigate whether caspases could modulate histone acetylation through the proteolytic processing of HDACs. Here, we report that caspases can modulate HDAC activity in a highly restricted manner. HDAC4 is cleaved by caspase-2 and -3, whereas HDAC1, 2, 3, and 6 are not, and HDAC5 is cleaved with reduced efficiency only by caspase-3. Caspase-dependent processing of HDAC4 occurs at Asp 289 and severs the carboxy-terminal fragment, which localizes into the cytoplasm, from the amino-terminal fragment, which accumulates into the nucleus. HDAC4 binds and represses MEF2, the activity of which is critical for different biological responses, including cell survival and

Figure 1. HDAC4 is a new caspase-2 and caspase-3 substrate. (a) [35S]Methionine-labeled in vitro-translated products of the indicated HDACs were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with recombinant caspase-2, caspase-3, and the specific inhibitors or with buffer alone as indicated. (b) [35S]Methionine-labeled in vitro-translated caspase-2 and PARP were incubated for 1 h at 37°C, respectively, with recombinant caspase-2 and caspase-3 in the presence or absence of the specific inhibitor as indicated. (c) [35S]Methionine-labeled in vitro-translated HDAC4 and HDAC5 were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with recombinant caspase-3 for the indicated minutes or for 60 min in the presence of the specific inhibitor (60+1). (d) HeLa nuclear extracts were incubated with recombinant caspase-3 (C3), caspase-3 and the specific inhibitor (C3/DEVD), with TSA or with buffer alone. Caspase-2 processing was detected by Western blot by using the specific antibody. Deacetylase activity, measured with the colorimetric activity assay, was reported as absorption at 405 nm. Data represent arithmetic means ± SD for three independent experiments.
Figure 2. HDAC4 is cleaved at aspartic acid 289 in vitro and during apoptosis in vivo. (a) Schematic structure of HDAC4 pointing out the putative caspase-2 and -3 cleavage site at Asp 289. The NLS in the amino-terminal region and the NES in the carboxy-terminal are evidenced. The HDAC catalytic domain and corepressor (N-CoR and SMRT) binding region is evidenced (HD). A coiled-coil region at the amino terminus, including the MEF2 binding domain, is also evidenced. The amino-terminal region also mediates the interaction with the transcriptional corepressor CtBP. Binding sites for the 14-3-3 proteins are marked as P. Lysine 599 represents the sumoylated residue. (b) Comparison of the human HDAC4 amino acid sequences containing the caspase-2 and -3 consensus cleavage site with chick-HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC7, and HDAC9, the putative cleaved Asp residues are shown in bold. (c) [35S]Methionine-labeled in vitro-translated HDAC4 and the point mutant HDAC4/D289E were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with caspase-2, with caspase-2 and the specific inhibitor, or with buffer alone as indicated. The asterisk points out a second cleaved band, which originates from a different translation start codon. (d) [35S]Methionine-labeled in vitro translated HDAC4 and the point mutant HDAC4/D289E were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with caspase-3 or with caspase-3 and the specific inhibitor as indicated. (e) IMR90-E1A cells were transfected with pEGFPN1-HDAC4 (HDAC4-GFP) or with pEGFPN1-HDAC4/D289E (HDAC4/D289E-GFP). After 18 h cells were UV irradiated (+) or left untreated (−). Cells were lysed 12 h later, and equal amounts of lysates were subjected to Western immunoblotting by using the anti-GFP antibody to visualize HDAC4 or the indicated
apoptosis (Mao et al., 1999; Yoon et al., 1999). The caspase-cleaved amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4 triggers cell death and acts as a strong repressor of the transcription factor MEF2C. Finally, a deletion of the amino-terminal caspase-cleaved fragment of HDAC4, which has lost the repressive activity, was also unable to trigger cell death, thus strengthening the association between the apoptotic function of HDAC4 and its repressional activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture Conditions, Transfection, Microinjection, and Time-Lapse Analysis

Cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) at 37°C in 5% CO2 atmosphere. Transfections were performed using the calcium phosphate precipitation method. U2OS cells stably expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-HDAC4 or GFP-HDAC4/D289E were selected for resistance to G418. Smaller interfering RNA (siRNA) for caspase-2 was purchased from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO), based on a previously published sequence and transfected as described in Lassus et al. (2002). Nucleotides GC (99/100) were changed to AT in the mutated siRNA. Microinjection was performed using the Automated Injection system (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) as described previously (Paroni et al., 2002). Tetracycline-hydrodione B isothiocyanate (TRITC)-dextran, 66 kDa (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), was used at the concentration of 1 mg/ml. Time-lapse studies were performed using a laser scan microscope (Leica TCS NT) in a 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37°C.

Reporter Gene Assays

For luciferase assays, HeLa cells grown in 3-cm-diameter culture dishes were transfected at 30–40% confluence with the indicated mammalian expression plasmids. Cells were collected and luciferase activity was measured and normalized for Xenella luciferase activity by using the Dual Luciferase Reporter assay system, according to manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, Madison, WI).

