Molecular Mechanisms Controlling GLUT4 Intracellular Retention
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In basal adipocytes, glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) is sequestered intracellularly by an insulin-reversible retention mechanism. Here, we analyze the roles of three GLUT4 trafficking motifs (FQQI, TELEY, and LL), providing molecular links between insulin signaling, cellular trafficking machinery, and the motifs in the specialized trafficking of GLUT4. Our results support a GLUT4 retention model that involves two linked intracellular cycles: one between endosomes and a retention compartment, and the other between endosomes and specialized GLUT4 transport vesicles. Targeting of GLUT4 to the former is dependent on the FQQI motif and its targeting to the latter is dependent on the TELEY motif. These two motifs act independently in retention, with the TELEY-dependent step being under the control of signaling downstream of the AS160 rab GTPase activating protein. Segregation of GLUT4 from endosomes, although positively correlated with the degree of basal retention, does not completely account for GLUT4 retention or insulin-responsiveness. Mutation of the LL motif slows return to basal intracellular retention after insulin withdrawal. Knockdown of clathrin adaptin protein complex-1 (AP-1) causes a delay in the return to intracellular retention after insulin withdrawal. The effects of mutating the LL motif and knockdown of AP-1 were not additive, establishing that AP-1 regulation of GLUT4 trafficking requires the LL motif.

INTRODUCTION

Insulin regulation of glucose uptake into adipose and muscle cell is key for the disposal of dietary glucose. The facilitative glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) mediates the effect of insulin on glucose transport. GLUT4 is constitutively active for hexose transport, and glucose uptake is regulated by insulin controlling the amount of GLUT4 in the plasma membrane (PM) (Huang and Czech, 2007). In basal adipocytes, GLUT4 is slowly endocytosed and rapidly endocytosed, and <5% of the total amount of GLUT4 is in the PM. Insulin signaling results in changes in GLUT4 trafficking parameters: GLUT4 endocytosis is accelerated, whereas GLUT4 endocytosis is inhibited, resulting in a net 10- to 15-fold increase of surface GLUT4 and glucose uptake.

The effect of insulin on the fraction of GLUT4 in the PM of adipose and muscle cells reflects a specific regulation of GLUT4 trafficking rather than a general perturbation of membrane trafficking. Besides GLUT4, the only other protein whose amount in the PM is comparably regulated by insulin signaling is the insulin-responsive amino peptidase (IRAP) (Kandror et al., 1994; Keller et al., 1995; Garza and Birnbaum, 2000). The amounts of other proteins in the PM are only slightly affected by insulin. For example, the PM levels of the ubiquitously expressed GLUT1 and the transferrin receptor (TR) are only increased by approximately twofold upon insulin stimulation (Piper et al., 1991; Yang et al., 1992; Zeigerer et al., 2002).

The effects of insulin on GLUT4 are cell–type-specific since insulin has a minor effect on the trafficking of GLUT4 ectopically expressed in fibroblast-like cell types (Lampson et al., 2001). The robust regulation of GLUT4 trafficking in adipocytes develops around day 3 of differentiation (El-Jack et al., 1999; Govers et al., 2004). The main difference in GLUT4 trafficking between fibroblasts/preadipocytes and adipocytes is in basal conditions. As noted above, in basal adipocytes GLUT4 is predominantly excluded from the cell surface (<5%), whereas in fibroblasts and preadipocytes, ~30% of GLUT4 is in the PM (Govers et al., 2004). In all cell types ~50% of GLUT4 is in the PM in insulin-stimulated steady-state conditions. Therefore, the more pronounced effect of insulin on GLUT4 distribution in adipocytes is mostly due to an efficient, insulin-reversible basal retention mechanism. Consequently, adipocytes must express factors that promote GLUT4 retention in basal conditions. One of these factors, AS160 (TBC1D4), is a rab GTPase activating protein (GAP) whose activity is inhibited by insulin-mediated activation of Akt (Sano et al., 2003; Eguez et al., 2005; Larance et al., 2005). Active AS160 promotes GLUT4 retention by maintaining its target rab(s), one of which is rab10, in the inactive GDP-bound form (Sano et al., 2007). AS160 knockdown results in a partial release of GLUT4 basal retention, indicating that other steps independently of AS160 also participate to full intracellular GLUT4 sequestration (Eguez et al., 2005; Larance et al., 2005).

GLUT4 trafficking in adipocytes differs greatly from that of other recycling proteins in the same cells, indicating that GLUT4 retains specific sequences that control its specialized behavior. Three cytoplasmic motifs have been described to have roles in the specialized GLUT4 trafficking (Figure 1A): 1) FQQI sequence located in the N terminus (Piper et al., 1993; Garippa et al., 1994; Marsh et al., 1995a) and 2) LL490 (Czech et al., 1993; Corvera et al., 1994; Marsh et al., 1995a; Verhey et al., 1995), and 3) TE499LE501Y sequences...
located in the C terminus (Shewan et al., 2000). The FQQI and LL motifs belong to the aromatic-based and dileucine-based family of trafficking motifs, respectively. Both families of motifs regulate intracellular trafficking by interacting with adaptor proteins involved in vesicle formation and clathrin recruitment (reviewed in Bonifacino and Traub, 2003), although the proteins that interact with the GLUT4 motifs have not been identified. The GLUT4 FQQI motif is unusual because it contains a phenylalanine instead of the tyrosine typically found in this class of motifs. The TELEY motif is conserved in IRAP, and the protein that binds this motif is not known (Shewan et al., 2000).

