A phosphatidylinositol transfer protein integrates phosphoinositide signaling with lipid droplet metabolism to regulate a developmental program of nutrient stress–induced membrane biogenesis
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ABSTRACT
Lipid droplet (LD) utilization is an important cellular activity that regulates energy balance and release of lipid second messengers. Because fatty acids exhibit both beneficial and toxic properties, their release from LDs must be controlled. Here we demonstrate that yeast Sfh3, an unusual Sec14-like phosphatidylinositol transfer protein, is an LD-associated protein that inhibits lipid mobilization from these particles. We further document a complex biochemical diversification of LDs during sporulation in which Sfh3 and select other LD proteins redistribute into discrete LD subpopulations. The data show that Sfh3 modulates the efficiency with which a neutral lipid hydrolase-rich LD subclass is consumed during biogenesis of specialized membrane envelopes that package replicated haploid meiotic genomes. These results present novel insights into the interface between phosphoinositide signaling and developmental regulation of LD metabolism and unveil meiosis-specific aspects of Sfh3 (and phosphoinositide) biology that are invisible to contemporary haploid-centric cell biological, proteomic, and functional genomics approaches.

INTRODUCTION
Lipid droplets (LDs) are important energy-storage organelles in eukaryotic cells. These particles are composed of a neutral lipid core consisting primarily of triacylglycerides (TAGs) and sterol esters (SEs) surrounded by a phospholipid monolayer and a coat of associated proteins (Murphy and Vance, 1999). The unilocular LD, a hallmark feature of human white adipocytes, occupies up to 90% of cell volume. This is in part due to the high storage capacity of LDs and the fact that they have no cisternae, an endomembrane system that has the highest capacity for storage of lipid molecules. The need for storage is driven by the metabolic necessity of the cell to store energy for future use and to accumulate TAGs and SEs. These lipids are then released for ATP synthesis or further metabolism.
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volume (Pilch et al., 2007), and regulation of LD metabolism is an important factor in obesity and its attendant health problems, such as type II diabetes and cardiovascular disease. LDs also exhibit remarkable functional diversity. For example, LDs contribute to cell cycle control (Kurat et al., 2009), act as temporary storage depots for unfolded membrane proteins (Welte, 2007), and act as sites of eicosanoid production in macrophages (Silva et al., 2009; Weibel et al., 2009). LD utilization is also key to productive infection by pathogenic agents such as Chlamydia and hepatitis C virus (Kumar et al., 2006; Ogawa et al., 2009). An understanding of the complex regulation of how lipid-based chemical energy is stored and used in cells requires detailed understanding of how LD metabolism is controlled.

Current models suggest that LDs form in discrete regions of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) via aggregation of neutral lipids between the two phospholipid leaflets of the ER bilayer. This progressive coalescence drives budding of mature LDs from ER membranes (Martin and Parton, 2006; Wolins et al., 2006). Evidence in support of this mechanism includes the ER residence of enzymes that catalyze the final steps of TAG and SE synthesis (e.g., diacylglycerol acetyltransferases and acyl-CoA:acyltransferases; Yin et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2009) and the bidirectional trafficking of proteins between LDs and the ER (Zehmer et al., 2009; Jacquier et al., 2011). Finally, high-resolution imaging experiments reveal that yeast LDs remain tethered to the ER, further arguing for an ER origin of LDs (Szymbanski et al., 2007).

Although the enzymology of neutral lipid synthesis is well studied and conserved from yeast to humans (Turkish and Sturley, 2007), rather less is known about the pathway of neutral lipid metabolism. Current models suggest that LDs form in discrete regions of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) via aggregation of neutral lipids between the two phospholipid leaflets of the ER bilayer. This progressive coalescence drives budding of mature LDs from ER membranes (Martin and Parton, 2006; Wolins et al., 2006). Evidence in support of this mechanism includes the ER residence of enzymes that catalyze the final steps of TAG and SE synthesis (e.g., diacylglycerol acetyltransferases and acyl-CoA:acyltransferases; Yin et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2009) and the bidirectional trafficking of proteins between LDs and the ER (Zehmer et al., 2009; Jacquier et al., 2011). Finally, high-resolution imaging experiments reveal that yeast LDs remain tethered to the ER, further arguing for an ER origin of LDs (Szymbanski et al., 2007).

