The actin cytoskeleton controls the overall structure of cells and is highly polarized in chemotaxing cells, with F-actin assembled predominantly in the anterior leading edge and to a lesser degree in the cell’s posterior. Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) has emerged as a central player in controlling actin polymerization. We have investigated WASP function and its regulation in chemotaxing Dictyostelium cells and demonstrated the specific and essential role of WASP in organizing polarized F-actin assembly in chemotaxing cells. Cells expressing very low levels of WASP show reduced F-actin levels and significant defects in polarized F-actin assembly, resulting in an inability to establish axial polarity during chemotaxis. GFP-WASP preferentially localizes at the leading edge and uropod of chemotaxing cells and reduced F-actin levels and significant defects in polarized F-actin assembly, resulting in an inability to establish axial polarity during chemotaxis. GFP-WASP preferentially localizes at the leading edge and uropod of chemotaxing cells and reduced F-actin levels and significant defects in polarized F-actin assembly, resulting in an inability to establish axial polarity during chemotaxis. GFP-WASP preferentially localizes at the leading edge and uropod of chemotaxing cells and reduced F-actin levels and significant defects in polarized F-actin assembly, resulting in an inability to establish axial polarity during chemotaxis.
actin polymerization (Machesky and Insall, 1998; Machesky et al., 1999; Rohatgi et al., 1999). Biochemical and structural data suggests that WASP is held in an inactive configuration through the binding of an autoinhibitory domain that overlaps with the GBD to the C region of the VCA domain (Prehoda et al., 2000). WASP is activated by signaling pathways through the binding of Rac/Cdc42-GTP to the GBD, resulting in a conformational change, which allows the VCA domain to activate the Arp2/3 complex (Kim et al., 2000). The presence of a GBD domain and the interaction between WASP and Arp2/3 suggest that signaling pathways may regulate the spatial and temporal control of WASP function, in part, through receptor activation of Cdc42/Rac leading to actin cytoskeleton reorganization. Previous studies have suggested WASP changes its conformation from auto-inhibited to active upon binding of activated Cdc42 (Cdc42GTP) and other ligands, such as PI(4,5)P2 (Higgs and Pollard, 2000; Rohatgi et al., 2000). However, in order to better understand WASP function, we require a dynamic in vivo system in which spatial and temporal regulation of WASP function in a biological response can be dissected. In vivo studies suggest that WASP is involved in controlling directional motility during chemotaxis and the spatial organization of F-actin in T-cell activation. Chemotaxis of macrophages from WAS patients is significantly impaired, whereas the speed of random motility of both cell types was found to be indistinguishable from that of control cells (Altman et al., 1974; Ochs, 1998a; Zicha et al., 1998). Monocytes from WAS patients do not effectively polarize and also have severely impaired migration in response to a variety of chemotactic agents (Badolato et al., 1998; Zicha et al., 1998). To better understand the role WASP in directional movement, we have examined spatial and temporal regulation of WASP function in Dictyostelium. Through the analysis of WASP mutants, we demonstrated that WASP is required for cellular polarity and motility during chemotaxis of Dictyostelium. We demonstrated that WASP localization can be differentially regulated by the interaction of the B domain with PI(4,5)P2 and PI(3,4,5)P3. Further, we provide evidence that WASP localization to the leading edge requires the basic domain interacting with PI(3,4,5)P3, the product of P3Kinase. We suggest that the spatial and temporal control of WASP localization and activation is essential for the regulation of directional motility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Development

Dictyostelium cells were cultured axenically in HL5 medium supplemented with 60 U of penicillin and 60 μg of streptomycin per ml. For examining developmental phenotypes, cells were washed twice with 12 mM Na/K Phosphate buffer and plated on nonnutrient agar plates.

Molecular Biology

Dictyostelium WASP was originally identified in a two-hybrid screen with constitutively active human Cdc42 as a bait. The screening was essentially done as previously described (Chung et al., 1998). A cDNA containing a 1200-base pair open reading frame (ORF) for WASP was isolated. The plasmid for homologous recombination was constructed by insertion of the Bsr gene cassette into the WASP ORF at the BamHI site or THY1 gene at the EcoRI site of WASP genomic DNA. The Bsr targeting construct was linearized with SacI and XhoI and electroporated into Ax2 wild-type cells, and transformants were selected and screened for disruption of WASP by Southern blot analysis (WASPΔ200 strain). The THY targeting construct was linearized with BglII/Xhol and electroporated into the thymidine auxotrophic strain, JH10, and transformants were selected in the absence of thymidine in the medium and screened for disruption of WASP. Southern blot analysis showed this strain of cells (WASPΔTTP) still has a copy of WASP gene not disrupted and this strain was used to create a knock-in strain.

We used a knock-in approach to acquire a strain that has very low level of WASP expression. The targeting construct was made by cloning 500 base pairs of WASP genomic DNA encompassing the start codon in Spel/HindIII sites. A Bsr gene cassette was cloned into WASP cDNA fused with YFP at the N-terminus under the control of a tetracycline-responsive element (TRE) was cloned into EcoRI-Xhol. TRE is the binding site for a chimeric tetracycline-controlled transcriptional activator protein (ITA). In the absence of tetracycline, ITA binds to its target sequence and strongly induces gene expression. Tetracycline prevents ITA from binding to the tetracycline-responsive element, rendering the promoter virtually silent, enabling us to get a strain expressing a very low level of WASP. The targeting vector was cut with Spel-Xhol and transformed into WASPTTP cells, and positive clones were selected in the presence of blasticidin and identified by Southern blot. By homologous recombination, the knock-in construct replaced the WASP gene with a WASP allele under TRE regulation. By differing the concentration of tetracycline in the medium, we were able to elucidate defects in actin polymerization and chemotactic motility in different levels of WASP expression. Cells were transformed with a vector encoding RNA complementary to WASP mRNA under the control of the discodin promoter that can be negatively regulated by folic acid and turned off by starvation. The GFP-WASP vector was constructed by cloning the sequence encoding eGFP in-frame with the entire coding sequence of WASP that was amplified by PCR. The construct encoded fusion proteins with GFP at the N-terminus, separated by the flexible linker GSGSG from the entire coding sequence of WASP. The GFP-fusion proteins were expressed under control of the actin-15 promoter using the pEXP4(+)+ vector.

In Vivo Actin Polymerization Assay

F-actin was quantified from TRITC-phalloidin staining of Dictyostelium cells as described in the previous study (Zignoud et al., 1997). Cells were pulsed with 30 nM cAMP at 6-min intervals for 5 h. Cells were fixed with 10% formalin in 100% methanol and shaken at 200 rpm for 20 min to synchronize the signaling of the cells. Cells were spun and resuspended with phosphate buffer (10 mM PO4, pH 6.1, and 2 mM MgSO4) at 5 × 105 cells/ml and stimulated with 100 μM cAMP. Five hundred microliters of cells were taken at 5, 10, 20, 30, and 50 s time points and mixed with actin buffer (20 mM KH2PO4, 10 mM PIPES, pH 6.8, 5 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2) containing 6% formaldehyde, 0.15% Triton X-100, and 1 μM TRITC phalloidin. Cells were fixed and stained for 1 h and spun down at 14,000 rpm for 5 min in the microfuge. Pelleted cells were extracted with 1 ml of 100% methanol, and fluorescence was measured (540ex/575em). To determine nonsaturable binding, 100 μM unlabelled phalloidin was included.