Although there is general agreement that these motifs are required for GLUT4 trafficking, their functions and relative contributions remain an area of controversy. Some studies indicate that the amino terminus FQQI motif is required for GLUT4 basal intracellular retention (Piper et al., 1993; Marsh et al., 1995a), whereas others emphasize the importance of the carboxy terminus TELEY motif (Shewan et al., 2000; Govers et al., 2004). The function of the LL-based motif is also unclear (Marsh et al., 1995b; Al-Hasani et al., 2002; Govers et al., 2004; Blot and McGraw, 2006). These controversies might be explained by the use of steady-state assays that do not directly measure kinetics of GLUT4 movements, and potentially by the use of different cell systems and reporters that make comparisons between studies challenging (Lalioti et al., 2001; Watson et al., 2004).

We have recently examined the roles of the motifs in GLUT4 endocytosis (Blot and McGraw, 2006). The FQQI motif mediates GLUT4 internalization through a clathrin adaptor protein complex-2 (AP-2)-dependent pathway in insulin-stimulated adipocytes. The FQQI motif is dispensable for GLUT4 internalization in basal adipocytes and the LL-based motif is dispensable for both basal and insulin-stimulated endocytosis. Here, we examine the roles of the FQQI, LL, and TELEY motifs in the specialized intracellular trafficking of GLUT4 in adipocytes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Electroporation

3T3-L1 fibroblasts were differentiated and electroporated as described previously (Zeigerer et al., 2002). Studies were performed 1 d after electroporation. For small interfering RNA (siRNA) experiments, 2 nmol of siRNA was added in each electroporation cuvette, and experiments were done 2 d after electroporation. AS160 knockdown adipocytes are 3T3-L1 in which AS160 was knocked down by 70–90% by using retroviral transfer of AS160-specific short hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Eguez et al., 2005). 3T3-L1 cells stably expressing HA-GLUT4 have been described previously (Martin et al., 2006).

Plasmids, siRNAs, Ligands, and Antibodies

Creation of the FA, LA, and FY HA-GLUT4-green fluorescent protein (GFP) mutants have been described previously (Blot and McGraw, 2006). Alanine substitutions for the glutamic acids of the TELEY motif and the various double mutants were created using Stratagene (La Jolla, CA) QuikChange mutagenesis kit. The mutations were verified by sequencing (Cornell DNA sequencing facility, BioResource Center, Ithaca, NY). pCMV transferrin receptor (TR) and pCMV IRAP-TR containing cDNA coding for the human TR and a fusion protein between the intracellular and transmembrane domains of TR fused the cytosolic tail of IRAP, respectively, have been described previously (Johnson et al., 1998). The Stealth siRNAs used were from Invitrogen.
We mutated by alanine substitutions the FQQI, L and TELEY motifs of HA-GLUT4-GFP, either individually (mutated residues in bold, FA, LA, and EEAA) or together (FA/LA, FA/EEAA, and FA/LA/EEAA) (Figure 1A). The phenylalanine at position 5 was also mutated to a tyrosine (FY, FY/EEAA, and FY/LA/EEAA mutants). Adipocytes were electroporated with the different cDNAs and 8 or 24 h after the expression of the mutants was assessed by GFP fluorescence. At both times, all mutants were expressed to the same level as wild-type (WT) HA-GLUT4-GFP, indicating that the mutations did not markedly alter HA-GLUT4-GFP synthesis or turnover (Supplemental Figure 1). All subsequent experiments were performed 24 h after electroporation.

In the basal state, WT HA-GLUT4-GFP was found in perinuclear compartments as well as in peripheral puncta (Figure 1B). The increased diffuse GFP fluorescence in insulin-stimulated WT GLUT4 reflects the redistribution of HA-GLUT4-GFP to the PM (Figure 1B). The FA, LA, and EEAA mutations did not induce changes in the intracellular distribution of GLUT4 that were evident by qualitative visual inspection of GFP fluorescence (Figure 1B). However, the pattern of the FY mutant GLUT4 was altered compared with WT GLUT4. The FY mutant was more concentrated in the perinuclear region of basal adipocytes, with a reduction in the peripheral punctate structures. Insulin induced a partial redistribution of the FY GLUT4 mutant toward peripheral puncta, whereas no increase in diffuse GFP fluorescence was observed.

We used quantitative fluorescence microscopy to measure the effects of the mutations on GLUT4 distribution (Figure 2A). PM HA-GLUT4-GFP was measured by indirect immunofluorescence on saponin-permeabilized adipocytes with HA.11 and in parallel, the membrane was measured in unpermeabilized cells. The fraction of HA-GLUT4-GFP on the surface is thus (Cy3/GFP)surface/(Cy3/GFP)total. In fibroblasts stably expressing HA-GLUT4, surface HA-GLUT4 was measured by anti-HA staining on saponin-permeabilized adipocytes with HA.11 and in parallel, the membrane was measured in unpermeabilized cells. The fraction of HA-GLUT4-GFP on the surface is thus (Cy3/GFP)surface/(Cy3/GFP)total. The HA-GLUT4-GFP exocytosis assay measures the increase of cell associated HA-GLUT4-GFP over time (Karylowski et al., 2001; Lampson et al., 2001; Zeigerer et al., 2002). Backgrounds were measured on HA-GLUT4-GFP–negative cells and were subtracted from specific signals.