Although the enzymology of neutral lipid synthesis is well studied and conserved from yeast to humans (Turkish and Sturley, 2007), rather less is known about the pathway of LD assembly or control of LD metabolism (Adeyo et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2009). Rather less is known about the other PAT family members, Plin 2 (ADRP), TIP47 (PAT) protein family. Plin 1 is a peripheral LD protein that serves dual roles in regulating LD homeostasis. Under conditions of basal lipolysis, Plin 1 restricts lipase accessibility to LD lipids (Londos et al., 1999). In response to hormonal stimulation, Plin 1 is phosphorylated by protein kinase A and activates TAG lipase and hormone-sensitive lipase (Sztalryd et al., 2003; Tansey et al., 2003; Subramanian et al., 2004; Londos et al., 2005; Moore et al., 2005; Lass et al., 2006; Zimmermann et al., 2009). Rather less is known about the other PAT family members, Plin 2 (ADRP), Plin 3 (TIP47), Plin 4 (S3-12), and Plin 5 (OXPAT; Brasaemle, 2007; Bickel et al., 2009; Kimmel et al., 2010), and Plin-independent mechanisms for regulating LD metabolism also exist. Drosophila mutants lacking all Plins exhibit abnormal body fat distribution yet display surprisingly functional body fat regulation (Beller et al., 2010). Moreover, no Plin family members have been described in Caenorhabditis elegans or Saccharomycyes cerevisiae. How do these organisms regulate LD production and consumption? Cyclin-dependent kinases play a role in controlling LD metabolism (Kurat et al., 2009), but little is known about how lipid signaling interfaces with this process.

Here we describe a novel link between phosphoinositide signaling and LD metabolism. This discovery was prompted by functional analyses of Sfh3, a nonclassical member of the Sec14-like phosphatidylinositol transfer protein (PITP) superfamily whose members help specify the outcomes of phosphoinositide signaling in cells (Schaaf et al., 2008; Bankaitis et al., 2009). We report that Sfh3 associates with bulk LDs in vegetative cells but targets to a neutral lipid hydrolase-rich LD pool during a developmentally regulated program of meiotic membrane biogenesis. Furthermore, we demonstrate that Sfh3 is an inhibitor of LD utilization, that this LD homeostatic activity of Sfh3 operates via a phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns)-binding– and PtdIns-4-phosphate (PtdIns-4-P)–dependent mechanism, and that Sfh3-mediated regulation of LD metabolism is of developmental consequence. These results provide new insights into how a Sec14-like PITP couples phosphoinositide signaling with LD homeostasis. The data also reveal unexpectedly complex heterogeneities in the LD cohort of yeast diploid cells engaged in a developmental program of nutrient stress–induced membrane biogenesis.

**RESULTS**

**Sfh3 is a nonconventional Sec14-like PITP**

To assess functional similarities between Sec14 and Sfh3, we overexpressed Sfh1–Sfh5 in sec14Δ yeast and compared their abilities to rescue sec14Δ lethality at nonpermissive temperature. Sfh3 exhibited particularly unusual behavior in this assay, in that its enhanced expression failed to rescue growth of yeast at 37°C. Indeed, elevated Sfh3 expression (Sfh3OE) was strongly deleterious to proliferation of sec14Δ yeast at normally permissive temperatures (30°C; Figure 1B), even though Sfh3OE exerted only very modest effects on growth of wild-type (WT) yeast at 30 or 37°C (unpublished data). That the deleterious effects were related to phosphoinositide signaling is supported by our observation that pik1Δ yeast compromised for activity of the trans-Golgi network (TGN)/endosomal PtdIns 4-OH kinase (Pik1) were similarly sensitive to Sfh3OE (Figure 1C). These in vivo data demonstrate that Sfh3 antagonized Sec14/Pik1 signaling in vivo.

To gain insight into the functional differences between Sec14 and Sfh3, we solved a high-resolution Sfh3 crystal structure. Gel filtration and equilibrium sedimentation analyses demonstrated that recombinant Sfh3 (expected M₆ = 40 kDa) purified as a dimer (Supplemental Figure S1, A and B). Diffraction-quality crystals of native and selenomethionine-substituted Sfh3 were produced and the structure solved to 1.93-Å resolution with excellent canonical properties (Table 1; Protein Data Bank ID [PDB] 4MBZ). In spite of the relatively low sequence similarity, Sfh3 adopts a Sec14 fold consisting of 11 α-helices, 7 310-helices and 6 β-strands (Figure 1D). Superposition of the Sfh3 structure onto that of holo-Sfh1 bound either to PtdIns or PtdCho displays a root-mean-square deviation of 3.9 Å over 189 equivalent atoms. The Sfh3 gating helix ₈ is displaced ~15.6 Å from the position of the cognate Sfh1 structural unit (Figure 1E), indicating that Sfh3 crystallized in a “open” conformation analogous to that reported for the phospholipid–free apo-Sec14.