F-actin Staining

For phalloidin staining, cells were starved in 12 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.2) for more than 5 h and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 5 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100, washed, and incubated with FITC- or TRITC-labeled phalloidin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.05% Tween-20 for 1 h. Cells were washed in PBS containing 0.5% Tween-20. Images were captured with Roper Coolscan camera (Tucson, AZ) and Meta-morph software (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA).

For labeling barbed-ends, aggregation competent cells were permeabilized with 2% Triton X-100, 6% PEG, 1 mM MgCl2, 3 μM phalloidin for 3 min, and 0.4 μM Rhodamine-labeled actin in 1 μM ATP solution was added. After 5 min staining, cells were washed three times with PIPES buffer and fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde.

Chemotaxis Assay

Cells competent to chemotax toward cAMP (aggregation-competent cells) were obtained by pulsing cells in suspension for 5 h with 30 nM cAMP, conditions that maximally induce the expression of aggregation-stage genes required for aggregation, including the cAMP receptor cAR1 and the coupled G protein α subunit Gα2. The chemotaxis assays were done as previously described (Chung and Firtel, 1999; Meli et al., 1999). Cells were pulsed with 30 nM cAMP at 6-min intervals for 5 h and plated on glass-bottomed micro-well dishes (MarTek, Ashland, MA). A micropipette filled with 100 μM cAMP was positioned to stimulate cells by using a micromanipulator (Ippendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and the response and movement of cells were recorded by using Metamorph software (1 image per every 6 s). Cell movement was examined by tracing the movement of a single cell in a stack of images.

Lipid-binding Assay

PIP strips (Echelon, Salt Lake City, UT) were blocked with 3% (wt/vol) fatty acid-free BSA in TBST (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1% [vol/vol] Tween-20) for 1 h. Blocked membranes were incubated for 2 h at 4°C with 100 ng/ml GST fusion protein and then washed five times for 5 min each with TBST. After washing, membranes were incubated with anti-GST monoclonal antibody for 1 h (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), followed by additional washing and incubation with an anti-mouse horseradish-peroxidase conjugate (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ). After final washing, chemi-
luminescence was then used to detect binding of GST fusions to phospholipids. Densitometry was conducted using NIH Image.

PIP beads (Echelon) were washed twice with 5 volumes of wash/binding buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20, 1% ovalbumin) for 1 h. Control beads without cross-linked lipid were prepared in an identical manner. GST-B-GBD protein (5 μg) was then added to the tubes, and the protein was allowed to bind for 2 h at 4 °C with gentle agitation. The tubes were then centrifuged briefly, the beads were washed two times with 0.5 ml of wash/binding buffer, and the bound proteins were eluted by boiling the beads in 20 μl of Laemmli sample buffer containing 5% 2-mercaptoethanol. The proteins were then separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. GST-B-GBD protein was detected by Western blot.

Liposomes were prepared using phosphatidylcholine (PC; Sigma) or synthetic PC phosphatidylcholine (Avanti Polar Lipids, Birmingham, AL) and 5% of the phospholipid of interest (150 μg of total phospholipid). Phospholipids were resuspended in chloroform, mixed, and dried down in glass tubes under nitrogen. The lipid film was resuspended in resuspension buffer (50 mM HEPES, KOH [pH 7.6], 100 mM KCl, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM dithiothreitol) at a final concentration of 1 μg/μl vortexed vigorously for 5 min, and then placed in a bath sonicator for 5 min at 4°C. To perform binding assay, 25 μg of GST-YFP-BG or GST proteins, 50 μl of the appropriate liposomes, 50 μl of 2% ovalbumin (Sigma), and 10 μl of protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) were mixed in binding buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, and 20 mM Tricine, pH 7.8). Immediately after incubation, GST fusion protein and liposome complex were overlaid on an Optiprep (Greiner BioOne, Longwood, FL) step gradient (5 ml of 1.6 ml of 15%:1.6 ml of 10%:1.6 ml of 5%:optiprep in binding buffer) and centrifuged in a Beckman SW40Ti rotor (Fullerton, CA) for 18 h at 35,000 rpm at 4 °C. Five hundred-microliter fractions were collected from the top of tubes and analyzed by SDS-PAGE, followed by either immunoblot with anti-GST polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or Coomassie-stained analysis. Protein bands were quantified using NIH image software (NIH).

RESULTS

Dictyostelium WASP

Dictyostelium WASP was identified by yeast two-hybrid screen with constitutively active HsCdc42 as bait as described in the previous study (Chung et al., 1998). The WASP ORF encodes a protein of 399 amino acids (Figure 1A; GenBank accession no. AAG24442; locus name: wasA). Direct pair-wise comparison with human WASP indicates that two proteins are 32% identical and 44% similar. Multiple sequence alignments with WASP from other species indicates that WASP has similar domain architecture, as depicted in Figure 1B, to that of mammalian WASP. Northern blot analysis shows that WASP transcript in WASP<sup>WASPa</sup> cells and the lack of WASP transcript in WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells.
WASP Deletion Mutants

Southern blot analysis revealed two copies of genes encoding WASP in the Dictyostelium genome (unpublished data). To investigate WASP function, we attempted to generate a wasp null mutant. We have not been able to obtain a null mutant (knockout of both copies of the gene) using standard homologous recombination approaches, possibly because such a strain may exhibit severe growth defects and thus be selected against using the standard protocol. The level of WASP expression in cells stably expressing WASP antisense RNA is normally 20–40% of that of wild-type cells and we have been unable to obtain stable antisense cell lines exhibiting less WASP mRNA expression (unpublished data). However, we were able to disrupt one of the copies of the WASP gene by homologous recombination, and analysis of this hypomorphic strain (WASP<sup>hyp</sup>) has provided us with direct evidence for involvement of WASP in the in vivo regulation of F-actin assembly and cell motility during chemotaxis (see below). To acquire a strain that has a very low level of WASP expression, we made a knockin construct in which the level of WASP expression is regulated transcriptionally by a tetracycline (Tet)-regulated promoter/translation activator combination (Tet-Off TA; tTA; Blaauw et al., 2000; Funamoto et al., 2002). This construct was used to create a knock-in strain by replacing intact WASP gene with WASP under the control of tTA in the single WASP gene null background (WASP<sup>TTK</sup> strain). WASP knockin cells (WASP<sup>TTK</sup>) express a very low level of WASP transcript in the absence of the Tet-off TA transcription activator (Figure ID).

