DNA Replication Origins Fire Stochastically in Fission Yeast
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DNA replication initiates at discrete origins along eukaryotic chromosomes. However, in most organisms, origin firing is not efficient; a specific origin will fire in some but not all cell cycles. This observation raises the question of how individual origins are selected to fire and whether origin firing is globally coordinated to ensure an even distribution of replication initiation across the genome. We have addressed these questions by determining the location of firing origins on individual fission yeast DNA molecules using DNA combing. We show that the firing of replication origins is stochastic, leading to a random distribution of replication initiation. Furthermore, origin firing is independent between cell cycles; there is no epigenetic mechanism causing an origin that fires in one cell cycle to preferentially fire in the next. Thus, the fission yeast strategy for the initiation of replication is different from models of eukaryotic replication that propose coordinated origin firing.

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic DNA replication begins at discrete origins distributed along chromosomes. Although much progress has been made in understanding the mechanisms that establish and activate individual origins, the manner in which origin firing is coordinately regulated spatially along the chromosomes and temporally throughout S phase remains unclear (Kelly and Brown, 2000; Gilbert, 2001; Schwob, 2004). These questions are of particular interest because in most cases origin firing is not efficient; that is, a particular origin will fire in only a fraction of cell cycles. The observation that origins do not fire in every cell cycle raises the question of how individual origins are selected to fire and if that selection is distributed in a coordinated manner. In the absence of such coordination, origin firing would be randomly distributed, leading to the random gap problem; some cells would have large gaps between origin firing that would take a long time to replicate (Lucas et al., 2000; Herrick et al., 2002; Hyrien et al., 2003; Jun et al., 2004). Simple models of replication kinetics predict that if replication origins are randomly distributed, ~5% of the cells will have such large gaps between active origins that they will take four times longer than average to replicate (see Materials and Methods for calculations). Alternatively, cells could have a mechanism that evenly distributes origin firing across the genome; they would thus avoid the random gap problem, and replication would be efficient. Models for such mechanisms have been proposed; for instance, origins within specific clusters could be selected to fire, or active origins could suppress their neighbors by lateral inhibition (Mesner et al., 2003; Shechter and Gautier, 2005). However, little direct evidence exists to either support or refute these models.

Origin structure and function have been well characterized in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Kelly and Brown, 2000; Gilbert, 2001). Budding yeast have small (~100 base pairs) origins characterized by a 17-base pair autonomously replicating sequence (ARS) consensus. This consensus sequence comprises the recognition site for the six-protein origin recognition complex (ORC), which in turn serves as the site of assembly for the replication complex (Gilbert, 2001).

Origins have been more difficult to identify in other eukaryotes, and sequence-specific ORC binding sites have not been identified outside of budding yeast (Burhans and Huberman, 1994; Gilbert, 2001; Schwob, 2004). Nonetheless, there are defined replication origins in other eukaryotes, and distinct initiation sites have been mapped at several metazoan origins (Bielinsky and Gerbi, 1999; Abdurashidova et al., 2000; Gilbert, 2001). However, these origins are not efficient. In the well-studied example of the origins at the hamster DHFR locus, the most efficient origins fire in only 20% of S phases (Dijkwel et al., 2002).

Fission yeast origins share important characteristics with those of metazoans; both are genomically complex—composed of cooperative and redundant elements, lacking origin-specific sequences—and both are inefficient, firing in only a subset of cell cycles (Shinomiya and Ina, 1994; Clyné and Kelly, 1995; Dubey et al., 1996; Gilbert, 2001; Dijkwel et al., 2002; Norio and Schildkraut, 2004). Nonetheless, these origins are not efficient. In the well-studied example of the origins at the hamster DHFR locus, the most efficient origins fire in only 20% of S phases (Dijkwel et al., 2002).
et al., 2005). Although fission yeast origins are not strictly sequence specific, replication does originate at defined sites in the genome, and many of these origins have been mapped (Dubey et al., 1994; Gomez and Antequera, 1999). However, none of the identified origins are efficient. In the one case in which origin efficiency has been directly quantitated, ORI19 fires in 40% of S phases and ORI22 fires in 30% (Segurado et al., 2002). In other examples, one of the most efficient fission yeast origins, ars2-1, appears to fire in no more than 50% of S phases; others appear to fire in <30% of S phases (Dubey et al., 1994; Gomez and Antequera, 1999; Kim and Huberman, 2001).