HDAC Assay

Histone deacetylase assay was carried out using the HDAC colorimetric assay kit Color delays (BIOMOL Research Laboratories, Plymouth Meeting, PA). Nuclear extracts from HeLa cells suspended in the digestion buffer (100 mM PIPES, pH 6.5, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM diethiothreitol, 10 μg/ml CLAP [chymostatin, leupeptin, antipain, pepstatin]), were incubated in the presence of caspase-3 for 1 h and then assayed for HDAC activity. Trichostatin-A (TSA) was used at 1 μM final concentration.

Antibody Production and Western Blotting

Rabbits were immunized with recombinant histidine-tagged GFP purified from Escherichia coli. For anti-GFP antibody purification from antiserum, GFP was fused to glutathione S-transferase (GST) and cross-linked to glutathione-Sepharose as previously (Paroni et al., 2001). Similarly anti-HDAC4 antisera was produced against histidine-tagged HDAC4 fragment 1-165 and purified against the same fragment used for GST.

Figure 2. (continued) antibodies. HDAC4 cleaved fragment is indicated. (f) IMR90-E1A cells were transfected with pEGFP-C2-HDAC4 (GFP-HDAC4). After 18 h cells were UV irradiated (+) or left untreated (−). Cells were lysed and equal amounts of proteins were subjected to Western immunoblotting by using the anti-GFP antibody, to visualize HDAC4, or the indicated antibodies. HDAC4 cleaved fragment is indicated. (g) IMR90-E1A, IMR90-E1A/C90DN, MCF-7/C3WT and MCF-7/C3CI cells were UV irradiated or left untreated. Cells were lysed and equal amounts of proteins were subjected to Western immunoblotting by using the indicated antibodies. 1 points to a faint band detectable in MCF-7 cells that could represent either a nonspecific signal or a degradation product. (h) IMR90-E1A cells expressing neomycin (NEO) or catalytically inactive caspase-9 (C9DN) were treated or not with etoposide (25 μg/ml). After 18 h, cells were lysed, and equal amounts of proteins were subjected to Western immunoblotting by using the indicated antibodies. 1 Western blot of IMR90-E1A cell lysates treated or not with etoposide (25 μg/ml) shows the effect of caspase-2 siRNA (si-RAC2) transfection on HDAC4 processing. Mutated siRNA (si-NAM) was used as a control.

RESULTS

HDAC4 Is Cleaved by Caspase-2 and Caspase-3

To investigate the ability of caspases to modulate HDAC activity during apoptosis, we used an in vitro proteolytic assay. For this study, caspase-2 and caspase-3 were selected because caspase-2 is localized in the nuclear compartment (Paroni et al., 2002; Baliga et al., 2003), and it is still unclear how it can regulate apoptosis, whereas caspase-3 plays a major role as an effector caspase during apoptosis (Fischer et al., 2003). Recombinant caspase-2 and caspase-3 were incubated in vitro with translated HDACs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 in the presence or absence of the specific inhibitors. As shown in Figure 1a, incubation of
HDAC4 with recombinant caspase-2 generates two fragments of ∼97 and 34 kDa, indicating the existence of a single caspase-2 cleavage site. The proteolytic processing of HDAC4 was inhibited by the presence of the specific caspase-2 inhibitor Ac-VDVAD-CHO. Caspase-3 processed HDAC4 into an ∼97-kDa fragment similarly to caspase-2, whereas the 34-kDa fragment was further proteolyzed into smaller fragments. Ac-DEVD-CHO, a specific caspase-3 inhibitor, suppressed caspase-3-dependent processing of HDAC4. HDAC1, 2, 3, and 6 were not cleaved neither by caspase-2 nor by caspase-3 under these experimental conditions. Caspase-3, but not caspase-2, was also able to process HDAC5, albeit with lower efficiency compared with HDAC4. The proteolytic processing of caspase-2 and PARP, caspase-2- and caspase-3-specific substrates, respectively (Paroni et al., 2001), has been included in Figure 1b for comparison.

The ability of caspase-3 to cleave HDAC5, with low efficiency, has been confirmed by an in vitro proteolytic time course. The same enzymatic units of caspase-3 that produced a full processing of HDAC4 within 30 min, triggered only a partial processing of HDAC5 after 60 min of incubation (Figure 1c).

These results suggest that during apoptosis caspases could modulate HDAC4 and perhaps HDAC5 activities. However, because other HDACs were not cleaved by caspase-2 and -3, it could be postulated that the overall HDAC activity in apoptotic cells is unaffected by caspases. To confirm this hypothesis we decided to evaluate HDAC activity in nuclear extracts incubated with caspase-3. The inset in Figure 1d shows that recombinant caspase-3 efficiently process caspase-2 in HeLa nuclear extracts. Next, we measured, by using a colorimetric assay, HDAC activity in nuclear extracts incubated with caspase-3, caspase-3 plus Ac-DEVD-CHO, or with the HDAC inhibitor TSA. We did not observe changes in HDAC activity when nuclear extracts were incubated with caspase-3, whereas TSA efficiently suppressed HDAC activity. Hence, we can suggest that caspase-2 and -3 selectively modulate HDAC4 function during apoptosis.

**HDAC4 Is Cleaved at Aspartic Acid 289 In Vitro and during Apoptosis In Vivo**

HDAC4 belongs to the class IIa of histone deacetylases that exhibit tissue-specific expression and nuclear cytoplasmic shuttling (Verdin et al., 2003). Class IIa HDACs contains two regions, each encompassing one-half of the protein: a carboxy-terminal catalytic domain resembling that of yeast HDAl and an amino terminus regulatory domain (Figure 2a). HDAC4 interacts with multiple partners, including members of the MEF2 family of transcription factors and the 14-3-3 chaperone proteins (Grozinger and Schreiber, 2000; McKinsey et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2000; Borghì et al., 2001; Miska et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2001).