Aberrant F-actin Organization and Loss of Polarity in Cells Expressing Low Levels of WASP

To examine whether reduced expression of WASP has an impact on the actin cytoskeleton, we stained for actin filaments of aggregation-competent WASP<sup>hyp</sup> cells under the cAMP gradient using rhodamine-phalloidin staining. The actin cytoskeleton controls the overall structure of cells and is highly polarized in chemotaxing cells, with F-actin localized predominantly in the anterior leading edge and to a lesser degree in the cell’s posterior (Gerisch et al., 1995; Westphal et al., 1997; Parent and Devreotes, 1999; Firtel and Chung, 2000). Wild-type cells are well polarized and show localized F-actin assembly at lamellipodia of the leading edge and, to a lesser degree, at the posterior cortical region of the retracting cell body (uropod; Figure 2A). WASP<sup>hyp</sup> cells appear elongated and polarized but show significantly less F-actin at the leading edge. Moreover, F-actin at the uropod is almost not detectable. WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells exhibited even stronger defects in F-actin organization. These cells exhibit neither a prominent F-actin–enriched lamellipod nor cell polarity and were not elongated, presumably because of the lack of polarized organization of F-actin. Some of WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells seem to maintain lower but polarized distribution of F-actin. To determine if this polarized distribution is in the direction of cAMP gradient, we measured fluorescence intensity by performing linescan of images in the direction of the gradient and determined ratio of intensity of F-actin staining at the foremost part (front) or rear end (back) of cells divided by the intensity of the center of cells. Wild-type cells showed five times higher F-actin staining at

Figure 2. F-actin organization of cells expressing low levels of WASP. (A) F-actin organization revealed by phalloidin staining in WASP<sup>hypo</sup> and WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells under cAMP gradient. Stainings of two cells with representative phenotypes are shown. Arrows indicate the direction of cAMP gradient. Right panel shows ratio of intensity of F-actin staining at the foremost part (front) or rear end (back) of cells divided by the intensity of the center of cells. Intensity value was acquired by linescan of images in the direction of the gradient (n = 10). (B) In vivo actin polymerization assay measuring F-actin assembled in response to the chemoattractant (cAMP) stimulation. Note that F-actin polymerization upon cAMP stimulation is defective in WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells. (C) F-actin organization revealed with ABP-GFP in live cells. ABP-GFP fusion protein binds specifically and dynamically to the same F-actin structures that phalloidin recognizes in fixed cells. (D) Distribution of free barbed end G-actin was quantified by measuring the fluorescence intensity and shown in right panel (n = 6). (E) Axial polarity of wild-type and WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells. GFP fusion of N-terminal half of PAKa (PAKa-N-GFP) shows specific localization to uropod of polarized cells and was used as a reporter to examine cellular polarity. Aggregation competent wild-type cells are well polarized and showed biased localization of PAKa-N-GFP at the uropod, but WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells show almost equal distribution of PAKa-N-GFP, indicating lack of axial polarity. Polarity index was calculated by the ratio of PAKa-N-GFP intensity at the leading edge to GFP intensity at the uropod and shown in the right panel (n = 6). The polarity index of wild-type cells is significantly greater than that of WASP<sup>TK</sup> cells.
front, whereas WASPTK cells showed virtually the same intensity of the center of cell, indicating the polarized distribution of F-actin, if any, is not in the direction of cAMP gradient. WASPTK cells were tested for in vivo actin polymerization responses to cAMP stimulation. Wild-type cells show a rapid and transient increase of F-actin assembly (~70–90% increase) by 10 s after cAMP stimulation (Figure 2B), as previously described (Zigmond et al., 1997). WASPTK cells have a moderately lower level of F-actin assembly in unstimulated cells. On chemoattractant stimulation, these cells show a significantly reduced level of actin assembly, which is presumably due to the lower expression of WASP.

To investigate spatial regulation of F-actin organization in living cells, we used the green fluorescent protein–actin-binding domain (GFP-ABD) probe (Pang et al., 1998) that is a fusion of EGFP and the actin-binding domain from ABP-120, the major F-actin cross-linking protein in Dictyostelium cells (Bresnick et al., 1990). The fusion protein binds specifically and dynamically to the same F-actin structures that phalloidin recognizes in fixed cells. In chemotaxing wild-type cells, prominent accumulation of GFP-ABD was observed at the leading edge and uropod (Figure 2C). In addition, there was a moderate localization of GFP-ABD along the entire cell cortex. However, in WASPTK cells, GFP-ABD is more diffusely distributed, and no polar distribution is observed, consistent with the results of the phalloidin staining shown in Figure 2A. More importantly, as shown in Figure 2D, WASPTK cells show a greatly decreased number of free barbed ends relative to wild-type cells, as might be expected because of the lack of WASP. In aggregation-competent wild-type cells, the distribution of free barbed ends was very polarized. In WASPTK cells, the labeling of free barbed ends is greatly reduced and is not localized. This observation is consistent with our findings in phalloidin staining and in agreement with models suggesting that WASP is required for polarized F-actin assembly in migrating cells.

Localized myosin II assembly is key in maintaining cell polarity and cortical tension and retracting the posterior cell body (Engelhoff et al., 1996; Stites et al., 1998; Clow and McNally, 1999; Sanchez-Madrid and del Pozo, 1999). PAKα plays important roles for the regulation of cell polarity, chemotaxis, and cytokinesis in Dictyostelium by controlling myosin II assembly (Chung and Firtel, 1999). Our previous study demonstrated that the N-terminal domain of PAKα is necessary and sufficient for PAKα’s localization to the posterior cortex of polarized, chemotaxing cells (Chung and Firtel, 1999; Chung et al., 2001). We used a GFP fusion of N-PAKα (N-PAKα-GFP) as a reporter to examine axial polarity of cytoktoskeleton. Wild-type cells showed biased distribution of N-PAKα-GFP at the uropod in chemotaxing cells, as expected (Figure 2E). When this polarity is disrupted by globally stimulating cells (bathing the cells in cAMP to simultaneously activate all of the cell-surface cAMP receptors), N-PAKα-GFP becomes uniformly distributed around the cells’ periphery. As the rounded morphology of WASPTK might predict, N-PAKα-GFP is distributed more uniformly along the cortex of these cells compared with wild-type cells, indicating that establishment of cytoktoskeleton polarity is significantly impaired in WASPTK cells.

**Chemotaxis of WASP Mutants**

To test whether the changes in the actin cytoskeleton described above alter chemoattractant-induced cell migration, we used a chemotaxis assay combined with time-lapse video microscopy (Figure 3). Wild-type cells are usually well polarized, move quickly and linearly toward the cAMP source, extend pseudopodia predominantly in the direction of cAMP gradient, and produce very few random lateral or rear pseudopodia. Cells of WASP^{hyp} strain exhibit less vigorous pseudopodia extension and do not appear to retract the uropod as efficiently as wild-type cells (Figure 3A). Pseudopod extension and uropod retraction of wild-type cells are well coordinated so that the length of cell body of migrating cells is relatively constant (Figure 3C). WASP^{hyp} cells appear defective in this process, which is consistent with their lack of F-actin assembly at the uropod (Figure 2A). The rear cell body of the WASP^{hyp} cells does not retract actively, whereas pseudopod extension is not largely impaired. This results in a very elongated cell body and greater variations in cell body length as depicted in Figure 3B. Mechanical tension caused by extension of pseudopod forced the posterior of WASP^{hyp} cells to become detached from the substratum and contracts, resulting in abrupt shortening of the length of cell body (Figure 3C). WASP^{TK} cells have even greater defects in chemotaxis. They are very flattened and round as they are unable to establish polarity (Figure 3A). Some of WASP^{TK} cells contain more than one nucleus (unpublished data), indicating growth defects. In the presence of chemoattractant, small portion of WASP^{TK} cells (30%) appear to develop a pseudopod slowly in the direction of the chemoattractant gradient but the remainder of the cell body does not polarize (Figure 3A), resulting in very slow movement with a higher angular deviation due to frequent change of the direction of movement. They move at a speed of 2–3 μm/min, four times slower than wild-type cells (8–10 μm/min) as shown in Figure 3D. WASP^{TK} cells showed significantly lower chemotaxis index than wild-type cells, suggesting that their defects are due to the lack of persistence. The speed and angular deviations were recovered by the expression of rTA, a chimeric tetracycline-controlled transcriptional activator protein that results in higher expression of WASP. These cells also exhibited recovery of cellular polarity (Figure 3, A and D), indicating the necessity of WASP for cell motility and polarity. Inability of WASP^{TK} cells to chemotax efficiently was clearly manifested in the traces of cells migrating toward cAMP source (Figure 3B). Wild-type cells chemotax in a directed manner, showing straight paths of movement, whereas WASP^{TK} cells show unbiased movement indicated by higher angular deviation. To determine if the chemotaxis defects are due to a general lack of responsiveness to the chemoattractant, we examined the expression of cAR1, the major cAMP chemoattractant receptor that regulates aggregation. The expression of cAR1 was normal (unpublished data). To ensure that other signaling pathways are not altered, we examined the translocation of AktP-EGFP to the membrane upon cAMP stimulation and the kinetics of translocation in WASP^{TK} was the same as in wild-type cells (Figure 3E), indicating that pathways activating PI3 kinase are intact. Combined with normal cAR1 expression, this result suggests that other signaling pathways are not altered.