Two very different examples of how origin firing can be organized are provided by budding yeast, in which firing is relatively well organized (Raghuraman et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002) and frog embryos extracts, in which it is random (Herrick et al., 2000; Lucas et al., 2000; Blow et al., 2001; Marheineke and Hyrien, 2001; Herrick et al., 2002). The efficiency of budding yeast origins ranges from those that almost never fire to those that fire in almost every cell cycle. The efficient origins are spaced fairly evenly, with one about every 50 kb along the genome (Lengronne et al., 2001; Raghuraman et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002). The relatively even distribution of efficient origins in budding yeast ensures the even distribution of origin firing across the genome. This strategy avoids the random gap problem and ensures efficient replication.

In contrast, in *Xenopus laevis* frog embryo extracts the distribution of origin firing is random (Herrick et al., 2000; Lucas et al., 2000; Blow et al., 2001; Marheineke and Hyrien, 2001; Herrick et al., 2002). Replication in frog embryos is unusual, in that replication initiation is not limited to specific origin sequences; any DNA sequence seems to be capable of acting as an origin (Harland and Laskey, 1980). This situation leads to a truly random distribution of origin firing (although there has been a report of nonrandom firing of origin clusters; Blow et al., 2001) and raises the random gap problem (Herrick et al., 2000; Lucas et al., 2000; Hyrien et al., 2003). However, frogs have somehow solved the random gap problem because frog embryonic replication is extremely efficient, lasting only 20 min. One model that reconciles random origin firing with efficient replication postulates that origins in unreplicated regions will fire with increasing probability as S phase proceeds (Lucas et al., 2000; Herrick et al., 2002). Thus, early large gaps have an increasing chance of firing of origins within them, making it unlikely for large gaps to persist.

Neither the budding yeast nor the frog embryo example serves as a good model for general eukaryotic replication, because most eukaryotes have inefficient origin, unlike budding yeast, and defined origins, unlike frog embryos. Thus it is an open question how origin firing is spatially distributed in most eukaryotes. In particular, it is unclear if origin firing is coordinated to give an even spacing as in budding yeast or if it is uncoordinated, resulting in stochastic origin firing.

In addition to being spatially distributed, origin firing is temporally distributed throughout S phase. Budding yeast origins fire at reproducible times, with some generally firing early and others generally firing later (Raghuraman et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002). The same is true of fly and mammalian origins (MacAlpine et al., 2004; Woodfine et al., 2004; Jeon et al., 2005). The distribution of origin firing time in budding yeast forms a continuum, with no clear demarcation between early and late firing (Raghuraman et al., 2001). However, an operational distinction between early and late origins has been made based on the ability of an origin to fire when the cell is starved of deoxyribonucleotides (dNTPs; San-
tocanale and Diffley, 1998). The drug hydroxyurea (HU) inhibits ribonucleotide reductase, preventing dNTP synthesis and causing replication to deplete dNTPs, thus stalling replication forks. The HU-induced replication block activates the DNA replication checkpoint, which stabilizes the stalled forks and inhibits or greatly delays the subsequent firing of origins (Santocanale and Diffley, 1998; Lopes et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002). Origins that fire in the presence of HU tend to be those that fire early in a normal S phase, presumably because they fire before dNTP pools are depleted and the checkpoint is activated and are thus defined as early-firing origins (Yabuki et al., 2002). Other origins are prevented from firing in HU by the DNA replication checkpoint; they are generally considered to be late-firing origins.