To identify the caspase cleavage site in HDAC4 responsible for generating the ∼34- and ∼97-kDa fragments, we examined its sequence for caspase-2 and -3 cleavage motifs and found a potential cleavage site at aspartic acid 289 (LDVTD) that could generate processed products of the expected size. The putative caspase-2 and -3 cleavage site is conserved between human and chick HDAC4, but it was not present in other class IIa HDACs (Figure 2b).

To verify the cleavage of HDAC4 at the described consensus site, Asp residue 289 was changed to Glu by site-directed mutagenesis and in vitro-translated HDAC4/D289E was incubated with recombinant caspase-2 (Figure 2c) or caspase-3 (Figure 2d). Fragments of the expected size were generated when HDAC4wt was digested with caspase-2 or -3. Instead, the point mutant HDAC4/D289E was not cleaved when incubated with caspase-2 and the cleavage was dramatically reduced in the case of incubation with caspase-3. Similar results were obtained when the HDAC4/D289A mutant was used (our unpublished data). The asterisk in Figure 2c points to a second fragment observed when hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged HDAC4 was incubated with caspase-2. This second fragment originates from a second translation start codon (our unpublished data). It is important to note that the different cleaved fragments observed after processing of HDAC4 with caspase-3 were all dramatically reduced in the case of the D289E mutant. This evidence indicates that only after cleavage at Asp289 further processing of the amino-terminal region can occur. Interestingly, different Asp residues at aa 234 and 237 that could represent further caspase-cleavage sites are present within the amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4.

Having demonstrated that HDAC4 is cleaved by caspases in vitro at Asp289, we wanted to investigate whether it is also cleaved by caspases in vivo during apoptosis. To this end, we fused HDAC4 and the mutant HDAC4/D289E with GFP at their carboxy- or amino-terminals to visualize both cleaved fragments. IMR90-E1A cells were transfected with the different GFP constructs, UV irradiated, or left untreated, and cell lysates were prepared for Western analysis by using an anti-GFP antibody. As shown in Figure 2, e and f, HDAC4 was processed in UV-treated IMR90-E1A cells. Both the amino- and the carboxy-terminal fragments were detected with a size similar to the in vitro generated fragments. Cleavage was inhibited when HDAC4/D289E was expressed, even though caspases were active, as confirmed by the detection of PARP p85-cleaved fragment. Similar results were obtained when HDAC4/D289A mutant was expressed (our unpublished data). Of note, in apoptotic cells, the carboxy-terminal fragment was further proteolyzed into smaller fragments. Because these additional proteolytic events were dramatically reduced in the case of the mutant HDAC4/D289E, it is possible that they occur, as demonstrated above for the amino-terminal region, only after the caspase cleavage at Asp289.

To investigate whether endogenous HDAC4 was processed during apoptosis, we generated an antibody against HDAC4 (aa 359–651). This antibody recognizes a band of ∼140 kDa in various cell lines, which shows the same electrophoretic mobility of the overexpressed HDAC4 (our unpublished data). Next, we used IMR90-E1A fibroblasts treated with UV to evaluate HDAC4 processing during apoptosis. Processing of HDAC4 to a 97-kDa band similar to the in vitro generated fragment can be specifically observed in UV treated IMR90-E1A cells (Figure 2g). This HDAC4 fragment shows the same electrophoretic mobility of the deleted version of HDAC4 (Δ1–289), which corresponds to the caspase-generated carboxy-terminal fragment of HDAC4 (our unpublished data). Appearance of HDAC4 processing is parallel to the activation of caspase-2 and the generation of p85 PARP fragment (Figure 2g). Processing of endogenous HDAC4 during apoptosis occurred in parallel to caspase-2 activation and PARP cleavage, even in Jurkat T cells treated with 40 μM of etoposide (our unpublished data).

To understand the role of different caspases in the cleavage of HDAC4 in vivo, we used cell lines containing defined mutations in caspase-9 and caspase-3. We used MCF-7, a cell line that does not express functional caspase-3 and IMR90-E1A/C9DN cells, which express a dominant negative form of caspase-9 (Fearnhead et al., 1998). For comparison, MCF-7
cells either with caspase-3 WT (C3WT) or with its catalytically inactive form C3CI were used. As shown in Figure 2g, HDAC4 processing can be observed in UV-treated MCF-7/C3WT, but it is undetectable in UV-treated MCF-7/C3CI. As reported previously (Paroni et al., 2001), caspase-2 processing was largely impaired in UV-treated MCF-7/C3CI cells, whereas PARP cleavage, even though reduced, was detectable. Similar results were obtained in IMR90-E1A/C9DN where HDAC4, PARP and caspase-2 processing were reduced in response to UV irradiation. To confirm the role of caspase-9 in HDAC4 processing, we incubated IMR90-E1A/C9DN cells with etoposide. As illustrated in Figure 2h, HDAC4 processing in response to etoposide-induced apoptosis was again largely impaired in cells expressing catalytically inactive caspase-9. We also explored the role of caspase-2 in the cleavage of HDAC4 during etoposide-induced apoptosis. Caspase-2 expression was down-regulated by specific siRNA as reported previously (Lassus et al., 2002). HDAC4 cleavage after etoposide treatment was efficiently induced in cells showing reduced levels of caspase-2.