HA-GLUT4-GFP Trafficking Assays

The HA-GLUT4-GFP surface-to-total ratio determination assay was described previously (Lampson et al., 2001). To calculate the percentage of GLUT4 in the plasma membrane, the total HA-GLUT4-GFP was measured by indirect immunofluorescence on saponin-permeabilized adipocytes with HA.11 and in parallel, the membrane was measured in unpermeabilized cells. The fraction of HA-GLUT4-GFP on the surface is thus (Cy3/GFP)surface/(Cy3/GFP)total. In fibroblasts stably expressing HA-GLUT4, surface HA-GLUT4 was measured by anti-HA staining on saponin-permeabilized fixed cells. The fraction of HA-GLUT4 on the surface is thus (Cy3/GFP)surface/(Cy3/GFP)total.

The HA-GLUT4-GFP exocytosis assay measures the increase of cell associated HA-GLUT4-GFP over time (Karylowski et al., 2004). A plot of the cyanine 3 (Cy3)/GFP ratio versus incubation times was fit to a single exponential.

$$
\frac{\text{Cy3/GFP}_\text{total}}{\text{Cy3/GFP}_\text{total}} \times \exp(-k_\text{t} \times t) + \text{Cy3/GFP}_\text{baseline}
$$

where (Cy3/GFP)_t is the Cy3/GFP ratio measured at time t, (Cy3/GFP)_baseline is the Cy3/GFP ratio measured at the plateau, and k_t is HA-GLUT4-GFP exocytosis rate constant.

The HA-GLUT4-GFP return to basal levels after insulin withdrawal assay was described in detail previously (Blot and McGraw, 2006).

HA-GLUT4-GFP Fast Recycling Assay

Twenty-four hours after electroporation, the cells are incubated in serum free (DMEM-BB) at 37°C for 2 h. HA.11 antibody (saturating concentration in DMEM-BB supplemented with 1 mg/ml ovalbumin) is pulsed for 5 min at 37°C, and unbound antibody is removed by extensive washes with warm DMEM-BB. Medium is then replaced with DMEM-BB medium for 1 min. Two coverslips per mutant are immediately transferred onto ice water slurry (time 0 and total pulse) and another coverslip is incubated for 30 min at 37°C in DMEM-BB in the presence of Cy3-goat anti-mouse antibody (GAM). In parallel, cells for time 0 are incubated for 30 min on ice water slurry with a cold solution of Cy3-GAM. At the end of the incubations, cells are washed three times with cold medium II solution and fixed. Cells for total pulse are permeabilized and stained with a saponin containing Cy3-GAM solution. Cells are imaged and GFP and cell-associated Cy3 fluorescence are quantified. The average fraction of pulsed GLUT4 in the cell surface at time 0 is the ratio (Cy3/GFP)_baseline/(Cy3/GFP)_total and was equal to 15%. The fraction of internalized GLUT4 that recycled to the cell surface after 30 min was (Cy3/GFP)_{30 min}/(Cy3/GFP)_{total} − (Cy3/GFP)_{basal}/(Cy3/GFP)_{total}.

Epitope Ablation Assay

HA-GLUT4-GFP intracellular distribution between TR-containing endosomes and GLUT4 specialized/storage compartments/vesicles (GVSs) is determined by epitope ablation after IRAP-mediated 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) polymerization (Lampson et al., 2001; Zeigerer et al., 2002; Karylowski et al., 2004).

RESULTS

**Both FQqi and TELEY Motifs Are Required for Full Basal GLUT4 Retention**

We mutated by alanine substitutions the FQQI, LF, and TELEY motifs of HA-GLUT4-GFP, either individually (mutated residues in bold, FA, LA, and EEAA) or together (FA/LA, FA/EEAA, and FA/LA/EEAA) (Figure 1A). The phenylalanine at position 5 was also mutated to a tyrosine (FY, FY/EEAA, and FY/LA/EEAA mutants). Adipocytes were electroporated with the different cDNAs and 8 or 24 h after the expression of the mutants was assessed by GFP fluorescence. At both times, all mutants were expressed to the same level as wild-type (WT) HA-GLUT4-GFP, indicating that the mutations did not markedly alter HA-GLUT4-GFP synthesis or turnover (Supplemental Figure 1). All subsequent experiments were performed 24 h after electroporation.

In the basal state, WT HA-GLUT4-GFP was found in perinuclear compartments as well as in peripheral puncta (Figure 1B). The increased diffuse GFP fluorescence in insulin-stimulated WT GLUT4 reflects the redistribution of HA-GLUT4-GFP to the PM (Figure 1B). The FA, LA, and EEAA mutations did not induce changes in the intracellular distribution of GLUT4 that were evident by qualitative visual inspection of GFP fluorescence (Figure 1B). However, the pattern of the FY mutant GLUT4 was altered compared with WT GLUT4. The FY mutant was more concentrated in the perinuclear region of basal adipocytes, with a reduction in the peripheral punctate structures. Insulin induced a partial redistribution of the FY GLUT4 mutant toward peripheral puncta, whereas no increase in diffuse GFP fluorescence was observed.