To directly test if there is a mechanism that coordinates the firing of neighboring origins in fission yeast, we have measured the distribution of origin firing using a single molecule technique called DNA combing. This technique involves stretching long, individual, protein-free DNA molecules on a glass surface and visualizing the DNA by fluorescence microscopy (Bensimon et al., 1994; Michalet et al., 1997; Herrick and Bensimon, 1999). Pulse-labeling of DNA with thymidine analogs such as bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) allows visualization of the regions around replication origins (Herrick and Bensimon, 1999). The technique can be combined with in situ hybridization to identify specific loci in the genome and to investigate the behavior of specific origins (Norio and Schildkraut, 2001; Pasero et al., 2002; Anglana et al., 2003). We find that the firing is randomly distributed among fission yeast origins. These results suggest that origins fire stochastically, without regard to their neighbors, and raise important questions about how fission yeast reconcile random origin firing with efficient replication.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**General Methods**

The fission yeast strain yFS240 (b- leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-210 his7-366 leul::pS161(lei1 adh1 hENT1) plJ218 (his7 adh1-tk)) was grown in YES medium at 25°C (Moreno et al., 1991; Sivakumar et al., 2004). Synchronized early G2 cultures at OD600 >0.2 were prepared from asynchronous log phase cultures (OD600 ~1.5) by centrifugal elutriation.

**Labeling of the DNA**

**HU Block.** G2 synchronized yFS240 cultures were grown in YES with 12 mM HU and 500 nM BrdU for 4 h to allow cells to complete their first G2, M, and G1 phases and arrest in S phase. Cells were harvested and stored at −80°C.

**Pulse Labeling.** G2 synchronized yFS240 cultures were grown in YES with 500 nM BrdU. Cell cycle progression was monitored by septation index and subsequently confirmed by flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure S1). Ten ODs of cells were harvested every 4 min throughout S phase and stored at −80°C. The stage of replication at each time point was subsequently determined by the extent of replication evident on molecules from cells harvested at that point. Early S phase was defined to be at points around 142 min after synchronization at 25°C; mid-S phase was around 8 min later.

**Sequential Origin Labeling.** The origin refiring experiment presented in Figure 3 is complicated by the fact that thymidine analogs cannot be washed out once they have been added to cells, presumably because after the nucleoside analog enters the cell through the nucleoside transporter, it is phosphorylated and can no longer leave (Sivakumar et al., 2004). To avoid this problem, elutriation synchronized cells were treated with 12 mM HU for 4 h to allow cells to arrest in early S phase, washed, and grown for 2 h in presence of 500 nM chlorodeoxyuridine (CdU) to allow them to complete replication. This step resulted in unlabeled patches where origins fired early in the first cycle on otherwise CdU-labeled molecules. After the first S phase, the cells were washed and incubated for 3 h in 500 nM iododeoxyuridine (IdU) and 12 mM HU to allow them to arrest in their second S phase, resulting in IdU-labeled patches where origins fired early in the second cycle on the same molecules. The cells were then harvested and DNA was prepared for combing.
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The calculation for percentage of cells that will have large gaps due to a random distribution of origin firing is based on the fact that origin firing is distributed randomly and the average distance between firing is n, then the interorigin distances have an exponential distribution with cumulative distribution function of D(L) = e^{-L/n}, where L is a specific length. The number of gaps greater than c times the average length (i.e., cL) is e^{-cL/n} = e^{-c}. If we assume that there are on the order of 200 interorigin distances during a yeast S phase (there are ~120 early interorigin-distances in fission yeast [Supplementary Figure S2] and estimates of between ~250 and 300 in budding yeast [Raghuraman et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002]) and the average gap size takes half of S phase to replicate (the average gap size in budding yeast of ~50 kb would take 10 min for replicate at 2.5 kb/min and S phase takes ~20 min; Raghuraman et al., 2001), then the probability of taking four times as long to replicate is 100e^{-4cL/n} = 0.67. Likewise, the probability of taking five times as long (or 100 min as in the example in the discussion) is 100e^{-5cL/n} = 0.9%.