These results suggest that caspase-3 and the mitochondrial pathway are critical in triggering HDAC4 processing after genotoxic stress.

Caspase-2 Cleaves HDAC4 In Vivo in Cells Overexpressing a Caspase-9 Dominant Negative Form

The in vitro studies show that caspase-3 is much more efficient in cleaving HDAC4 than caspase-2. This is not surprising because recombinant caspase-2 has $K_	ext{cat}/K_	ext{m}$ values for cleavage of its peptide substrate that are 100-1000 lower than those for caspase-3 (Thornberry et al., 1997). Despite this, we decided to use caspase-2 as a tool to induce HDAC4 processing in vivo and thus to study the specific effect of caspases on HDAC4 nuclear/cytosolic shuttling. Caspase-2 is an ideal candidate for this experiment, because when overexpressed, it becomes active after oligomerization mediated by caspase recruitment domain-dependent homotypic interactions. To this aim, we used IMR90-E1A/C9DN cells to exclude the amplificatory function of the apoptosis (Paroni et al., 2001). Cells were cotransfected with the HDAC4-GFP or HDAC4/D289E-GFP and caspase-2 wt or the catalytically inactive mutant (CI) caspase-2/C303G (Figure 3a). Cell lysates were produced 24 h later and subjected to Western blot analysis. HDAC4-GFP was efficiently cleaved, generating a carboxy-terminal fragment of the expected size when caspase-2 was coexpressed, whereas when the caspase-2/CI was coexpressed, this cleavage was largely impaired. Similarly to the in vitro cleavage data, when the mutant HDAC4/D289E-GFP was coexpressed with caspase-2, HDAC4 processing was suppressed. We also coexpressed caspase-2 together with HDAC4 fused with GFP at the amino terminus (GFP-HDAC4) to detect the appearance of the amino-terminal cleaved fragment (Figure 3b). As a positive control of caspase-2 activity, we used Bid-GFP (Paroni et al., 2001) (Figure 3c). Cell lysates were analyzed for caspase-2 expression and actin as a loading control. Activation of the ectopically expressed caspase-2 wt can be monitored by the appearance of p32 and p18 forms, whereas processing at p33 can be observed even when caspase-2/CI was expressed.

The $\beta$-catenin D1-134 deleted version, used as a negative control because it is not cleaved by caspase-2 in vitro (our unpublished data), was efficiently cleaved when coexpressed with caspase-2 (Figure 3d); hence, we cannot completely exclude that efficient processing of HDAC4 is an indirect consequence of caspase-2 proteolytic activity.

Figure 3. Caspase-2 cleaves HDAC4 in cells expressing caspase-9/DN. (a) Cells were transfected with pcDNA3-caspase-2, pcDNA3-caspase-2-C303G, with pEGFPN1-HDAC4, or with pEGFPN1-HDAC4/C289E as indicated. Cell lysates were generated and subjected to Western immunoblotting using anti-GFP, anti-caspase-2 and anti-actin antibodies. (b) Cells were transfected with pcDNA3-caspase-2, pcDNA3-caspase-2-C303G, or with pEGFPN1-Bid as indicated. Cell lysates were generated and subjected to Western immunoblotting using anti-GFP, anti-caspase-2, and anti-actin antibodies. (c) Cells were transfected with pcDNA3-caspase-2, pcDNA3-caspase-2-C303G, or with pEGFPN1-Bid as indicated. Cell lysates were generated and subjected to Western immunoblotting by using anti-GFP, anti-caspase-2, and anti-actin antibodies. (d) Cells were transfected with pcDNA3-caspase-2, pcDNA3-caspase-2-C303G, or with pEGFPN1-Bid as indicated. Cell lysates were generated and subjected to Western immunoblotting using anti-\beta-catenin and anti-caspase-2 antibodies.

Caspases Regulate HDAC4 Intracellular Trafficking

Nuclear/cyttoplasmic shuttling of HDAC4 is mediated by a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) present in the amino-terminal region and by a carboxy-terminal nuclear export
sequence (NES) (Miska et al., 1999; McKinsey et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2001) (Figure 2a). To investigate whether caspases could regulate the intracellular trafficking of HDAC4, carboxy-terminal FLAG tagged HDAC4 and the deleted versions corresponding to the caspase cleaved forms were overexpressed in IMR90-E1A cells, and their subcellular localization was investigated by immunofluorescence. As illustrated in Figure 4a, expression of full-length HDAC4 resulted in a cytoplasmic localization in 30–40% of the cells. Expression of the carboxy-terminal fragment HDAC4\textsubscript{N}, which lacks the NLS and the MEF2 binding region resulted in a cytoplasmic localization in 90% of the cells. In contrast, the amino-terminal fragment HDAC4\textsubscript{C}, which lacks the NES and the two binding sites for 14-3-3 proteins, showed a nuclear localization in >90% of the cells.