We used quantitative fluorescence microscopy to measure the effects of the mutations on GLUT4 distribution (Figure 2A). PM HA-GLUT4-GFP was measured by indirect immunofluorescence on saponin-permeabilized adipocytes with HA.11 and in parallel, the membrane was measured in unpermeabilized cells. The fraction of HA-GLUT4-GFP on the surface is thus (Cy3/GFP)surface/(Cy3/GFP)total. The HA-GLUT4-GFP return to basal levels after insulin withdrawal assay was described in detail previously (Blot and McGraw, 2006).
Mutations in the FQQI and TELLEY Motifs Alter GLUT4 Exocytosis

The changes in PM expression of GLUT4 could reflect changes in exocytosis, endocytosis or both. To further understand how mutations of the motifs affect the fraction of GLUT4 in the PM, we measured GLUT4 exocytosis (Figure 2). For all the mutants changes in exocytosis paralleled the changes in steady-state PM GLUT4 (Figure 2). Basal exocytosis of the FA mutant was ~3 times faster than WT GLUT4, whereas in insulin-stimulated adipocytes the FA mutant and WT GLUT4 were exocytosed at similar rates. Exocytosis of the FY mutant was slightly decreased in the basal state and it was ~10-fold slower than WT GLUT4 in insulin-stimulated conditions. The exocytosis of the EEA mutant was increased by approximately twofold compared with WT in the basal state, and although accelerated by insulin, EEA exocytosis was slower than WT GLUT4. These results support the hypothesis that the FQQI and TELLEY motifs control GLUT4 basal intracellular sequestration by regulating exocytosis. The decreased exocytosis of the EAA mutant and the FY mutant in insulin-stimulated cells is consistent with the decreased net redistribution of these mutants to the PM.

GLUT4 Motifs Determine GLUT4 Distribution between Endosomes and GSVs

The specific distribution of GLUT4 between endosomes and GSVs can be determined using HRP-catalyzed DAB polymerization-induced epitope ablation (Stoorvogel, 1998). In these studies, HRP is delivered to endosomes by TR uptake of HRP-transferrin (Tf), or to both endosomes and GSV by IRAP-TR uptake of HRP-Tf (Livingstone et al., 1996; Zeigerer et al., 2002). The fraction of GLUT4 in GSV is determined as the difference in HRP-mediated epitope ablation between IRAP-TR and TR-delivered HRP-Tf.

Intracellular WT GLUT4 was evenly distributed between endosomes and GSV in basal adipocytes, and insulin stimulation resulted in a decrease of GLUT4 in the GSV (Figure 4), consistent with previous results (Livingstone et al., 1996; Zeigerer et al., 2002; Karylowski et al., 2004). Both the FA and EEA mutations caused pronounced, although incomplete redistributions of GLUT4 to TR-containing endosomes in basal adipocytes, whereas the FA/EEA double mutant was excluded from the GSV (Figure 4). Thus, consistent with the changes in basal retention (Figure 2), the FA and EEA mutations had partial effects on the intracellular distribution of GLUT4, whereas the effect of the double mutation was additive. The intracellular distributions of the FA and EEA mutant GLUT4 in the PM of basal adipocytes was increased approximately sixfold compared with WT, indicating that the two motifs have nonredundant roles in determining basal GLUT4 retention. In the presence of insulin, the fraction of the FA/EEA mutant in the PM was increased to the same level as WT GLUT4, demonstrating that the FA/EEA mutant, albeit poorly retained in basal adipocytes, was still recruited to the PM upon insulin stimulation.

Quantifying the distribution data as of percentage of total HA-GLUT4-GFP expressed per cell revealed that ~5% of WT HA-GLUT4-GFP was in the plasma membrane of basal adipocytes, and this fraction increased to 50% upon insulin-stimulation (Figure 2C), consistent with previous reports (Govers et al., 2004). In basal adipocytes, ~25% of the FA/EEA-GLUT4 double mutant was in the plasma membrane, which increased to ~50% with insulin stimulation (Figure 2C). The FA/EEA-GLUT4 double mutant accumulated in the PM of basal adipocytes to the same degree as WT GLUT4 expressed in undifferentiated 3T3-L1 fibroblasts, demonstrating that the FQQI and TELLEY motifs together constitute the information necessary for GLUT4 basal intracellular retention in adipocytes (Figure 2C).

The FY/EEA double mutant and the FY/FA/EEA triple mutant were retained in the basal state and failed to efficiently redistribute after insulin stimulation, behaving like the FY mutant. Therefore, the FY mutation-induced retention phenotype was dominant to the EEA mutation-induced lack of retention. The mutation of the LL motif did not modify GLUT4 surface-to-total distribution when introduced alone (Figure 2A) or when combined with other mutations (Figure 2B).

Figure 2. The FQQI and TELLEY motifs constitute the basal retention signal. (A) Surface-to-total distribution of HA-GLUT4-GFP mutants in basal or insulin-stimulated adipocytes. For insulin stimulation, cells were incubated with 170 nM insulin for 30 min at 37°C. Results are averages ± SEM from 9 to 16 experiments. The data from the individual experiments are normalized to WT GLUT4 basal surface-to-total ratio measured in the individual experiments (*p < 0.001 compared with WT basal, **p < 0.005 compared with WT insulin. Paired Student’s t test). (B) The surface-to-total distribution of the HA-GLUT4-GFP double or triple mutants in basal or insulin-stimulated adipocytes. Results are averages ± SD from two to nine independent experiments. The data from the individual experiments are normalized to WT GLUT4 basal surface-to-total ratio measured in the individual experiments (*p < 0.001 compared with WT basal, **p < 0.05 compared with WT insulin, paired Student’s t test). (C) Fraction of total WT or FA/EEA HA-GLUT4-GFP present in the plasma membrane of basal or insulin-stimulated adipocytes, and of HA-GLUT4 in the plasma membrane of 3T3-L1 fibroblasts. Results are average ± SD of three to four independent experiments (*p = 0.006 compared with WT basal, unpaired Student’s t test).
mutants were further shifted toward endosomes upon insulin stimulation.