IF THE DATA IN Figure 1, D, G, AND J, WE ALSO CALCULATED BEST GAUSSIAN FITS; WHEN CONSTRAINED TO POSITIVE VALUES (FITs THAT EXTEND INTO NEGATIVE VALUES INDICATE NEGATIVE DISTANCES BETWEEN ORIGINS AND ARE THUS MEANINGLESS) NONE OF THE FITs HAD R2 > 0.6. FOR THE FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION (FISH) EXPERIMENTS, EACH MOLECULE WAS SCORED FOR FOUR PEOPLE; BUBBLES WERE INCLUDED IN THE ANALYSIS IF SCORED AT LEAST THREE PEOPLE. THE EFFICIENCY OF FIRING FOR EACH ORIGIN WAS CALCULATED AS THE PERCENTAGE OF FIBERS THAT CONTAINED A BUBBLE THAT OVERLAPPED THE ORIGIN. THE ESTIMATE WITH WHICH AN ORIGIN ON A 5 PHASE AS ONE OF ITS NEIGHBORING ORIGINS WAS CALCULATED AS THE PERCENTAGE OF ORIGINS FUSING ON A BUBBLE ON WHICH NeIGHBOR ALSO FIRED; FIBERS THAT CONTAINED ONLY ONE OF THE OTHER WERE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION. CORRELATION BETWEEN THE FIRING OF NEIGHBORING ORIGINS CAN BE OBTAINED BY THE PROBABILITY OF FUSING ORIGINS USING REACTION DIFFUSION REACTION DIFFUSION EQUATION.
RESULTS

To determine if origin firing is coordinated, we measured the distances between the replication bubbles on individual fission yeast DNA molecules. If origin firing is uncoordinated, the distribution of interbubble distances should be exponential, with many bubbles clustered close together and occasional large gaps between bubbles. This prediction follows from the fact that elements randomly distributed on a line are characterized by an exponential distribution of interelement distances (Birnbaum, 1954). If, on the other hand, there is a mechanism that evenly distributes origin firing, the distribution of interbubble distances should be Gaussian, with a mean equal to the average distance between active origins. A third possibility, that clusters of origins are coordinately regulated and could lead to more complicated distributions, but would not be expected to produce an exponential distribution.

Whole-genome Analysis

We labeled the DNA in nascent replication bubbles by in vivo pulse-labeling synchronized cells with the thymidine analog BrdU. Wild-type fission yeast do not use exogenous thymidine analogs; to facilitate BrdU labeling, we used a strain that expresses the human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) and herpes thymidine kinase (tk), allowing BrdU uptake and phosphorylation (Sivakumar et al., 2004). We used two complementary approaches to label replication bubbles: HU-block labeling and pulse labeling. In both cases, synchronous cells enter S phase in the presence of BrdU, and origins that fire are identified by the incorporation of BrdU into the newly replicated DNA flanking the origin. HU-block labeling takes advantage of the fact that HU prevents deoxynucleotide synthesis, so as cells begin replication they run out of deoxynucleotides, replication forks stall after \( \sim 5 \) kb, and subsequent origin firing is inhibited by the replication checkpoint (Santocanale and Diffley, 1998; Kim and Huberman, 2001). Thus HU-block labeling specifically labels origins that fire early. In the pulse labeling strategy, cells can be harvested at any time during S phase, so pulse labeling can be used to label origins throughout S phase. After labeling, the DNA is isolated, combed onto a glass surface, and visualized by fluorescence microscopy, using anti-guanosine antibodies to visualize the DNA molecules and anti-BrdU antibodies to localize the labeled replication bubbles (Figure 1A). Because the combed molecules are uniformly stretched to a length of \( \sim 2 \) kb/\( \mu \)m (Michalet et al., 1997), we can measure the location of active replication origins with \( \sim 1\)-kb resolution (Figures 1A and 2A).