**Motility Defects of Cells Expressing Low Level of WASP during Multicellular Development**

To examine the effect of disrupting WASP on multicellular development, cells were plated on nonnutrient agar and development was examined (Figure 4). WASP^{hyp} cells exhibit a very significant motility defect during morphogenesis, remaining at the mound stage three times longer than wild-type cells. At the mound stage, the prestalk cells sort from the prespore cells by chemotaxis and differential cell adhesion, forming at tip that elongates to form the migrating...
slug (Weijer, 1999; Firtel and Meili, 2000). We expect the delay at the mound stage to be due to motility defects of WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells. Similar morphogenesis defects have been demonstrated for other mutants affecting the actin-myosin cytoskeleton. The delay of development probably results from the abnormal regulation of the cytoskeletal organization, which would affect cell movement during chemotaxis and late development. At the mound stage cells differentiate into different cell types and sort themselves out to specific area of the mound due to differential adhesion and motility. The motility defect of WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells probably delays this cell sorting, which presumably prolongs the mound period. When mixed with wild-type cells, the WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells initiate distribute randomly in the mound. WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells do not actively migrate into the stream of cells rotating in the mound, resulted in the exclusion of WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells to the mound periphery (Figure 4B). The motility defects are more significant in WASPTK cells because they do not aggregate when starved on nonnutrient agar plates. Defects of WASPTK cells can be rescued by the ectopic expression of GFP-WASP as shown in Figure 4A. WASPTK cells do not effectively participate in the aggregation when they are mixed with wild-type cells and are excluded from the mound as morphogenesis ensues (Figure 4C). Wild-type cells in the mound are well elongated and polarized, but majority of WASPTK cells are neither present in the mound nor well polarized, also indicating that WASPTK cells do not actively chemotax. As the expression of cAMP receptor is normal and other signaling pathways are intact, we conclude that the failure to aggregate results from defects in chemotactic motility, not from an inability to respond to the chemoattractant.

**Subcellular Localization of WASP in Migrating Cells**

To determine if there is a dynamic subcellular localization of WASP in response to chemoattractant stimulation, we fused GFP to the N-terminus of full-length WASP, separated by a flexible linker Gly-Ser-Gly-Ser-Gly. We examined cells stably expressing moderate levels of GFP-WASP (GFP-WASP/WASP<sub>hypos</sub>) and found that GFP-WASP is transiently concentrated at the leading edge and, to a lesser extent, at the uropod of Dictyostelium cells migrating toward a chemoattractant gradient (Figure 5A). This subcellular localization is consistent with the organization of the actin cytoskeleton indicated by phalloidin staining in migrating cells. The enrichment of GFP-WASP at the leading edge of chemotaxing cells suggests that cAMP-activated signaling pathways may regulate WASP translocation to the leading edge that, in turn, stimulates F-actin assembly.

**The Basic Domain of WASP Might Be a Major Determinant for WASP Localization**

The biased localization of WASP at the leading edge and uropod raises two major questions. First, is spatial localization of WASP directly correlated with the stimulation of F-actin nucleation? We attempted to answer this question by simultaneously monitoring WASP localization and F-actin assembly in live cells. We fused spectrally distinct variants of the green fluorescent protein (GFP), YFP and CFP, to WASP and to coronin, respectively, and coexpressed them in WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells. By using a high-speed excitation filter wheel, we acquired differential images of the two reporters with time-lapse microscopy. Coronin is a conserved component of the actin cytoskeleton found in all eukaryotes examined from yeast to mammals and binds specifically to F-actin and bundles of actin filaments and localizes to sites of dynamic F-actin assembly (de Hostos, 1999; Asano et al., 2001).

Coronin has been used as a reporter for F-actin distribution in live cells (Gerisch et al., 1995). As shown in Figure 5C, newly formed pseudopodia are initially enriched with YFP-WASP and precede the localization of CFP-coronin, consistent with F-actin assembly at the leading edge being downstream from the localization and activation of WASP. The second question is: what are the determinants for WASP localization? Studies suggested that the activity of PI3 Kinase is essential for the polarized F-actin organization in migrating cells (Rickert et al., 2000; Devreotes and Janetopoulos, 2003; Merlot and Firtel, 2003). The WH1 and B (basic) domains of N-WASP have been shown to mediate binding phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>) (Miki et al., 1996; Imai et al., 1999), suggesting that these domains might be responsible for targeting WASP to the membrane via the interaction with the B domain. GFP-WASP lacking the WH1 and B domain displays a diffuse distribution in chemotaxing cells, suggesting that these domains are required for the dynamic regulation of WASP localization in moving cells (Figure 5A). We then expressed the GFP-fused WH1 domain and B domain linked to the GBD (GTPase binding domain; B-GBD) individually in wild-type cells to determine whether they have similar distribution to WASP. GFP-WH1 distributes uniformly in the cell, whereas GFP-B-GBD shows polarized distribution to the leading edge and uropod, indicating that the B domain is a major determinant for WASP localization. The presence of