Next, we coexpressed caspase-2 and HDAC4 in IMR90-E1A/C9DN because caspase-2 cleaves HDAC4 independently from caspase-9 in vivo and, in this cell line, caspase-2 cannot induce a full apoptotic phenotype (Paroni et al., 2002), which renders the morphological analysis more accurate.

As shown in Figure 4b, when caspase-2/CI was coexpressed together with HDAC4, the deacetylase was localized both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm as described above. Coexpression of caspase-2 wt increases the percentage of cells showing accumulation of HDAC4 in the cytoplasm up to 70%, thus suggesting that caspase-2 triggers the accumulation of the HDAC4 carboxy-terminal fragment into the cytoplasm through the processing at Asp 289. Western blot analysis confirmed that 80–90% of HDAC4 was processed by caspase-2 in cells overexpressing both genes (our unpublished data).

To investigate the accumulation in the nucleus of the amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4 upon caspase-2 cleavage, we used an amino-terminus GFP-tagged HDAC4. Overexpression of GFP-HDAC4 in IMR90-E1A leads to a cytoplasmic localization of HDAC4 in 65–75% of cells contrary to
carboxy-terminal FLAG-tagged HDAC4, which showed a cytoplasmic localization in 30–40% of the cells. We suggest that this difference could depend on the FLAG that could interfere with the normal presentation of the carboxy-terminal NES.

Figure 4b illustrates that when caspase-2/C1 was coexpressed together with GFP-HDAC4, the deacetylase showed a nuclear localization in ∼25% of the transfected cells. On the contrary, when GFP-HDAC4 was coexpressed with caspase-2 wt, the percentage of cells showing accumulation of HDAC4 in the nucleus increased up to 60%, thus suggesting that the amino-terminal fragment of the HDAC4 accumulates into the nucleus after the caspase-2–dependent processing at Asp289.

**In Vivo Analysis of HDAC4 Nuclear/Cytoplasmic Shuttling after Caspase Processing**

To confirm that caspase cleavage of HDAC4 can regulate its nuclear/cytoplasmic shuttling, we performed a time-lapse analysis. The nuclei of IMR90-E1A/C9DN were microinjected with pcDNA3-caspase-2 and pEGFPC2-HDAC4, and soon after cells were subjected to a time-course analysis. Frames were collected every 2 min during a 12-h period. Selected frames of a representative experiment are shown in Figure 5a. GFP-HDAC4 was initially detected as a diffuse cytoplasmic staining. After a certain time from microinjection, small aggregates in the cytoplasm were evident as described previously (Miska et al., 1999). After 3.39 h from microinjection, initial accumulation of HDAC4 was evident, and the almost complete nuclear translocation of HDAC4 was observed within 40 min. (at 4.20 h from microinjection). Interestingly cytoplasmic aggregates of HDAC4 were dissolved probably as a consequence of the caspase-dependent processing.

Previous studies have indicated that caspase-2 can alter nuclear permeability independently from caspase-9 (Paroni et al., 2002). To exclude an indirect effect of caspase-2 on HDAC4 nuclear/cytoplasmic trafficking, as a consequence of an alteration on the diffusion limits of the nuclear pores, fluorescent 66-kDa dextran was used to mark the integrity of the nuclear barrier. The nuclei of IMR90-E1A/C9DN were microinjected with pcDNA3-caspase-2, dextran-TRITC 66-kDa and pEGFPN1-HDAC4, and soon after cells were subjected to a time-course analysis. Frames were collected every 2 min during a 12-h period. Selected frames of a representative experiment are shown in Figure 5b. Dextran was well confined in the nucleus even when HDAC4-GFP staining increased in the cytoplasm (Figure 5b, 5.00 h). This cytoplasmic accumulation of HDAC4-GFP became more evident at later times (Figure 5b, 6.00 h), and again TRITC-dextran staining was confined to the nucleus. This result indicates that accumulation of HDAC4-GFP into the cytoplasm is not a consequence of changes in the nuclear barrier integrity.

**The Amino-Terminal Fragment of HDAC4 Activates the Intrinsic Apoptotic Pathway**

To determine whether caspase cleavage of HDAC4 promotes apoptosis, we transiently cotransfected IMR90-E1A cells with full-length HDAC4, with its deleted amino-(HDAC4ΔN) and carboxy-terminal (HDAC4ΔC) versions, or with P0 as control. GFP was used as a reporter, and the appearance of apoptotic cells was analyzed in vivo 44 h after transfection.

As outlined in Figure 6a, HDAC4ΔC induced cell death, whereas neither the full-length HDAC4 nor the HDAC4ΔN efficiently induced apoptosis in IMR90-E1A cells. The pro-
The amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4 (HDAC4ΔC) acts as a potent repressor of MEF2-dependent transcription

Different studies have established that HDAC4 negatively regulates MEF2-dependent transcription (Wang et al., 1999; Miska et al., 1999; Verdin et al., 2003). Depending from the cellular context, members of the MEF2 family of transcription factors link calcium-dependent signaling pathway to the expression of genes regulating cell division, differentiation, and apoptosis (McKinsey et al., 2002). Therefore, we evaluated whether the proapoptotic function of HDAC4ΔC was coupled to an effect on MEF2 transcriptional activity. 