The FY mutation had the opposite effect of the FA mutation, resulting in an increased localization of GLUT4 to the GSV in basal adipocytes, and although insulin increased the endosomal localization of the FY mutant, a significant fraction of this mutant remained localized to the GSV (Figure 4). Altogether, these data thus demonstrate a positive correlation between the amount of GLUT4 in the GSV and the efficiency of GLUT4 retention such that mutations that increased basal state localization to endosomes also increased PM levels and vice versa.

**Effect of AS160 Knockdown Is Additive to the Effect of FA but Not EEA Mutation**

AS160 is a target of insulin signaling whose activity is required for full GLUT4 retention. AS160 knockdown in adipocytes results in an increase in both GLUT4 basal exocytosis and basal surface-to-total distribution (Sano et al., 2003; Eguez et al., 2005; Larance et al., 2005; Sano et al., 2007). We next examined the behavior the GLUT4 mutants in adipocytes in which AS160 has been knocked down by shRNA (Eguez et al., 2005).

The behavior of the FA mutant was dramatically altered in the AS160 knockdown adipocytes (Figure 5). The threefold increase in basal PM GLUT4 induced by the FA mutation was increased to sixfold by knockdown of AS160. Thus, the effects of the FA mutation and AS160 knockdown were additive, demonstrating that the FA mutant was still under the control of AS160 and therefore indicating that the FQQI motif and AS160 function independently in basal GLUT4 retention. On the contrary, the effect of the EEAA mutation on GLUT4 distribution was not additive to the effect of AS160 knockdown, demonstrating that the EEAA mutant was not under the control of AS160 and therefore indicating that AS160 and the TELEY motif are required at the same
“step” of GLUT4 retention. The pronounced basal retention of the FY mutant was dominant to the AS160 knockdown phenotype, consistent with the FY mutation being dominant to the effect of the TELEY mutation (Figure 1).

**AP-1 and GLUT4 LL<sup>490</sup> Motif Function in the Same Step Controlling the Return of GLUT4 to Basal Retention**

The GLUT4 FQQI motif was shown previously to bind in vitro to the μ chain of the AP clathrin adaptor complex (Al-Hasani et al., 2002). The AP-1 complex has been localized to GLUT4 vesicles (Gillingham et al., 1999). To determine whether AP-1 has a role in GLUT4 traffic, we used siRNA to the μ1A chain to knockdown the AP-1 complex in adipocytes. μ1A knockdown did not result in changes in either basal or insulin-stimulated steady-state GLUT4 distributions, demonstrating that the steady-state amounts of GLUT4 in the PM are not under the control of AP-1 (Figure 6A). These results show that AP-1 does not participate in the trafficking steps regulated by either the FQQI and TELEY motifs, because the basal steady-state PM levels of GLUT4 are under the control of these motifs.

The redistribution of GLUT4 to the PM is reversible, re-achieving basal intracellular retention within 2 h of insulin stimulation.
with withdrawal (Verhey et al., 1995; Blot and McGraw, 2006). Knockdown of m1A resulted in a significant delay in GLUT4 return to basal intracellular retention after insulin withdrawal, increasing the half-time by approximately twofold (Figure 6B). Similar results were obtained when m1A was knocked down using a different siRNA or when the γ-chain was targeted to reduce the AP-1 complex (Figure 6C), both of which rule out nonspecific effects due to siRNA. These data demonstrate that AP-1 has a rate-limiting role in the return to basal retention.

The effect of AP-1 knockdown on return to basal GLUT4 intracellular retention was reminiscent of the previously established phenotype of the L490 to A mutation of GLUT4 LL-based motif (Verhey et al., 1995; Blot and McGraw, 2006). We next determined whether AP-1 knockdown and the LA mutation had additive effects on the return to GLUT4 basal intracellular retention (Figure 6D). Knockdown of m1A did not further decrease the rate of return to basal of the LA mutant GLUT4, indicating that the LL-based motif and AP-1 complex function at the same step in return to basal retention.

We have previously shown that the mutation of the FA motif also slows return to basal GLUT4 intracellular retention (Blot and McGraw, 2006). However, unlike mutation of the LL-based motif, the F-to-A mutation of the GLUT4 FQQI motif affects the steady-state distributions of GLUT4 in basal and insulin-stimulated adipocytes (Figure 2). Thus, mutation of the FQQI and LL-based motifs likely affect return to basal retention by different mechanisms. To test this hypothesis, we examined the behavior of the FA mutant GLUT4 in AP-1 knockdown adipocytes 60 min after insulin withdrawal (Figure 6E). At this time, WT GLUT4 in the PM of control adipocytes is reduced by ~80%, the FA GLUT4 mutant is reduced only by ~40% and the FA mutant expressed in AP-1 knockdown adipocytes was reduced by <10%, demonstrating that knockdown of AP-1 further impairs return to basal retention of the FA mutant GLUT4. Thus, there is additivity in the effects of AP-1 knockdown and FA mutation in the return to basal retention, establishing that the FA and AP-1 are required for different aspects of this process.