---

**Figure 3 (facing page).** Abnormal chemotactic movement of cells expressing low levels of WASP. (A) DIC images of cells migrating toward a cAMP gradient. Wild-type cells are well polarized and retract uropod actively. WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells have defects in protruding lamellipod and retracting rear cell body, resulting in narrow and long uropod. WASPTK cells do not polarize and actively migrate. WASPTK cells expressing tTA, a chimeric tetracycline-controlled transcriptional activator protein, recovers normal chemotactic movements. Images of cell at 0, 7, and 14 min are shown. (B) Chemotaxis of cells were analyzed by Metamorph software (Universal Imaging) and traces of cells chemotaxing toward cAMP source are shown. Asterisk represents the position of micropipette. (C) Cell body length of WASP<sub>hypos</sub> cells migrating toward cAMP gradient. Because of lack of active retraction at the uropod, the length of cell body extends until the uropod retracts by mechanical force generated by pseudopod extension, which for example, varies in a cell body length of cell and a WASP<sub>hypos</sub> mutant cell are shown in the left. Differences in longest and shortest cell body lengths of eight cells during chemotaxis were analyzed and are shown in the right. (D) Chemotaxis speed of wild-type, WASPTK, and WASPTK cells expressing tTA (n = 6). The chemotactic speed of WASPTK cells is significantly decreased, but recovered by the expression of tTA leading WASP expression. DIC images of migrating cells were taken in 5-s intervals for 15 min and analyzed with Metamorph software. Angular deviation shows deviations of the angle of the path taken by cells from frame to frame. Chemotaxis indices were calculated as described in Futrelle et al. (1982). If the cell moves directly toward the gradient source it is 1, if directly away it is −1. If movement is indifferent to gradient (random movement), it is 0. (E) Translocation of Akt/PH-GFP upon cAMP stimulation. Wild-type and WASPTK cells expressing PH domain of Akt/PKB (Akt/PH-GFP) were stimulated by the addition of a saturating dose of cAMP (10 µM). On cAMP stimulation, a dramatic translocation of Akt/PH-GFP from the cytosol to the plasma membrane is observed. Numbers in the lower right corner are seconds before and after stimulation. Right panel shows the translocation kinetics of Akt/PH-GFP obtained from time-lapse recordings. The fluorescence intensity of membrane-localized GFP fusion protein was quantitated as E(t) using the linescan module of Metamorph software (Universal Imaging). Et/Eo is plotted as a measure of the amount of membrane-associated protein relative to the starting conditions.
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GBD domain might be required, but not sufficient for the localization of WASP (unpublished data). Interestingly, GFP-B-GBD appeared to be associated with vesicle-like structures as revealed by punctate pattern of GFP signal at the leading edge and uropod, which is different from more diffuse distribution of GFP-WASP. To test if more diffuse distribution of GFP-WASP is due to higher expression level, we expressed GFP-WASP in WASPΔTK cells. GFP-WASP still shows biased distribution at the leading edge and uropod, but GFP-WASP indeed showed punctate vesicle localization at the leading edge and uropod (Figure 5B). Higher expression of GFP-WASP in WASPΔTK cells showed more diffuse distribution presumably because binding sites on vesicles are saturated.

The Basic Domain Has a Broader Specificity to Phosphoinositides

Previous reports have suggested that the B domain is important for binding PI(4,5)P₂, which is thought to be involved with relieving the autoinhibited conformational state of WASP (Higgs and Pollard, 2000; Prehoda et al., 2000; Rohatgi et al., 2000). However, the range of phosphoinositide species capable of binding this domain has not been determined thoroughly. To gain a better understanding of the profile of phosphoinositides capable of binding the B domain of WASP, we used a protein–lipid overlay assay previously used to determine specific phosphoinositide binding by the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain (Deak et al., 1999). This takes advantage of commercially available PIP-Strips (Echelon), which consist of phospholipids immobilized onto nitrocellulose. The PIP strips were incubated with purified GST-B-GBD or GST-WH1-B-GBD fusion proteins and the binding was determined by conventional Western blotting with an anti-GST antibody. As a positive control, we used a GST-fusion of PH domain of human PLCδ1, which has been shown to have a strong specificity to PI(4,5)P₂ and it showed strong preference to PI(4,5)P₂. Both WH1-B-GBD and GST-B-GBD fusion proteins showed significant binding to three phosphatidylinositol lipids, PI(3,4)P₂, PI(3,5)P₂, and PI(3,4,5)P₃, but moderate binding to PI(4,5)P₂ (Figure 6A). The absolute binding intensity of GST-B-GBD to PI(3,4,5)P₃ is similar to that of PLCδH to PI(4,5)P₂. However, GST-B-GBD had higher background binding to membrane than PLCδH, presumably due to the difference of affinity. To show specific binding intensity, we subtracted the background intensity from the absolute intensity, which results in relatively low binding intensity of GST-B-GBD. GST and GST-WH1 did not show specific binding to any of phospholipids on the strip (unpublished data). The binding of GST-B-GBD protein to PI(3,4,5)P₃ was also confirmed by a protein pull-down experiment using PIP beads (Echelon). GST-B-GBD showed binding to PI(3,4,5)P₃ beads with slightly higher affinity than to PI(4,5)P₂ beads, whereas control beads show minimal binding (Figure 6B). To verify specific binding of phosphoinositides to the B-domain of WASP in a more physiological condition, we performed a liposome cosedimentation assay with purified GST-YFP-B-GBD protein and liposomes composed of 95% of phosphatidylcholine (PC) and 5% of either PI, PI(4)P, PI(4,5)P₂, or PI(3,4,5)P₃. Binding of the B-GBD domain to a specific phosphoinositide would result in the sedimentation of a protein–liposome complex that can be recovered at a higher concentration of Optiprep (Greiner) fractions. GST-YFP-B-GBD proteins and liposomes were mixed and subjected to centrifugation on a Optiprep gradient (5–30% Optiprep). Fractions were collected and resolved on SDS-PAGE gel and GST-YFP-B-GBD protein was probed by a Western blot. As presented in Figure 6C, GST-YFP-B-GBD protein mixed with liposome composed entirely of PC or liposomes containing 5% PI and PI(4)P was found in lower Optiprep concentration fractions, indicating little binding of B-GBD to PC, PI, and PI(4)P. With liposomes containing 5% PI, PI(3,4,5)P₃, and PI(4,5)P₂, GST-YFP-B-GBD was found at the higher (~30% Optiprep) concentration of gradient, indicating that B-GBD domain bound with higher affinity to PI(3,4,5)P₃ and PI(4,5)P₂. Ovalbumin was added in the binding mixture to block nonspecific binding, and it also serves as an internal control for lower concentrations of Optiprep fraction. We quantified specific binding efficiency by summing of protein band intensity in the higher fractions than fractions where ovalbumin was present (Figure 6C). The binding efficiency of B-GBD domain was almost equal to PI(3,4,5)P₃ and PI(4,5)P₂ and was significantly higher than to PI, PI(4)P, and PC. We examined the binding specificity of GST-WASP to phosphoinositides and also found preference to PI(3,4,5)P₃ or PI(4,5)P₂ but not as significant as the B domain. This is presumably due to the autoinhibitory conformation of WASP requiring Cdc42 or Rac to bind to the GBD domain for full activation. Together these experiments suggest that the B domain has a more diverse phospholipid binding
profile than implied by previous reports and that the interaction of the B domain with PI(3,4,5)P3 is important for the localization and activation of WASP to the leading edge of chemotaxing cells. To confirm if PI3K is required for the localization of WASP and F-actin polymerization at the leading edge, we used the PI3K inhibitor LY294002 and examined the localization of GFP-coronin and YFP-WASP. Before LY294002 addition, GFP-coronin, and therefore F-actin, is highly localized to the leading edge of chemotaxing cells (Figure 6D). Addition of LY294002 to polarized cells results in a loss of the polarized actin cytoskeleton as determined by a rapid loss of the localization of GFP-coronin. Similarly, addition of LY294002 to polarized cells cause rapid loss of the polarized distribution of GFP-WASP and uniform distribution of GFP-WASP in the cytoplasm, suggesting that PI3K activity is essential for maintaining polarized F-actin assembly and WASP localization. It should be noted that the redistribution of WASP upon LY294002 treatment is slower than that of coronin, which might be due to the association of WASP with vesicles that might be tethered to microtubules or diffusing significantly slower. These results strongly suggest that the interaction of the B domain with PI(3,4,5)P3 is important for the localization and activation of WASP to the leading edge of chemotaxing cells. Interactions of B domain with PI(4,5)P2 and PI(3,4,5)P3 in lipid binding assays prompted us to examine the colocalization of GFP-B-GBD with CFP-PLC\(_{\Delta}1PH\), a probe for PI(4,5)P2 in chemotaxing cells by performing time-lapse microscopy (Figure 7A). Surprisingly, CFP-PLC\(_{\Delta}1PH\) primarily showed punctate vesicle labeling similar to localization of YFP-B-GBD and CFP-PLC\(_{\Delta}1PH\) and YFP-B-GBD appeared to colocalize on many vesicles. The distribution of these PIP2-enriched vesicles somewhat polarized because vesicles mainly showed biased distribution at the leading edge and uropod area, but few vesicles labeled with CFP-PLC\(_{\Delta}1PH\) can be found at the proximity of the leading edge membrane. However, YFP-B-GBD signal was found at the leading edge and not colocalized with CFP-PLC\(_{\Delta}1PH\). To determine if YFP-B-GBD localizes to the PI(3,4,5)P3-enriched membrane, we examined the colocalization of YFP-B-GBD and CFP-PhdA which selectively binds to PI(3,4,5)P3 (Funamoto et al., 2001; Figure 7B). CFP-PhdA temporarily localizes to the leading edge of chemotaxing cells, but hardly showed association with intracellular vesicles. Most of YFP-B-GBD signal were associated with vesicles, but some of YFP-B-GBD signal clearly colocalizes with CFP-PhdA at the leading edge, indicating that the B domain is recruited to the membrane where local PI(3,4,5)P3 concentration is elevated by the activation of PI3 kinase.