HeLa cells were transfected with MEF2C, with a reporter containing three binding sites for MEF2 upstream of the luciferase coding sequence and with expression plasmids for HDAC4, HDAC4ΔC, HDAC4ΔN (Figure 7a). HeLa cells have abundant MEF2 binding site activity, which leads to luciferase expression in the absence of MEF2C overexpression (Miska et al., 1999). As shown in Figure 7a, MEF2C reporter can be further activated by exogenous expression of HDAC4ΔC (Figure 7b). HeLa cells were cotransfected with pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔC, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔN, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔC, and pcDNA3-P0 were cotransfected together with pEGFPN1, as a reporter. The appearance of apoptotic cells was scored after 44 h from transfection. Cells showing a collapsed morphology and presenting extensive membrane blebbing were scored as apoptotic. Data represent arithmetic means ± SD for eight independent experiments. (b) In IMR90-E1A/C9DN cells pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔN, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔC, and pcDNA3-P0 were cotransfected together with pEGFPN1, as a reporter. The appearance of apoptotic cells was scored after 44 h from transfection. Cells showing a collapsed morphology and presenting extensive membrane blebbing were scored as apoptotic. Data represent arithmetic means ± SD for five independent experiments. (c) In IMR90-E1A/C9DN cells pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔN, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔC, and pcDNA3-P0 were cotransfected together with pEGFPN1, as a reporter. After 44 h, immunofluorescence assay was performed using anti-cytochrome c antibody and cells were scored for cytochrome c release from mitochondria. Data represent arithmetic means ± SD for three independent experiments. (d) In IMR90-E1A and in IMR90-E1A/C9DN cells pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔN, pFLAGCMV5-HDAC4ΔC and pcDNA3-P0 were cotransfected together with pEGFPN1, as a reporter. Cell lysates were generated and subjected to Western immunoblotting by using the indicated antibodies.
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MEF2C. This MEF2C-driven reporter was repressed when HDAC4 was introduced in HeLa cells. In the case of HDAC4\(\text{C}\), the repression activity was increased, whereas coexpression of HDAC4\(\text{C}\)/H9004\(\text{N}\) slightly reinforced MEF2C transcription. Furthermore, the HDAC4-dependent repression was reduced, but it was still present when the cells were treated with TSA, whereas HDAC4\(\text{C}\)-dependent repression was unaffected by the presence of TSA. The repressive activity of HDAC4\(\text{C}\) was also confirmed in IMR90-E1A cells (our unpublished data).

Having demonstrated a potent repression activity of the HDAC4\(\text{C}\), we next decided to exclude that this repression was not an indirect consequence of its ability to trigger apoptosis. Hence we performed a time-course experiment to evaluate MEF2C transcription in cells coexpressing HDAC4\(\text{C}\) at early times from transfection when apoptosis was undetectable. As shown in Figure 8c, HDAC4\(\text{C}\)-dependent repression
Figure 8. In vivo analysis of HDAC4 nuclear/cytoplasmic shuttling during UV induced cell death. (a) Subcellular localization of HDAC4 in U2OS cells as revealed by biochemical fractionation. Crude nuclear (N) and cytosolic (C) extracts were prepared as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS, and western blots were performed using the indicated antibodies. Histones were visualized by Coomassie Blue staining. (b) Time-lapse images of a representative U2OS/GFP-HDAC4 cell after UV irradiation. Bar, 15 μm. (c) Time-lapse sequences of UV irradiated U2OS/GFP-HDAC4 cells. Each position along the x-axis represents a single cell. ● marks translocation of GFP-HDAC4 into the nucleus. △ marks evident nuclear fragmentation. (d) Time-lapse images of a representative U2OS/GFP-HDAC4/D289E cell UV irradiated. Bar, 15 μm.
was seen also at early time-points from transfection thus indicating that it is not a consequence of cell death.

Finally we wanted to explore whether the repression activity of the caspase-cleaved amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4 (HDAC4C) was required to trigger cell death. To this aim we generated a deletion encompassing aa 166–184 and a second deletion lacking aa from 166–289. These deletions were next analyzed for their ability to repress MEF2C transcription. Both deletions were expressed at similar levels but showed a different subcellular distribution when ectopically expressed: HDAC4C/Δ166–184 was localized in the nuclear compartment whereas the HDAC4/1–165 fragment was detectable throughout the cells both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm (data not shown).

HeLa cells were transfected with MEF2C, its reporter, and with expression plasmids for HDAC4ΔC, HDAC4ΔC/Δ166–184, HDAC4/1–165, or an empty vector as a control. The larger deletion (HDAC4/1–165) was unable to repress MEF2C driven reporter, whereas the shorter deletion (HDAC4ΔC/Δ166–184) efficiently repressed MEF2C-dependent transcription. Next, we analyzed these deletions for their ability to trigger cell death. As exemplified in Figure 7e, the amino-terminal fragment (Δ166–184), which retains the transcriptional repressive function, induced cell death similarly to HDAC4C, whereas the deletion 166–289, which avoids any repressive activity, was unable to trigger cell death.

Overall, these data suggest that there is a strong association between the apoptotic function of HDAC4ΔC and its repressional activity.