Superposition of Sec14/Sfh1 PtdIns-binding module onto the Sfh3 structure showed that the PtdIns-binding strategy is conserved in Sfh3. Accordingly, we generated the sfh3T264W mutant specifically defective in PtdIns binding due to a steric incompatibility between the bulky Trp side chain and the PtdIns headgroup phosphate (Figure 1F). Sfh3 is an active PtdIns-transfer protein in vitro, with a specific PtdIns-transfer activity ~30% that of Sec14, and, as expected, sfh3T264W was strongly defective in PtdIns-transfer activity (Figure 1G). The mutant sfh3T264W is otherwise well behaved, as it 1) was stably expressed both as a bacterial recombinant protein and in yeast; 2) like Sfh3, purifies cleanly as a dimer (Supplemental Figure S1C); and 3) is shown by circular dichroism analyses to be well structured, with no indication of being prone to unfolding (Supplemental Figure S1, D and E).

Like Sec14, Sfh3 potentiates PtdIns-4-P production in vivo. This property was assessed in a sec14Δ cki1Δ bypass Sec14 strain, which maintains basal phosphoinositide mass as a result of absence of Sec14. The major PtdIns and phosphoinositide species were measured upon reconstitution of Sec14, Sfh3, or sfh3T264W expression in the sec14Δ cki1Δ strain, and PtdIns-4-P levels were elevated
approximately twofold relative to basal control by Sec14 expression (Figure 1H). By comparison, reconstitution of the system with Sfh3OE evoked an ∼1.5-fold increase in bulk PtdIns-4-P relative to basal controls. Basal PtdIns-4-P levels were indifferent to sfh3T264WOE (Figure 1H), and sfh3T264WOE had no effect on growth of sec14-1ts yeast (unpublished data). We thereby consider sfh3T264W OE to be a functional null.

**Novel features of the Sfh3 fold**

Whereas the core fold is conserved between Sec14 and Sfh proteins, the “open” structures differed in several major respects (Supplemental Figures S2 and S3). These differences are detailed in the Supplemental Text. Four highlights are summarized here. First, the string motif lies behind the β-sheet floor of the lipid-binding pockets of Sec14-like proteins, and this substructure both reinforces the floor of the phospholipid-binding pocket and harbors critical components of the conformational switch elements that gate access to the binding pocket. The Sfh3 string motif was extended relative to Sec14/Sfh1 by a β-strand (B6) and two helices (Supplemental Figure S2A). Second, interpretable electron density for the N-terminal half of helix A7 (210VPGNSK217) was missing from the Sfh3 electron density map (Supplemental Figure S2B). In apo-Sec14 and
### SeMet-Sfh3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAD wavelength, Å</td>
<td>0.97820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution, Å</td>
<td>1.93 (2.0–1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁2₁2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions, Å</td>
<td>a = 52.5, b = 114.7, c = 144.7, α = β = γ = 90.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections</td>
<td>338,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{sym}} ) (highest shell), %</td>
<td>7.3 (28.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (highest shell), %</td>
<td>99.9 (99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average redundancy (highest shell), %</td>
<td>5.1 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I/\sigma )</td>
<td>28.9 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monomers per asymmetric unit (AU)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of protein atoms/AU</td>
<td>2605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of waters/AU</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{working}} ) (( R_{\text{free}} )), %</td>
<td>18.9 (22.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B factors, Å²</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root-mean-square deviations</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parentheses indicate highest shell.

\( R_{\text{sym}} = \frac{\sum \mid F_{\text{o}} \mid - \mid F_{\text{c}} \mid}{\sum \mid F_{\text{o}} \mid}, \) where \( F_{\text{o}} \) is the observed intensity and \( F_{\text{c}} \) is the average intensity of several symmetry-related observations.

\( R_{\text{working}} = \frac{\sum \mid F_{\text{o}} \mid - \mid F_{\text{c}} \mid}{\sum \mid F_{\text{o}} \mid} \) and \( R_{\text{free}} = \frac{\sum \mid F_{\text{o}} \mid - \mid F_{\text{c}} \mid}{\sum \mid F_{\text{o}} \mid} \) for 7% of the data not used at any stage of the structural refinement, where \( F_{\text{o}} \) and \( F_{\text{c}} \) are the observed and calculated structure factors, respectively.