We initially measured interbubble distances using the HU-block labeling strategy, which has the advantage of giving uniform bubble sizes and reducing the number of bubble fusions. We measured 1137 interbubble distances on 231 molecules constituting 124.4 Mb of DNA (Figure 1B). Because the fission yeast genome is only 14 Mb, this data set provides ninefold statistical coverage of the genome. The length of the HU-blocked bubbles show a tight distribution around 9.4 kb, consistent with a uniform arrest of early-firing origins, with a slight shoulder on the right likely due to the fusion of closely neighboring origins (Figure 1C). The distribution of interbubbles distances is clearly uneven, with many origins firing close together and occasional large gaps of up to 500 kb between fired origins (Figure 1D). The distribution is well fit by the calculated exponential curve shown (Figure 1D, \( R^2 = 0.98 \)). The exponential nature of this distribution indicates that the ability to fire is randomly distributed among fission yeast origins (Birnbaum, 1954).

Thus, it appears that no mechanism distributes the early firing of origins evenly across the genome, rather origins fire stochastically, with no influence from neighboring origins.

As a complementary approach, we measured interbubble distances using an early-pulse-labeling strategy. Synchronous cells entered S phase in the presence of BrdU and were harvested in early S phase. Because of the imperfect synchrony of our cultures, early pulse labeling gives a less homogeneous distribution of bubble sizes than HU-block labeling, indicating that some of the bubbles are from slightly later firing origins and that others are fused bubbles from closely neighboring origins (Figure 1F). Nonetheless, the early-pulse-labeling strategy does not perturb replication and thus is free of any potential HU-induced artifacts. The distribution of 677 interbubble distances on 300 molecules covering 77.5 Mb of DNA also approximates an exponential curve (Figure 1G, \( R^2 = 0.90 \)). The data deviates significantly from an exponential distribution only at the first point, 0–10 kb. Because the BrdU signals are imperfectly continuous, we only score gaps that are at least 3 kb and have clear continuity of the DNA signal spanning them. Thus, we undercount the smallest gaps, leading to a low estimate of the first data point. If the first data point is removed from the early-pulse-labeled data set, the rest of the data are well fit by an exponential curve (Figure 1G, \( R^2 = 0.99 \)). Thus, in early S phase, the ability to fire is distributed randomly among fission yeast origins.

The HU-block and early-pulse-label experiments specifically examine origins that fire early in S phase. To test if origin firing is also randomly distributed later in S phase, we used a pulse-labeling strategy with longer pulses of BrdU. To identify origins firing in later in S phase, we scored replication bubbles of <30 kb (indicative of recent firing) on molecules that were more than 40% replicated (indicative of cells in mid-S phase). We measured 303 distances between such later-firing origins and neighboring replication forks (Figure 1I), and as for early-firing origins, they approximate an exponential distribution (\( R^2 = 0.84 \)) and are well fit by an exponential distribution if the first data point is excluded (\( R^2 = 0.96 \)). These results suggest that the ability to an origin to fire is distributed randomly throughout S phase. It should be noted that although this approach identifies later-firing origins, it excludes late-S-phase fibers with large gaps, because they are <40% replicated. Therefore, the data in Figure 1I is skewed toward shorter gaps. The conclusion that origins firing in late S phase are randomly distributed is based on the assumption that origins that fire late in moderate gaps (50–200 kb), which are included in our analysis, behaves the same as origins that fire late in large gaps (>200 kb), which are excluded.