A hypothesis for the role of the LL-based motif in the return to basal intracellular retention is that this motif controls the efficiency of the sorting of GLUT4 away from a rapid PM recycling pathway to a compartment where GLUT4 is engaged by the retention machinery. To test this hypothesis, we examined the amount of WT and LA mutant GLUT4 that is rapidly returned to the PM after internalization. Adipocytes expressing WT or LA mutant HA-GLUT4-GFP were pulsed for 5 min with anti-HA antibody (HA.11), washed to remove unbound HA.11, and incubated with a saturating concentration of Cy3-GAM for 30 min at 37°C. During the 30-min incubation, HA.11-bound GLUT4 in the PM at the start of this incubation will be immediately bound and the amount of Cy3-GAM will increase over the 30-min incubation as internalized HA.11-bound GLUT4 recycles to the PM.

Approximately 85% of both WT GLUT4 and LA mutant GLUT4 was intracellular at the end of the 5-min pulse. These data are consistent with the LA mutation having no effect on GLUT4 endocytosis (Blot and McGraw, 2006). In cells expressing WT GLUT4, cell-associated Cy3-GAM increased to ~25% of the total 5-min HA.11 pulse, whereas in cells expressing the LA mutant GLUT4, the cell-associated Cy3-GAM amount increased to nearly 50% (Figure 6F).

These data establish that a greater fraction of LA mutant GLUT4 labeled during the 5-min pulse was rapidly recycled to the PM. These data provide direct evidence that the LL490 motif regulates the efficiency of transport of internalized GLUT4 out of a fast recycling pathway toward the retention pathway.

**DISCUSSION**

The roles and contributions of GLUT4 sequences in specialized GLUT4 trafficking in adipocytes have not been elucidated. Here we show that 1) the full basal intracellular GLUT4 retention characteristic of adipocytes requires both the amino-terminal FQQI motif and the carboxy-terminal acidic cluster TELEY motif, 2) these motifs regulate different steps of GLUT4 traffic, 3) the step controlled by the TELEY motif is ultimately under the regulation of AS160, and 4) the LL motif and the AP-1 adaptin complex are required for the rapid return to basal GLUT4 intracellular retention after insulin withdrawal. As discussed below, these data support the GLUT4 trafficking model depicted in Figure 7.

In basal adipocytes, intracellular GLUT4 is equally distributed between TR-containing endosomes and GLUT4-specialized compartments (Livingstone et al., 1996; Martin et al., 1996; Zeigerer et al., 2002; Karylowski et al., 2004). We propose that two distinct intracellular trafficking cycles (Figure 7, retention pathways 1 and 2) are required for segregation of GLUT4 from endosomes. This segregation is necessary to prevent the rapid, unregulated recycling of GLUT4 from endosomes back to the PM via the TR recycling pathway (Figure 7, TR exocytosis pathway 1); therefore, it is essential for the proper basal intracellular retention of GLUT4. One of the GLUT4-specific transport steps is FQQI-mediated target-
ing from endosomes to a retention compartment (Figure 7, retention pathway 1). We hypothesize that GLUT4 can return to endosomes from this compartment but that GLUT4 cannot move directly to the PM. This retention compartment, which might be a syntaxin-16–positive subcompartment of the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (see discussion below) is similar to that proposed by James and colleagues (Govers et al., 2004). The second retention cycle is TELEY-mediated targeting of GLUT4 from endosomes to specialized transport vesicles. These vesicles can fuse with the PM (Figure 7, specialized GLUT4 exocytosis pathway) or refuse with endosomes (Figure 7, retention pathway 2). We propose that this cycle contributes to the overall basal retention because in basal conditions fusion of these vesicles with endosomes is more frequent than fusion with the PM, whereas in the presence of insulin fusion with the PM is favored. Finally, we propose that the docking of the vesicles of the specialized GLUT4 exocytosis pathway with the PM is under the negative control of AS160 and one effect of insulin is to promote docking of these vesicles to the PM by inactivating the AS160 rab GAP activity. The data supporting this model are as follows.

The results that alanine mutation of the FQQI motif or the TELEY motif increased basal PM GLUT4 by approximately two- to threefold, whereas combining both mutations increased PM GLUT4 by sixfold demonstrate that both sequences are involved in basal retention. The observation that the amount of the FA/EEAA double mutant in the PM of basal adipocytes is similar to the amount of ectopically expressed GLUT4 in the PM of preadipocytes (Figure 2C), suggests that the specialized intracellular retention of GLUT4 characteristic of adipocytes is determined by the FQQI and TELEY motifs. Finally, the increased basal PM accumulation of GLUT4 induced by the FA and EEAA mutations correlated with increased basal exocytosis, supporting the proposal that these motifs target GLUT4 to pathways that determine the characteristic slow basal exocytosis of GLUT4.

A key aspect of the model in Figure 7 is that GLUT4 basal retention involves two intracellular trafficking cycles. The additive effects of alanine mutations of the FQQI and TELEY motifs demonstrate that both motifs are involved in basal retention; however, those results do not distinguish between the motifs functioning independently in retention from the alternative interpretation that the sequences are elements of a single motif that regulates a single trafficking step. Our data that GLUT4 mutated in the individual motifs behaved differently in AS160 knockdown adipocytes strongly support the hypothesis that these motifs regulate distinct GLUT4 trafficking steps.