### TABLE 1: Data collection and refinement statistics.

holo-Sfh1 structures, the corresponding motif is well ordered and produces a pronounced bend in the cognate helix (Sha et al., 1998; Schaar et al., 2008). The presumed conformational flexibility of the 2₁₀VPGNSKIP₂₁₇ motif rendered the Sfh3 lipid-binding pocket wider and shallower than those of the PtdIns/PtdCho-binding proteins Sec14 and Sfh1 (Supplemental Figure S2B). Third, electrostatic charge distribution across the Sfh3 lipid-binding pocket exhibited a polarity reverse to those of the Sec14 and Sfh1 lipid-binding pockets (Supplemental Figure S2C). Thus the Sfh3 lipid-binding pocket defines a chemical environment radically different from the pocket chemical environments of the classic Sec14 and Sfh1 PITPs. Finally, alignment of 66 fungal Sfh3 orthologues demonstrated that PITPs of this class unanimously diverge from Sec14 orthologues in the PtdCho headgroup coordinating region, accounting for why Sfh3 is not a PtdCho-transfer protein (Supplemental Figure S3).

### Sfh3 associates with LDs

The striking functional differences between Sfh3 and Sec14 indicate that the functional coupling of these PITPs is very different, even though these proteins share similar structural folds and both stimulate PtdIns-4-P synthesis in vivo. In that regard, Sfh3 was previously annotated as an LD-associated protein based on imaging experiments using Sfh3-EGFP and Nile red fluorescence pairs (Schnabl et al., 2003). Confident assignment of those punctate structures as LDs using Nile red as LD marker is problematic since Nile red and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) both excite at 488 nm and exhibit emission overlap (Greenspan et al., 1985; Wolinski and Kohlwein, 2008). However, we found that Sfh3-GFP and the Erg6-RFP LD marker protein colocalized in living yeast, with an Sfh3-GFP pool also detected on plasma membrane and/or peripheral ER (Figure 2A). The sfh3^T264W^-GFP PtdIns-binding mutant similarly homed to LDs. Biochemical experiments supported the imaging data, as both Sfh3 and sfh3^T264W fractionated with highly purified LDs (Figure 2B). We therefore conclude that Sfh3 is a bona fide LD protein. Using Pet10, an LD marker protein (Wang and Lee, 2012), to normalize the data, we found that sfh3^T264W showed a reproducible 1.5-fold enrichment in LD fractions relative to Sfh3. That cytosolic pools were observed for both Sfh3 and sfh3^T264W suggests that both proteins engage in dynamic associations with LDs and that PtdIns-binding does not play a determining role in controlling Sfh3 association with, or dissociation from, LD surfaces.

### Developmental regulation of Sfh3 targeting to LDs

Although Sfh3 associated with all LDs in haploid (see earlier discussion) and vegetative diploid (unpublished data) cells, different results were obtained when Sfh3 distribution was examined in sporulating diploids. Sporulation is a natural developmental response of yeast to nutrient starvation. During sporulation, four haploid nuclei are produced within the mother cell cytoplasm by meiosis. Each nucleus is packaged within a novel envelope: the prospore membrane (PSM). Formation of the PSM requires execution of a high-capacity program for internal membrane synthesis, one that demands reconfiguration of membrane trafficking through the secretory pathway and is essential for production of viable spores (Neiman, 1998). PSM biogenesis further requires developmentally regulated hydrolysis of PtdCho to PtdOH catalyzed by phospholipase D (Rose et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998).

In sporulating yeast, Sfh3 localized to a series of tightly clustered LDs distributed along the ascil side of PSMs labeled with RFP-Spo2051-91 (Nakanishi et al., 2007; Figure 2C). This distribution was reminiscent of electron microscope studies that documented a close apposition of LDs along the forming PSM in sporulating cells (Lynn and Magee, 1970). Triple-label imaging experiments demonstrated that, in contrast to vegetative cells (Figure 2A), Sfh3 targeted to a specific subset of Erg6-red fluorescent protein (RFP)-marked LDs that were closely associated with the PSM (Figure 2D). As was the case in vegetative cells, the LD-resident Erg6-RFP associated with all LDs in sporulating yeast (Figure 3A).