Specific-locus Analysis

The whole-genome data in Figure 1 examines the distribution of origin firing with out identifying specific origins. To determine if specific, individual origins fire stochastically, as predicted by the whole-genome data, we examined the firing of specific origins in the \(\text{ura4} \) and \(\text{nmt1} \) regions. We chose these regions because the predicted origins in the regions are well spaced, and because each region contains verified replication origins (Dubey et al., 1994; Segurado et al., 2003). We first examined eight origins that span 217 kb on the left end of chromosome III and include the well-studied origins at the \(\text{ura4} \) locus (Dubey et al., 1994). In addition to the \(\text{ura4} \) origins, six other loci in the region have been predicted to act as origins based on their high AT content (Segurado et al., 2003; Figure 2A). HU-block labeled DNA molecules were
combed, hybridized with ura4-specific FISH probes, and probed with anti-guanosine and anti-BrdU antibodies.

We scored a total of 135 labeled bubbles on 65 molecules. Labeled replication bubbles correlated well with the origins predicted based on high AT content; 82% of BrdU incorporation patches overlapped with predicted origins and all predicted origins fired in on least 22% of fibers. Of the 18% of bubbles that did not overlap with predicted origins, most overlapped with three AT-rich regions, labeled 3002.2, 3005.3, and 3005.5 in Figure 2A, which fall just below the AT threshold used; these origins fired on 14, 8, and 19% of fibers, respectively. The remaining 5% of bubbles were scattered. These results confirm that, although fission yeast origins are not defined by strict sequence motifs, replication does initiate at specific chromosomal loci. To determine if any of the origins in this region are coordinately regulated, we asked if the frequency at which an origin fires is influenced by the firing of a neighboring origin (Figure 2B). We compared the total firing efficiency of each origin with its efficiency on fibers where its neighbor also fired. Using Fisher’s Exact Test, we found no significant positive or negative correlation between firing of any neighboring origins (Figure 2B, p > 0.05 for each pairwise correlation).
We also examined the firing of the six origins that span 212 kb in the \textit{nmt1} region of the right arm of chromosome III. Using the HU-block strategy, we observed 58 labeled origins on 28 molecules. We found that the five of the six predicted origins (3055–3060) fired early on between 29 and 59% of fibers; 3058 appears to be less efficient than the other origins we have examined, firing on just 15% of fibers. As for the \textit{ura4} region, there was no significant correlation between the firing of neighboring origins (Figure 2C, \(p > 0.1\) for each pairwise correlation). Thus, as predicted by our whole-genome results, the origins surrounding \textit{ura4} and \textit{nmt1} fire stochastically. In particular, these results are inconsistent with coordinated regulation of origin clusters.

\textbf{Sequential S-phase Analysis}

The random distribution of origin firing within each S phase prompted us to ask if the distribution is stochastic between S phases; is an origin that fires in one S phase epigenetically programmed to be more likely to fire in the next S phase? To label origins that fired in sequential S phases, we double-labeled cells, labeling in the first cell cycle with CldU and the second with IdU (Figure 3). The experiment is complicated by the fact that thymidine analogs cannot be washed out.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3}
\caption{The ability of an origin to fire is not epigenetically inherited. (A) The experimental strategy, as described in the text. (B) Representative molecules. The upper, red images are visualized with anti-CldU antibodies; the gaps in the CldU signal, indicating origins that fired only in the first cell cycle, are marked below by white rectangles. The lower, green images are the same molecules visualized with anti-IdU antibodies; the IdU-labeled patches, indicating origins that fired in the second cell cycle, are marked above by green rectangles.}
\end{figure}
once they have been added to cells, presumably because after the nucleoside analog enters the cell through the nucleoside transporter, it is phosphorylated, and can no longer leave. To avoid this problem, synchronized cells were arrested with HU in early S phase and released into CldU to allow them to complete replication. This step allows origins that fired early in the first cycle to be recognized as unlabeled patches on otherwise CldU-labeled molecules (Figure 3Ai). After the first S phase, the cells were grown in IdU and HU to arrest them early in their second S phase. This step allows origins that fired early in the second cycle to be recognized as IdU-labeled patches on the same molecules (Figure 3Aii). Because the analogs cannot be washed out, origins that fired in the second cycle are labeled with both CldU and IdU, making it impossible to distinguish origins that fired in both cycles (Figure 3Aiii) from those that only fired in the second (Figure 3Aiv). Thus, we compared the origins that only fired in the first S phase and not in the second (patches unlabeled with CldU, Figure 3Av) with those that fire in the second S phase and may or may not have fired in the first (patches labeled with IdU, Figure 3A, iii and iv).