Considerable data support the proposal that AS160 functions in the regulation of GLUT4 vesicle docking (prefusion step) (Zeigerer et al., 2004; Gonzalez and McGraw, 2006; Jiang et al., 2008). Based on those data, we propose that the TELEY motif functions to target GLUT4 to the specialized transport vesicles that carry GLUT4 to the cell surface (Figure 7, specialized GLUT4 exocytosis pathway). Mutation of the TELEY motif would reduce GLUT4 concentration in these vesicles and thereby reduce the effect of AS160 knockdown on the behavior of EEAA mutant GLUT4. In contrast, the FA mutant GLUT4 would remain under the control of AS160 because the TELEY motif, which is intact in this mutant, would target the FA-mutant GLUT4 to the specialized transport vesicles (Figure 7, specialized GLUT4 exocytosis pathway), whose docking with the PM is under negative regulation of AS160. Finally, the retention of the double FA, EEAA mutant would also be largely independent of AS160 because this mutant would not be efficiently targeted to the specialized GLUT4 transport vesicles. It has recently been reported that the expression of a dominant-interfering AS160 construct (AS160-4P), which inhibits GLUT4 translocation by ~80%, had a significantly smaller inhibitory effect on the insulin-stimulated translocation of the FA mutant GLUT4 (Capilla et al., 2007). Those results suggest that some of the FA GLUT4 in insulin-stimulated cells moves to the PM by the TR exocytosis pathway, because we have previously shown that AS160-4P does not inhibit insulin-stimulated translocation of TR (Zeigerer et al., 2004).

Consistent with our finding that the FQQI and TELEY individually have roles in determine the basal retention, it was reported previously that the FA mutation and the substitution of the carboxy-terminal 12 amino acids of GLUT4 with GLUT3 sequences, which eliminates the TELEY motif, resulted in an increase in GLUT4 in the PM of basal adipocytes (Govers et al., 2004). Those results are in agreement with our findings that mutation of the individual motifs cause only relatively small changes of GLUT4 in the PM. During preparation of this manuscript, a report was published showing that sequences, in addition to the TELEY motif, within the carboxy terminus of GLUT4 have a role in GLUT4 trafficking because mutation of six of the last nine carboxy-terminal amino acids blocked insulin-stimulated GLUT4 recruitment to the PM (Song et al., 2008).

Mutation of the F to Y of the FQQI motif blunted the insulin-stimulated translocation of GLUT4 to the PM, and it reversed the increase of basal GLUT4 in the PM induced by the EEAA mutation or by knockdown of AS160 (Figures 2 and 5). These data support the proposal that the FQQI motif determines trafficking to a retention cycle, with the Y mutation (YQQI motif) more avidly targeting GLUT4 to this pathway than the WT FQQI sequence (Figure 7, retention pathway 1). The FA mutant GLUT4 is poorly translocated to the surface by insulin-stimulation, suggesting that GLUT4 in this cycle is not directly recruited to the PM by insulin. Furthermore, the FA mutant GLUT4 is also more slowly exocytosed in basal conditions, supporting the proposal that GLUT4 does not efficiently move from this cycle to the PM.

Here, we have focused on the roles of the motifs in regulating basal retention. We have previously shown that the FQQI motif is not required for GLUT4 internalization in basal adipocytes but that it does have a role in GLUT4 endocytosis in insulin-stimulated adipocytes (Blot and McGraw, 2006). The FA mutant is more slowly internalized in insulin-stimulated adipocytes, and this change in internalization will contribute to the increased FA-GLUT4 in the PM of insulin-stimulated adipocytes (Figure 2). The FA mutant is more rapidly internalized than WT GLUT4 in insulin-stimulated adipocytes, and this change will contribute to the reduced amount of the FY GLUT4 mutant on the PM of insulin-stimulated adipocytes. Although, we have not directly analyzed the endocytic behavior of EEAA mutant GLUT4, the changes in exocytosis that we have measured for this mutant largely account for the changes PM expression of the EEAA mutant, suggesting that the major role of the EEAA mutant is to regulate intracellular traffic.

Segregation of GLUT4 from Endosomes

The segregation of GLUT4 from endosomes is a feature of insulin-responsive cells and it is thought to be essential for insulin-responsive GLUT4 trafficking (El-Jack et al., 1999; Shi and Kandror, 2005). Our analysis of the GLUT4 mutants establishes roles for the cytoplasmic motifs in the steady-state intracellular distribution of GLUT4 between endosomes and the specialized compartments (defined as acces-
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sible to IRAP but not TR) (Figure 4). Alanine mutation of the FQQI or TELEY motif resulted in partial redistribution of GLUT4 to endosomes and the FA/EEAA double mutant was entirely relocated to endosomes of basal adipocytes. The shift of these mutants toward endosomes corresponded with an increase of GLUT4 in the PM. The distribution of the FY mutant was shifted away from endosomes in both basal and insulin-stimulated conditions and this shift in intracellular distribution correlated with greater intracellular retention of the FY mutant GLUT4. These data indicate that targeting of GLUT4 away from endosomes correlates with retention, possibly by preventing GLUT4 exocytosis via the rapid TR exocytosis pathway (Figure 7, TR exocytosis pathway 1).

Based on the behaviors of the mutants, we propose that the GLUT4 excluded from endosomes is distributed between a retention cycle (Figure 7, retention pathway 1) and regulation of GLUT4 transport vesicles (Figure 7, retention pathway 2). Past studies have shown that GLUT4 continually cycles between endosomes and specialized (non-TR-containing) compartments (Martin et al., 2000a; Bryant et al., 2002; Karylowksi et al., 2004); therefore, in basal adipocytes GLUT4 in the retention cycle (pathway 1) is in equilibrium with GLUT4 cycling through the specialized transport vesicles (pathway 2). We propose that GLUT4 is in equilibrium between these two cycles via transport through endosomes.