**Nuclear Accumulation of HDAC4C during Apoptosis In Vivo**

To investigate HDAC4 nuclear/cytoplasmic trafficking during apoptosis in vivo, we generated U2OS cells stably expressing GFP-HDAC4 and GFP-HDAC4/D289E. As described above for IMR90-E1A cells, GFP-HDAC4 can be localized both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm of U2OS cells, even though the cytosolic localization was prevalent (our unpublished data). The subcellular localization of the endogenous HDAC4 in U2OS, when analyzed by biochemical fractionation, was exclusively cytosolic (Figure 8a), and similar results were obtained in IMR90-E1A cells (our unpublished data).

Next, UV-irradiated U2OS/GFP-HDAC4 cells were subjected to time-lapse analysis. Frames were collected every 3 min for 24 h. The analysis has been focused on cells showing GFP-HDAC4 staining in the cytosol. Selected frames of a representative experiment are shown in Figure 8b. GFP-HDAC4 accumulated into the nucleus in response to UV irradiation, coincidently/immediately before the retraction response, but clearly before evident nuclear fragmentation. Nuclear accumulation of GFP-HDAC4 was never observed in untreated cells during 36 h of analysis (our unpublished data). Histogram presented in Figure 8c summarizes the results obtained from various experiments where each position along the x-axis represents a single cell.

When the same analysis was performed in U2OS/GFP-HDAC4/D289E cells, we did not observe, at any time, nuclear accumulation of GFP staining after UV irradiation. Selected frames of a representative experiment are shown in Figure 8d.

**DISCUSSION**

Caspases promote cell death either by the cleavage-dependent inactivation of survival factors or by the cleavage-independent activation of proapoptotic factors (Cryns and Yuan, 1998). The caspase-dependent turn-off of survival pathways can be attempted at a transcriptional level by the cleavage of transcription factors regulating the expression of prosurvival genes (Fischer et al., 2003). Processing of transcription factors generally results in a drastic decrease of their activity, which suggests that caspases can act as transcriptional corepressors.

HDACs are important regulators of gene expression as part of transcriptional corepressor complexes (Grozinger and Schreiber, 2002; Peterson, 2002; Verdin et al., 2003). Here, we report that caspases can selectively modulate HDACs function during apoptosis. Our evidence demonstrates that HDAC4, but not HDAC1, 2, 3, and 6, is specifically cleaved by caspase-2 and -3 in vitro, whereas HDAC5 is cleaved in vitro by caspase-3, but at a much lower extent with respect to HDAC4.

Experiments in MCF-7 cells expressing caspase-3/CI or caspase-3/WT, and IMR90-E1A cells expressing C9DN, indicate that the apoptosome and the subsequent activation of caspase-3 play the major role in HDAC4 processing after genotoxic stress.

HDAC4 belongs to the class IIa of HDACs and shows the highest expression in heart, skeletal muscle and brain. HDAC4 is part of large multiprotein complexes that mediate its recruitment to specific promoters (Figure 9) (Verdin et al., 2003). HDAC4 interacts with the MEF2 family of transcription factors and with SRF through the amino-terminal region (Miska et al., 1999; Youn et al., 2000; Davis et al., 2003). In addition, the amino-terminal region is also involved in the binding of the transcriptional repressor C-terminal-binding protein (CtBP) and of BCL6, a sequence-specific transcriptional repressor that is involved in the pathogenesis of non-Hodgkin’s B-cell lymphomas (Zhang et al., 2001; Lemercier et al., 2002; Verdin et al., 2003).

HDAC4 interacts with two closely related corepressors, silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid receptor (SMRT) and nuclear receptor corepressor (N-CoR), through the carboxy-terminal region, including the HDAC domain (Huang et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2003). HDAC4 is enzymatically inactive; however, a deacetylase activity arises from the presence of HDAC3 in the SMRT/N-CoR-HDAC3 complex (Fischle et al., 2002).

**Figure 9.** Schematic representation of the effect of caspase activation on HDAC4 and its partners. Cytoplasmic relocalization of HDAC4 carboxy-terminal fragment could trigger the dissociation from the SMRT/N-CoR-HDAC3 complex (Fischle et al., 2002).
plasm, and the phosphorylation-dependent binding to 14-3-3 proteins mediates their cytoplasmic localization (Grozinger and Schreiber, 2000; McKinsey et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2000; Miska et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2001). The Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase and a still unidentified kinase can mediate phosphorylation of HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9 and promote their nuclear export (Verdin et al., 2003).

We demonstrate that HDAC4 nuclear/cytoplasmic shuttling is regulated by caspases. Caspase-dependent cleavage of HDAC4 occurs at Asp 289 and provokes the separation of the amino-terminal region, including the MEF binding sequence and the NLS, from the carboxy-terminal region that includes the HDAC domain and the NES. The corresponding amino-terminal and carboxy-terminal fragments show an exclusively nuclear and cytoplasmic localization, respectively.

Class II HDACs play multiple biological roles being involved in the myogenesis, in the negative selection of thymocytes, in the regulation of Epstein-Barr virus, and probably in neuronal survival (Verdin et al., 2003). The relationships between the subcellular localization of class IIa HDACs and their biological functions have been characterized more in detail during myogenesis. HDAC4, similarly to HDACs and their biological functions have been characterized (Verdin et al., 2000; Miska et al., 2001). However, HDAC4 is mainly cytosolic in proliferating C2C12 cells and relocates to the nucleus in myotubes, whereas HDAC5 is prevalently nuclear in myoblasts and translocates into the cytoplasm when cells differentiate (Miska et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2001).