If origins that fire in one cycle are significantly more likely to fire in the subsequent cycle, we would expect a high frequency of doubly labeled origins and a low frequency of singly labeled origins. However, if there is no correlation between origins firing in sequential S phases, we would expect the ratio of origins labeled only in the first cycle to those labeled in the second to be 0.70, because 30% of those that fire in the first cycle will randomly fire in the second, whereas 70% will not. (This calculation assumes an average firing probability of 30%; the average efficiency of all the origins identified by FISH in the ura4 and nmt1 region, including those below the published AT threshold, is ~33%. See Materials and Methods for the derivation of this estimate.) In fact, among 436 labeled origins on 109 molecules spanning 23 Mb, we see a ratio of 0.72, consistent with random refiring in each cycle and inconsistent with any significant epigenetic regulation of origin firing from one cycle to the next.

DISCUSSION

We have tested whether the firing of fission yeast replication origins is coordinated to ensure an even distribution of replication bubbles across the genome. We found no evidence for such coordination. On the contrary, we found that origins fire stochastically and that this stochastic firing leads to a random distribution of replication bubbles during S phase.

The Random Distribution of Origin Firing in Fission Yeast

To examine the distribution of origin firing in fission yeast, we used the single molecule analysis technique of DNA combing. We labeled nascent replication bubbles in vivo and visualized those bubbles on isolated, combed DNA molecules. We used two approaches to determine if origin firing is globally coordinated or if origins fire independently of each other. First, we used a whole genome approach to measure the distances between neighboring replication bubbles. We measured interbubble distances on almost 230 Mb of DNA, ensuring good statistical coverage of the 14-Mb fission yeast genome. Any mechanism that coordinates an even distribution of origin firing would result in a Gaussian distribution of interbubble distances. On the contrary, bubbles are very unevenly distributed, with many bubbles close together and occasional large gaps of hundreds of kilobases between replication bubbles (Figure 1, D, G, and J). Elements randomly placed on a line display an exponential distribution of interelement distances (Birnbaum, 1954); thus, the exponential character of the interbubble distances in Figures 1, D, G, and J, indicate that origin firing is randomly distributed across the fission yeast genome. Second, we looked directly at the coordination of origin firing around ura4 and nmt1, using FISH to identify combed molecules from these regions. In total, we examined 14 origins that span over 400 kb, ~3% of the genome. We found that these origins also fire stochastically; there is no significant positive or negative correlation between the firing of any neighboring origins in either region.

DNA combing provides an excellent means of identifying in vivo replication origins. In our experiment examining origin firing in the ura4 and nmt1 regions, we identified origins in an unbiased manner by visualizing all nascent replication bubbles. However, 82% of the bubbles around ura4 overlapped with loci that had been predicted to be origins of replication by their AT-rich character (Segurado et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2005). Furthermore, all of the predicted origins were found to overlap with replication bubbles on at least 15% of molecules. These results are consistent with the previous analysis of the firing of predicted origins, and corroborate the accuracy of the predictions (Segurado et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2005). In addition, the average distance between active origins of ~110 kb (Supplementary Figure S2) is about three times the average distance of 35 kb between predicted origins (Segurado et al., 2003), consistent with an average origin efficiency of 30%.