Our data establish a positive correlation between normal intracellular GLUT4 retention and segregation from endosomes; yet, they also emphasize the important role of transferrin-containing endosomes in the retention of GLUT4. Both the FA and EEAA mutants, despite large relocalizations to endosomes, are still more prominently retained than the FA/EEAA double mutant. These data provide additional support for the concept that the endosomes have an important role in the specialized basal trafficking of GLUT4 (Lampson et al., 2001; Zeigerer et al., 2002; Govers et al., 2004). Furthermore, the twofold redistribution of the FA/EEAA double mutant suggests that a portion of GLUT4 insulin response is not dependent on these motifs. It is possible that the effect of insulin of the double mutant is not specific for GLUT4. For example, the effect of insulin on the FA/EEAA double mutant might be due to the changes in membrane trafficking that are responsible for the twofold translocation of TR to the PM, which would be consistent with the complete localization of the double mutant to endosomes.

There is evidence that GLUT4 retention depends on its localization (or transport through) syntaxin-16 positive subdomains of the TGN (Shewan et al., 2003). Thus, it is possible that the FQQI motif is required for the cycling of GLUT4 between endosomes and the syntaxin-16 positive compartments. In basal adipocytes the FY mutant, compared with WT GLUT4, was concentrated to a greater degree in the peripheral region, with a corresponding reduction in peripheral punctate structures (Figure 1B). The perinuclear region is where the TGN is localized, although we cannot rigorously assign the FY mutant localization to the TGN because in fluorescence microscopy we have been unable to distinguish the TGN from TR-containing endosomes that are also localized in the same region of the cells. Regardless, these findings are consistent with the perinuclear localization being the retention compartment. It is important to note that the perinuclear concentration of FY is accessible to IRAP-TR, suggesting that the FY mutant is redistributed among compartments normally traversed by IRAP and GLUT4. The FY mutant cycles to the PM, albeit more slowly than WT GLUT4, showing that the mutant is not statically stored within the perinuclear compartment (Figure 3).

The data presented here supporting a retention mechanism requiring two specialized GLUT4 intracellular trafficking pathways bring closer two current models for GLUT4 basal intracellular sequestration (reviewed in Dugani and Klip, 2005). Both models agree that there is an intracellular storage pool of GLUT4 in basal adipocytes, with the main difference between the models being whether the storage pool is in constant communication with the plasma membrane. Recently, a detailed analysis of SNARE proteins involved in GLUT4 trafficking also support a model for specialized GLUT4 trafficking involving several distinct intracellular transport steps (Watson and Pessin, 2008). Future studies are required to assign specific SNARE proteins to the steps regulated by the different GLUT4 motifs.

**LL<sup>490</sup> Motif and AP-1 Are Required for Efficient Return to GLUT4 Basal Retention**

Clathrin adaptor AP-1 was previously found on GLUT4-containing vesicles (Gillingham et al., 1999). AP-1 is important for transport between endosomes and the TGN (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003), and the μ1A chain of AP-1 binds GLUT4 FQQI motif in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Al-Hasani et al., 2002). The effect of AP-1 knockdown was similar to the phenotype of the L<sup>490</sup>A mutant GLUT4 (Verhey et al., 1993; Govers et al., 2004; Blot and McGraw, 2006; Figure 6). Although individually both AP-1 knockdown and mutation of the LL motif slowed by twofold the return of GLUT4 to basal intracellular retention after insulin withdrawal, these effects were not additive, strongly suggesting that the LL motif and AP-1 function at the same transport step. Furthermore, these data suggest that the GLUT4 LL-based motif interacts with AP-1, consistent with previous reports that motifs of the LL-based family bind to AP-1 (Rapport et al., 1998; Rodionov and Bakke, 1998; Hofmann et al., 1999; Yao et al., 2002).

The previous findings that the fungal metabolite brefeldin A causes a delay in GLUT4 return to basal retention after insulin withdrawal (Martin et al., 2000b) and that AP-1 recruitment to GLUT4 vesicles is inhibited by brefeldin A (Gillingham et al., 1999) are also consistent with our results of the effect of AP-1 knockdown on GLUT4 behavior.

How does mutation of the LL motif affect return to basal intracellular retention but not the steady-state distributions of GLUT4? When insulin signaling is attenuated, GLUT4 is internalized and sorted to the retention pathway. We propose that upon insulin withdrawal the LL-based motif efficiently sorts GLUT4 from rapidly recycling pathway (Figure 7, TR exocytosis pathway 2) to an intracellular compartment where the FQQI and TELEY determine the steady-state retention. There are at least two pathways from endosomes back to the PM, one pathway from peripheral endosomes and one pathway from the perinuclear endosome recycling compartment and recycling from the peripheral is much more rapid (Sheff et al., 1999; Hao and Maxfield, 2000). When the LL-motif is mutated, GLUT4 is not efficiently sorted from the peripheral rapidly recycling pathway and consequently a greater fraction of the LL mutant is returned to the PM than WT GLUT4 (Figure 6F). On average, each LL-mutant GLUT4 will cycle through the rapid recycling pathway a greater number of times than WT GLUT4 before moving to later endosomal compartments where it engages the retention pathways controlled by the FQQI and TELEY motifs. Because the steady-state basal retention is based on the functions of the FQQI and TELEY motifs, when the LL-mutant GLUT4 is delivered to a compartment where these motifs function it will be properly retained. It is important to
note that we do not know the exact compartment in which the LL motif and AP-1 act, a question to be addressed in future studies.
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