The B domain of WASP is highly enriched in positively charged Lys residues. To determine which residues might be important for phospholipid binding, we mutated these Lys to Ala residues and the specificity of each mutant was tested with the lipid overlay assay (Figure 7C). Surprisingly, only two mutations significantly hampered the binding of GST-B-GBD to phosphoinositides. Mutation on Lys156 to Ala appears to be associated with vesicular structures. Higher expression of GFP-WASP showed more diffuse distribution. (C) Localization of YFP-WASP to the leading edge. CFP-Coronin and YFP-WASP were coexpressed in wasphypo TK cells, and their localizations in chemotaxing cells were examined in time-lapse recording of alternate exposures (1 s) for CFP and YFP. Images were acquired and analyzed with multidimensional acquisition utility of Metamorph (Universal Imaging) software. Coronin localizes to sites of dynamic actin assembly and functions as a reporter for F-actin distribution in live cells.
Figure 6. (A) The phospholipid binding properties of the basic domain of WASP. The indicated phospholipids were spotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane (PIP strip) that was then incubated with GST-PH/PLC81 and GST-B-GBD. The membranes were washed and the GST fusion proteins bound were detected by Western blot using a GST antibody. Inset shows a PIP strip and bar graph is an average of four PIP strips. Note that GST-PH/PLC81 specifically bound to PI(4,5)P₂, but GST-B-GBD showed higher affinity to PI(3,4,5)P₃ and PI(3,4)P₂, which are products of PI3 kinase. Unexpectedly higher binding to PI(4)P and PI(5)P in the graph was due to having one blot showing unusually high binding to PI(4)P and PI(5)P. (B) PIP beads pull-down assay. GST-B-GBD fusion protein was incubated with agarose beads cross-linked to phosphoinositides and bound GST-fusion protein was pulled down and then probed by a Western blot. GST-B-GBD showed binding to PI(3,4,5)P₃-beads with slightly higher affinity than to PI(4,5)P₂-beads, whereas control beads showed minimal binding. Quantification of three experiments is shown. (C) Liposome cosedimentation assay with purified GST-YFP-B-GBD or GST-WASP protein and liposomes composed of 95% PC and 5% of either PI, PI(4)P, PI(4,5)P₂, or PI(3,4,5)P₃. GST-YFP-B-GBD protein and liposomes were mixed and subjected to centrifugation on an Optiprep gradient (5–30% Optiprep). Collected fractions were run on SDS-PAGE gel and probed with Western blot with anti-GST antibody. Ovalbumin was added in the binding mixture to block nonspecific binding and it also serves as an internal control for lower concentration of Optiprep fraction. Specific binding efficiency was quantified by summing of GST-YFP-B-GBD band intensity in the higher fractions than fractions where ovalbumin was present. (D) Disruption of polarized localization of GFP-WASP by the PI3K inhibitor LY294002. Dictyostelium chemotaxing cells expressing the Coronin-GFP or GFP-WASP were treated with 15 μM LY294002 and the change in the subcellular localization of Coronin-GFP and GFP-WASP was followed by time-lapse digital video microscopy. Arrows indicate the direction of movements and numbers shown are time (seconds) after LY treatment.
Figure 7. (A) Colocalization of YFP-B-GBD and CFP-PLC81PH in chemotaxing cells. CFP-PLC81PH binds to PI(4,5)P2 with very high specificity. CFP-PLC81PH showed punctate vesicle labeling, which appears to overlap with the YFP-B-GBD signal. Note that some YFP-B-GBD signal was found at the leading edge without colocalization with CFP-PLC81PH. (B) Colocalization of YFP-B-GBD and CFP-PhdA in chemotaxing cells. CFP-PhdA selectively binds to PI(3,4,5)P3 and accumulated at the leading edge of migrating cells. Wild-type cells migrating toward a cAMP source show a distinct colocalization of PhdA–GFP and YFP-B-GBD at the leading edge. (C) PIP strip assay of B domain mutants. Lys residues of the B domain were mutated to Ala and the binding efficiency of the GST fusion protein of these mutants to phosphoinositides were assessed by PIP strip assay. Lys156A and Lys157A appear to specifically block the binding to phosphoinositides. (D) Localization of YFP-B-GBD and YFP-B157A-GBD in chemotaxing cells. Phase contrast and fluorescence micrographs of cells expressing YFP-B-GBD and YFP-B157A-GBD are shown. Note that the YFP-B-GBD signal was from intracellular vesicles, which appears to be blurry because optical focus was at the leading edge membrane, which cells tend to lift up. YFP-B156,157A-GBD showed essentially the same distribution as YFP-B157A-GBD. (E) Rescue of motility defects of WASP TK cells by expressing WASP B domain mutants. Wild-type WASP, K156A-WASP, or K156,157A-WASP were expressed in WASP TK cells and their development were examined. Cells expressing K156A-WASP showed partial rescue of development, whereas K156,157A-WASP did not rescue motility defects of WASP TK cells. Compared with polarized localization of GFP-WASP, YFP-K156A-WASP did not show any biased localization.
appears to be important for binding all three phosphoinositides tested (PI(3,4)P$_2$, PI(4,5)P$_2$, PI(3,4,5)P$_3$), whereas mutation of lysine 157 reduces binding to those lipids with a phosphate at the 3’ position (PI(3,4)P$_2$ and PI(3,4,5)P$_3$), suggesting Lys 157 is important for an interaction with 3’-position phosphate. The selective reduction of this mutant to phosphoinositide with the 3’-position phosphate further validates the specificity of binding of the B domain to PI(3,4,5)P$_3$.