Origins have been proposed to fire at specific times during S phase, some exclusively early and some exclusively late (Fangman and Brewer, 1992). We find no evidence for exclusively late-firing origins in fission yeast. Early-firing can be defined as the ability of an origin to fire in HU (Santocana and Diffley, 1998; Kim and Huberman, 2001). By this definition, none of the 14 origins in the ura4 or nmt1 regions are restricted from firing early in S phase. Thus, if there are euchromatic origins in fission yeast that specifically fire late in S phase, they must be infrequent (less than ~7% of origins, because we see none in the 14 origins we have examined), unevenly distributed (absent from large regions around ura4 and nmt1) or different in sequence structure from all known fission yeast origins (so that we would not recognize them in the ura4 and nmt1 regions). These conclusions are consistent with work showing that of 16 fission yeast origins that are active on plasmids, 14 fire early at their chromosomal loci and 2 do not seem to fire at all at their chromosomal loci (Kim and Huberman, 2001).

The Distribution of Origin Firing in Other Organisms

Our results suggest that the distribution of origin firing in fission yeast is dramatically different from that in budding yeast. The distribution of origin firing in budding yeast has been examined using two distinct microarray techniques (Raghuraman et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002). In both cases, if distance between origins is plotted versus frequency, they give an approximately Gaussian distribution of interorigin distances (Supplementary Figure S3, A and B, R^2 > 0.85). In neither case is the distribution close to exponential (R^2 < 0.33). These results are consistent with budding yeast whole-genome, HU-block combing experiments, and older fiber autoradiography studies, which both show an approximately Gaussian distribution of interorigin distances (Newlon and Burke, 1980; Rivin and Fangman, 1980; Lengronne et al., 2001, Supplementary Figure S3C). Thus, bud-
dung yeast appear to rely on evenly distributed efficient origin firing to ensure efficient replication. Although budding yeast have inefficient as well as efficient origins, the fact that the inefficient origins fire less often means that they contribute little to overall replication (Raghuraman et al., 2001; Yabuki et al., 2002). Because origin firing in most eukaryotes does not seem to be efficient (Shinomiya and Ina, 1994; Clyne and Kelly, 1995; Dubey et al., 1996; Gilbert, 2001; Dijkwel et al., 2002; Norio and Schildkraut, 2004), the budding yeast strategy of evenly distributed efficient origins is unlikely to be generally conserved.

The distribution of origin firing has also been examined in frog embryo extracts, and, in general, has been found to be random (Herrick et al., 2000, 2002; Lucas et al., 2000; Blow et al., 2001; Marheineke and Hyrien, 2001). (One group concluded that origin firing is clustered (Blow et al., 2001), but that conclusion has been questioned (Hyrien et al., 2003).) The random distribution of origin firing is presumably due to the fact that replication initiates at random sites (Harland and Laskey, 1980). *Drosophila* embryos also initiate replication in a sequence-independent manner, suggesting that they too may have randomly distributed origin firing (Shinomiya and Ina, 1991). The random distribution of origin firing raises the random gap problem; a random distribution of origin firing. Replication in frog embryo extracts provides a precedent in which replication origins are distributed randomly, but replication is completed efficiently (Herrick et al., 2000, 2002; Lucas et al., 2000). This observation demonstrates that a random distribution of origin firing does not necessarily imply inefficient replication. One model that reconciles random firing and efficient replication posits that origins fire with increasing probability as S phase proceeds (Lucas et al., 2000; Herrick et al., 2002; Hyrien et al., 2003). Thus, initial gaps have an increasing chance of an origin firing within them, making it unlikely for large gaps to persist.

However fission yeast deals with stochastic origin firing, its replication strategy is clearly different from traditional models of how replication would be coordinated across a eukaryotic genome. In particular, it uses no fixed replication program and requires no communication between neighboring or distant origins. Although the fission yeast replication strategy cannot account for the differences in replication timing seen in large domains of metazoan genomes, it may account for the behavior of origin firing and replication within domains of similar replication timing.
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