We similarly observed that HDAC4 is mainly cytosolic in IMR90-E1A and in U2OS cells. In stably transfected U2OS cells, GFP-HDAC4 showed a cytosolic localization in ≈70% of the cells; but during UV-induced apoptosis, it translocates into the nucleus in a caspase-dependent manner, coincidentally/immediately before the retraction response, but clearly before nuclear fragmentation. Overall, our data suggest that HDAC4 translocation into the nucleus during cell death is dependent on a caspase cleavage of the amino-terminal region and that this cleavage is an early event during the execution phase of the apoptotic program.

When ectopically expressed, the amino-terminal fragment of HDAC4 (HDAC4ΔC) induced apoptosis by activating the mitochondrial pathway, as demonstrated by the dependence on caspase-9, the release of cytochrome c, and the processing of PARP. Class IIa HDACs contain multiple, independent repressive domains (Verdin et al., 2003). The amino-terminal region of HDAC4 but also that of HDAC-7 and HDAC-9/MTR are able to repress transcription in the absence of their deacetylase domains (Dressel et al., 2001; Wang et al., 1999; Sparrow et al., 1999; Chan et al., 2003). We observed that the proapoptotic function of HDAC4ΔC was correlated to an efficient repression of MEF2C transcriptional activity. Surprisingly, HDAC4ΔC showed a stronger MEF2-repressive activity compared with the full-length HDAC4. Different explanations can be evoked: 1) HDAC4ΔC shows an exclusively nuclear localization, whereas HDAC4 was nuclear in ≈60% of the cells. 2) The subnuclear localization of the two proteins was different because HDAC4-FLAG, when localized into the nucleus, was present in speckle-like structures (Wu et al., 2001), whereas HDAC4ΔC-FLAG showed a diffuse nuclear staining. 3) From our studies, it seems that HDAC4 lacking the carboxy-terminal region is more stable of the full-length protein. It is possible that all these aspects account for the increased repressive activity of the caspase-cleaved amino-terminal segment of HDAC4.

Removal of aa 166-289 from HDAC4ΔC abolished its repressional activity and the proapoptotic function. These data further support the link between the repressional and the cell death activities of HDAC4. Curiously, the deletion HDAC4ΔC/Δ166-185, which should remove the previously reported MEF2 binding site (Wang and Yang, 2001), was still able to repress MEF2C transcription. Repression of MEF2C by the HDAC4 fragments lacking this putative binding site has already been observed (Wang et al., 1999). Two possible explanations can be evoked: 1) An additional MEF2C binding site could exist in HDAC4ΔC. 2) It could be possible that HDAC4ΔC/Δ166-185 is recruited, through different interactors, to MEF2 promoter independently from the binding to MEF2. Interestingly within the amino-terminal region of HDAC4 an oligomerization domain has been mapped (Wang and Yang, 2001; Kirsh et al., 2002). Therefore, HDAC4ΔC/Δ166-185 could interact with endogenous HDAC4 and be recruited in the complex containing MEF2C.

Diverse cellular decisions are controlled by the MEF2 family of transcription factors in different cell types (McKinsey et al., 2002), including proapoptotic (Youm et al., 1999) and prosurvival functions (Mao et al., 1999). Protection from apoptosis has been observed in postmitotic neurons. RNA interference on MEF2A, revealed a critical role of this factor in the neuronal activity-dependent survival of granule neurons (Gaudilliere et al., 2002). Moreover, when the same cells were challenged to apoptosis by K⁺ withdrawal, MEF2A and MEF2D underwent a caspase-mediated processing (Li et al., 2001). Caspase-dependent processing of MEF2 family members has also been investigated in mature cerebrocortical neurons in response to excitotoxic insults. In this cellular system, the caspase-dependent cleavage of MEF2 transcription factors generates fragments that act in a dominant-interfering manner to abrogate MEF2-dependent neuroprotection (Okamoto et al., 2002). Similarly caspase-dependent cleavage of SRF, another prosurvival factor that is regulated by HDAC4, inhibits its transcriptional activity (Bertolotto et al., 2000; Drewett et al., 2001).

This evidence suggests that caspases act in coordinated manner to suppress the survival pathways regulated by SRF and MEF2 transcription factors both in “cis” by the direct cleavage of the transcription factors and in “trans” by regulating HDAC4 function. In this scenario, when a limited level of caspases is activated by mitochondria, HDAC4 cleavage could sustain the apoptotic signal by acting on mitochondria in a sort of amplificatory loop.

Interestingly ectopically expressed HDAC5 promotes apoptosis (Huang et al., 2002), and in a transgenic mouse model the inducible expression of a signal resistant form of HDAC5 in cardiomyocytes resulted in sudden death of the mice. Cardiomyocytes death and dramatic changes in mitochondrial morphology were observed (Czubryt et al., 2003). MEF2 and HDAC5 regulate in an opposite manner peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator 1α (PGC-1α) expression, a master regulator of mitochondria biogenesis (Puigserver et al., 1998; Wu et al., 1999). Because HDAC4ΔC controls cell survival through the mitochondrial pathway, it will be interesting to evaluate whether PGC-1α expression is modulated by HDAC4ΔC and whether PGC-1α can counteract apoptosis induced by this deletion.
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