Because mutations on Lys 157A specifically reduced the binding of B domain to PI(3,4,5)P$_3$, we compared the intracellular localization of the YFP-B-GBD with the YFP-B$_{157A}$-GBD mutant to determine whether Lys 157A residue is important for the localization of YFP-B-GBD to the leading edge. Highly polarized, chemotaxing cells exhibit YFP-B-GBD localization at the leading edge. Mutation of Lys$_{157}^{157}$ to Ala appears to decrease YFP-B-GBD localization at the leading edge of polarized cells, but did not significantly affect the association of YFP-B-GBD with intracellular vesicles (Figure 7D). YFP-B$_{156,157A}$-GBD showed essentially the same distribution as YFP-B$_{157A}$-GBD.

WASP Subdomain Deletion Mutants

We created deletion mutations that are expected to abrogate the function of specific domains of WASP. Cells overexpressing the WASP VCA domain, which stimulates F-actin nucleation activity in vitro in association with the Arp2/3 complex (Machesky and Insall, 1998), are not well polarized compared with wild-type cells and do not exhibit a prominent F-actin–rich cortical region with phalloidin staining of starved cells (Figure 8A). This presumably results from the nonlocalized and unregulated nucleation of F-actin. This result is consistent with a previous study demonstrating that overexpression of carboxy-terminal fragments of SCAR1 or WASP in cells caused a disruption in the localization of the Arp2/3 complex and, concomitantly, induced a complete loss of lamellipodia and actin spots (Machesky and Insall, 1998). The poly-proline domain of mammalian WASP binds a number of SH3 domain–containing proteins (Badour et al., 2003). Mutations within this domain of human WASP result in a severe WAS phenotype (Ochs, 1998a), indicating that the poly-proline region plays an important role in the regulation of WASP function. Dictyostelium cells expressing a WASP construct lacking the whole polyproline region and the V domain (WASP$_{proV}$) exhibit no polarized F-actin organization and up-regulated cortical F-actin filaments along the periphery of cells under the gradient (Figure 8A). These cells, not unexpectedly, have severe defects in the ability to polarize and chemotax in a response to a chemoat-
tractant gradient (Figure 8B). Cells overexpressing WASPΔProV do not polarize nor extend pseudopodia effectively, probably because they lack dynamic regulation of the F-actin cytoskeleton. In contrast, cells expressing WASP lacking the last two polyproline repeats and the V domain (WASPΔV) have polarized F-actin organization and extend pseudopodia toward the cAMP gradient and show some polarization, although not to the extent as wild-type cells (Figure 8, A and B). Defects of chemotactic movement of these mutants are also manifested in the development of these mutants (Figure 8C). Cells expressing WASPΔProV did not aggregate, even after 24 h, consistent with an inability to chemotax. However, cells expressing WASPΔV aggregate through limited chemotaxis and cell-cell adhesion with neighboring cells to form many small mounds, which eventually develop into small fruiting bodies. These results suggest that the poly-proline region of WASP and its presumed interaction with SH3 domain–containing proteins might play an important role in controlling WASP function and localization and thus, polarized F-actin organization.

DISCUSSION

The actin cytoskeleton controls the overall structure of cells and is highly polarized in chemotaxing cells, with F-actin localized predominantly in the anterior leading edge and to a lesser degree in the cell’s posterior (Gerisch et al., 1995; Parent et al., 1998; Borisov and Svitkina, 2000; Firtel and Chung, 2000). In the present study, we demonstrated the specific and essential role of WASP in organizing polarized F-actin assembly in chemotaxing Dictyostelium cells. WASPTK cells, which express very low levels of WASP, show reduced F-actin levels in unstimulated cells and significant defects in polarized F-actin assembly in migrating cells in response to chemotactic stimulation. These cells are unable to establish axial polarity in the chemotacticgradient and their motility is also severely impaired. The lack of an F-actin–enriched lamellipodia and uropod in WASPTK cells in a cAMP gradient indicates that WASP is essential for polarized assembly of F-actin. Our studies are consistent with the findings that macrophages from WASP patients are less persistent in their locomotion and engage in more frequent turning behavior compared with normal counterparts (Thrasher et al., 2000). Lack of cell polarization and maintaining directional cues in WASP macrophage may underlie the failure of these cells to migrate normally. Induction of monocyte chemotaxis by chemokines is preceded by cell polarization, marked by several morphological changes, including the formation of cell protrusions and pseudopodia. Staining of F-actin in monocytes from WAS patients stimulated with chemotacticants shows a severe defect in cell polarization after stimulation with either FMLP or MCP-1 compared with the response of monocytes from normal donors (Badolato et al., 1998). Beo1, a yeast homologue of WASP, has been implicated in the regulation of cellular polarity as it is involved in the formation of actin patches. The lack of cortical patches in beo1 cells suggests that disruption of cortical patches slows down polarized cell surface growth and cytokinesis (Li, 1997). IL-4 and anti-CD40 induction of B cell polarization from WASP deficient mice was impaired in comparison to WASP+ cells(Westerberg et al., 2001). Interestingly, N-WASP was clearly detected in both WASP+ and WASP− B cells, in similar concentrations, indicating that they regulate different processes despite the high degree of homology.

Our data also suggest that WASP and SCAR might be controlled by different signaling pathways, leading F-actin assembly for different cellular processes. WASP might be more important in the regulation of cell polarity than SCAR because WASPTK cells showed significant lack of cell polarity and aggregation, whereas scar null cells become polarized, roughly orienting toward the aggregation center and forming visible aggregation streams (Bear et al., 1998). Dictyostelium WASP is more closely related to the mammalian WASP that is only expressed in hematopoietic cells. It is conceivable that WASP function in highly motile cells such as Dictyostelium, neutrophils, and macrophages is more essential than SCAR in order to provide highly dynamic regulation of actin cytoskeleton. Thus, lack of WASP function might cause more severe phenotypes in highly motile cells. Recent studies in Drosophila have shown that WASP is largely dispensable for Arp2/3-dependent control of dynamically regulated actin structures including cortical F-actin, whereas SCAR does not contribute to cell fate decisions in which WASP and Arp2/3 play an essential role (Zallen et al., 2002). Further, it has been demonstrated that the VCA domain of SCAR shows different potency in stimulating Arp2/3 than that of WASP or N-WASP, at least in vitro systems. In a bead motility assay, the minimal region of WASP sufficient to direct movement of beads was the C-terminal VCA fragment, whereas the corresponding region of SCAR1 was insufficient (Yarar et al., 2002). VCA domains of WASP, N-WASP, and SCAR1 were found to bind actin and Arp2/3 with nearly identical affinities but to induce unique kinetics of actin assembly (Zalevsky et al., 2001). N-WASP and WASP induce rapid actin polymerization, while SCAR1 either fails to induce detectable polymerization or to induce the slowest rate of nucleation. Based on these results, it is conceivable to expect WASP or N-WASP to be the primary regulators of dynamic F-actin assembly. It is clear that differential activation of Arp2/3 complex would be required for regulation of cellular processes as it has been suggested that different rates of filament formation may help determine the architecture of actin networks produced by different nucleation-promoting factors (Zalevsky et al., 2001).