To further characterize the unexpected LD heterogeneity in meiotic cells, we examined the localization profiles of the other major LD resident proteins during meiosis II and in postmeiotic cells. Again, the PSMs were labeled with a fluorescent marker, whereas LDs were specifically stained with BODIPY-TR methyl ester. Three distinct localization patterns were discerned in these analyses. Class I LD proteins (Erg6, Tgl3, Bsc2, Fat1, Tgl5) showed extensive overlap with the general LD stain during meiosis II, and class I LD proteins partitioned both to spore and ascus cyttoplasm in postmeiotic cells (Figure 3A). Class II LD proteins (Sfh3, Yeh1, Tgl4, Rtt8, Tgl1) were selectively concentrated on a PSM-associated LD pool during meiosis II and were excluded from the spore cytoplasm in postmeiotic cells (Figure 3B). Class III LD proteins (Pet10, Erg7) displayed a profile inverse to that of Sfh3—that is, localization to an LD pool not consistently associated with the PSM during meiosis II and entirely contained within the spore cytoplasm in postmeiotic cells (Figure 3C). Thus, our results identified at least two subpools of LDs and three classes of LD proteins in yeast undergoing meiosis.
Sfh3 is an LD-associated protein. (A) Yeast expressing Sfh3-GFP or sfh3T264W-GFP and Erg6-RFP from their endogenous promoters were cultured to logarithmic growth phase in minimum medium. Fluorescence images are shown along with their corresponding DIC images. Scale bar, 5 μm. (B) Purified LD fractions were prepared from sfh3Δ strains carrying SFH3 or sfh3T264W CEN expression plasmids, as indicated. Pet10, Sfh3, Sec14, Adh1, Sso1, and Sac1 were visualized by immunoblotting using specific polyclonal antibodies against each protein. Equal cell equivalents of whole-cell lysate (WCL) and purified LD fraction were loaded for each individual query protein blot. Purified LD fractions loaded had 10 cell equivalents per 1 equivalent in the WCL fraction in each protein query blot. In all cases, Sec14 served as dual TGN protein and PITP control. Adh1 served as a cytosolic control. Sso1 and Sac1 served as plasma membrane and ER controls, respectively. (C, D) Sfh3 associates with a specific LD population during meiosis. (C) Diploid cells expressing Sfh3-GFP and the red fluorescence PSM marker RFP-Spo2051-91 were examined during and after completion of meiosis II. Right, line scans for both Sfh3-GFP and Erg6-RFP were examined during and after completion of meiosis II. Left, overlay of Sfh3-GFP and Erg6-RFP. (D) Cells expressing Sfh3-GFP and Erg6-RFP, and the PSM marker mTagBFP-Spo2051-91 were examined after its completion (bottom). Also shown are overlays between the various signals. Arrowheads identify LDs labeled with both Sfh3-GFP and Erg6-RFP. Those LDs associate with the ascal side of PSM. Arrows identify LDs labeled with Erg6-RFP but not Sfh3-GFP. These droplets are inside the lumen of the PSM-limited compartment. Right, cartoon representations of signals identified by the arrows or arrowheads. Green dots represent Sfh3-GFP signal; red dots represent Erg6-RFP signals; yellow dots represent the colocalization between Sfh3-GFP and Erg6-RFP. PSMs are shown as blue oblongs (during meiosis II) and blue circles (completion of meiosis II).

Sfh3 inhibits spore formation

To determine whether Sfh3 activity modulates the sporulation program, we constructed isogenic homozygous diploid strains expressing variable levels of Sfh3. These diploids were first evaluated for sporulation competence after 5 d of nutrient deprivation. Both WT and sfh3Δ diploids exhibited excellent sporulation frequencies, and sfh3Δ diploids were modestly, but reproducibly, more efficient in this regard (Supplemental Table S1). Sfh3OE diploids were severely sporulation deficient, however, with only a minor fraction of cells presenting morphologically recognizable spores. Sfh3OE-dependent inhibition of sporulation required Sfh3 PtdIns-binding activity, as sfh3T264WOE diploids exhibited wild-type sporulation frequencies.

To identify the stage at which the sporulation program fails in Sfh3OE diploids, we compared the efficiencies for completing meiotic divisions with sporulation efficiencies in end-point imaging assays after 24 and 48 h of nutrient deprivation. Completion of meiosis II in sporulating was diagnosed by formation of four haploid nuclei. WT

subpool, marked by Sfh3, associated specifically with the ascal face of the forming PSM. The second, marked by Pet10, was inherited by the spores. Every LD protein analyzed here decorated all LDs in vegetative cells (unpublished data).
The inability of Sfh3OE diploids to form morphologically recognizable spores upon completion of meiosis II suggested failure in construction of the PSMs that package each haploid nucleus, a failure made more interesting by the specific targeting of Sfh3 to PSM-associated LDs. PSM biogenesis requires developmentally regulated hydrolysis of PtdCho to PtdOH catalyzed by phospholipase D (Rose et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998). Thus, formation of this structure was evaluated both by Nomarski (differential interface contrast [DIC]) optics and by monitoring intracellular distribution of the RFP-Spo2051-91 PtdOH biosensor. In vegetative cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 specifically labeled the plasma membrane (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis). In those cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 was not associated with replicated genomes. Even during early stages of sporulation, organized relocation of RFP-Spo2051-91 reporter to juxtanuclear positions (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis) was only rarely observed (~10% of sporulating cells).