Our data are the first demonstration that GFP-WASP preferentially localizes at the leading edge and uropod of chemotaxing cells and B domain is required for the localization of WASP via binding to phosphoinositides. We show that WASP lacking the WH1 and B domains is cytosolic as is a GFP-WH1 domain fusion. We further show that a GFP-BGD fusion shows the same leading edge and to a lesser degree uropod localization, as does full-length WASP. Combined, these data are consistent with an essential role for the B domain in the localization. The subcellular localization of WASP is consistent with the organization of the actin cytoskeleton shown by phalloidin staining and localization of CFP-coronin in migrating cells. It was rather unexpected to observe that either GFP-WASP or YFP-BGD are associated with vesicles that can be labeled with CFP-PLCδ1PH, indicating that vesicles are enriched with PI(4,5)P₂. The recruitment of N-WASP to the PI(4,5)P₂-enriched vesicles has been previously demonstrated and mutational analysis of N-WASP has demonstrated that WH1, B, and polyproline domains are capable of interaction with the PI(4,5)P₂ vesicles (Benesch et al., 2002). The vesicular targeting of the polyproline domain was suggested to be mediated through the interactions with SH3 domain–containing proteins. The recruitment of GFP-WASP to intracellular vesicles in Dictyostelium might also require SH3 domain–containing proteins. The recruitment of GAP-WASP to vesicles in Dictyostelium might also require SH3 domain–containing proteins. The recruitment of GAP-WASP to vesicles in Dictyostelium might also require SH3 domain–containing proteins. The recruitment of GAP-WASP to vesicles in Dictyostelium might also require SH3 domain–containing proteins.
not clear how chemotaxing cells control biased distribution of these vesicles.

Previous studies demonstrated that the B domain of WASP interacts with PI(4,5)P$_2$ (Higgs and Pollard, 2000; Rohatgi et al., 2000), resulting in the activation of N-WASP by changing its conformation from auto-inhibited to active (Prehoda et al., 2000). The auto-inhibited conformation is acquired and maintained by the binding of the autoinhibitory region near the GBD domain to C region of the VCA domain. However, subcellular distribution of PI(4,5)P$_2$ has not been extensively examined in chemotaxing cells, but it has been suggested that PI(4,5)P$_2$ acts permissively, restricting new F-actin polymerization to the region of the plasma membrane (Insall and Weiner, 2001). In the present study, we demonstrated that the B domain has an equal or higher affinity to PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ than PI(4,5)P$_2$ in lipid-binding assays and that a mutation on Lys$^{157}$ residue in the B domain specifically reduces the binding to PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ and the localization of the B domain to the leading edge membrane without hampering the association of YFP-B-GBD with vesicles enriched with PI(4,5)P$_2$. Our results suggest a very interesting possibility that B domain directs the localization of WASP to vesicles via interaction with PI(4,5)P$_2$, but the interaction of the B domain with PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ is required for the localization of WASP to the leading edge where vigorous F-actin polymerization occurs. In a previous study, it has been shown that inhibiting PI3K in polarized, chemotaxing cells through the addition of LY29402 results in a loss of the polarized actin skeleton (Chung et al., 2001). Reduced polarized F-actin organization in cells lacking two of the five class I PI3K isoforms in Dictyostelium pi3k1/2 null cells or cells treated with LY29402 (Chung et al., 2001; Funamoto et al., 2001; Takeda and Firtel, unpublished data) is consistent with PI3 kinase playing an important role in the regulation of F-actin organization. We demonstrated that the B domain has an equal or higher affinity to PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ than PI(4,5)P$_2$ in lipid-binding assays (Yarar et al., 2002). The contributions of these regions to motility could not be accounted for by their direct effects on actin nucleation with the Arp2/3 complex, suggesting that they stimulate motility by recruiting additional factors. WASP$^\Delta ProV$ and WASP$^\Delta 

and actin polymerization appears to be required for maintaining the asymmetry of intracellular signals responsible for cell polarity and directed motility because amplification of the internal PtdIns(3,4,5)P$_3$ gradient is markedly impaired by agents inhibiting actin polymerization (Wang et al., 2002). Thus, polarity defects of WASP$^{TK}$ cells might be caused by the defects in persistence in cell polarity because of the lack of the sustained stimulation of F-actin polymerization. This hypothesis is consistent with our observation that the interaction of the B domain with PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ is required for the targeting of WASP to the leading edge membrane.

The presence of a poly-proline region in all WASP family proteins (WASP, N-WASP, and SCAR/WAVE) and identification of many SH3 domain–containing proteins as binding partners for the poly-proline region in mammalian cells suggests that the interaction between the poly-proline region and SH3 domain–containing protein(s) might play an important role in the regulation of WASP function and localization. Furthermore, previous studies show that mutations within the SH3-binding domain of WASP result in a severe WAS phenotype (Ochs, 1998). We demonstrated that cells overexpressing WASP$^\Delta ProV$ are not polarized and cannot extend pseudopodia effectively because of aberrant regulation of F-actin organization, and cells overexpressing DdWASP$^\Delta V$ exhibited similar but less severe defects in polarity and chemotaxis. It was unexpected to observe a strong phenotype resulting from these mutants because they cannot stimulate F-actin nucleation due to the lack of V domain. Mutational analysis demonstrated that both WH1- and poly-proline-dependent interactions of N-WASP with WIP and SH3 domain–containing proteins contribute to recruitment of N-WASP to the PI(4,5)P$_2$ vesicle surface (Benesch et al., 2002). In a yeast two-hybrid assay, WASP$^\Delta V$ can interact with SH3 domains of human Nck that is known to interact with the poly-proline region of WASP (Chung and Firtel, unpublished observations), but WASP$^\Delta ProV$ cannot. The phenotypic differences between these two mutants might result from the polyproline repeats interacting with SH3 domain-containing proteins that are possibly acting as an adaptor for other components required for general targeting of WASP to the leading edge area. More precise targeting of WASP to the membrane of the leading edge might be acquired by the interaction of the B domain with PI(3,4,5)P$_3$. It has been shown that the proline-rich regions of WASP and SCAR1 and the WH1 domain of WASP independently enhanced motility rates of beads in the beads motility assays (Yarar et al., 2002). The contributions of these regions to motility could not be accounted for by their direct effects on actin nucleation with the Arp2/3 complex, suggesting that they stimulate motility by recruiting additional factors. WASP$^\Delta ProV$ and WASP$^\Delta V$ may cause dominant negative effect due to inability to recruit additional factors or by sequestering signaling proteins in nonfunctional complexes. A recent study demonstrated that two of the SH3 domain–containing ligands, SlaI and Bbc1, cooperate to inhibit Las17, a yeast homologue of WASP, activity in vitro and are required for a shared function in actin organization in vivo (Rodal et al., 2003). Moreover, a recent article demonstrated that N-WASP is predominantly present as an inactive complex with WIP whose polyproline domain presumably binds to WH1 domain of WASP (Ho et al., 2004). Dictyostelium has a homologue of WIP (Han and Chung, unpublished observations) and expression of WASP$^\Delta ProV$ might disrupt this multiprotein complex resulting in aberrant regulation of WASP activity.

In the future, it will be important to examine how PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ induces translocation of WASP from vesicles to...
the leading edge membrane and how the binding of SH3 proteins to the polyproline region of WASP affects the localization of WASP to vesicles and the leading edge.
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