The four haploid nuclei of sporulated WT and sfh3Δ diploids were efficiently encapsulated by morphologically correct spore walls, and H2B-GFP/RFP-Spo2051-91 double-label imaging confirmed the DIC results (Figure 4, A and B). In both WT and sfh3Δ diploids, RFP-Spo2051-91 specifically labeled the plasma membrane of uninucleate cells. By contrast, the reporter lined the spore plasma membranes of multinucleate cells with mature spores. Multinucleate cells lacking spore walls were prevalent in meiotic Sfh3OE diploids (~75% of sporulating cells; Figure 4B). In those cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 was not associated with replicated genomes. Even during early stages of sporulation, organized relocation of RFP-Spo2051-91 reporter to juxtanuclear positions (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis) was only rarely observed (~10% of sporulating cells).

The RFP-Spo2051-91 data diagnosed failures in PSM biogenesis under Sfh3OE conditions. Given that RFP-Spo2051-91 peripherally associates with PSMs, we confirmed the biogenic defects by following a PSM integral membrane protein marker during the spindle poles, and the marked structure subsequently progresses through a series of discrete morphological transformations until it resolves into an envelope that surrounds the nucleus (Nakanishi et al., 2006; Diamond et al., 2009).

The inability of Sfh3OE diploids to form morphologically recognizable spores upon completion of meiosis II suggested failure in construction of the PSMs that package each haploid nucleus, a failure made more interesting by the specific targeting of Sfh3 to PSM-associated LDs. PSM biogenesis requires developmentally regulated hydrolysis of PtdCho to PtdOH catalyzed by phospholipase D (Rose et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998). Thus, formation of this structure was evaluated both by Nomarski (differential interface contrast [DIC]) optics and by monitoring intracellular distribution of the RFP-Spo2051-91 PtdOH biosensor. In vegetative cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 specifically labeled the plasma membrane (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis). In those cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 was not associated with replicated genomes. Even during early stages of sporulation, organized relocation of RFP-Spo2051-91 reporter to juxtanuclear positions (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis) was only rarely observed (~10% of sporulating cells).

The RFP-Spo2051-91 data diagnosed failures in PSM biogenesis under Sfh3OE conditions. Given that RFP-Spo2051-91 peripherally associates with PSMs, we confirmed the biogenic defects by following a PSM integral membrane protein marker during the spindle poles, and the marked structure subsequently progresses through a series of discrete morphological transformations until it resolves into an envelope that surrounds the nucleus (Nakanishi et al., 2006; Diamond et al., 2009).

The four haploid nuclei of sporulated WT and sfh3Δ diploids were efficiently encapsulated by morphologically correct spore walls, and H2B-GFP/RFP-Spo2051-91 double-label imaging confirmed the DIC results (Figure 4, A and B). In both WT and sfh3Δ diploids, RFP-Spo2051-91 specifically labeled the plasma membrane of uninucleate cells. By contrast, the reporter lined the spore plasma membranes of multinucleate cells with mature spores. Multinucleate cells lacking spore walls were prevalent in meiotic Sfh3OE diploids (~75% of sporulating cells; Figure 4B). In those cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 was not associated with replicated genomes. Even during early stages of sporulation, organized relocation of RFP-Spo2051-91 reporter to juxtanuclear positions (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis) was only rarely observed (~10% of sporulating cells).

The RFP-Spo2051-91 data diagnosed failures in PSM biogenesis under Sfh3OE conditions. Given that RFP-Spo2051-91 peripherally associates with PSMs, we confirmed the biogenic defects by following a PSM integral membrane protein marker during the spindle poles, and the marked structure subsequently progresses through a series of discrete morphological transformations until it resolves into an envelope that surrounds the nucleus (Nakanishi et al., 2006; Diamond et al., 2009).

The four haploid nuclei of sporulated WT and sfh3Δ diploids were efficiently encapsulated by morphologically correct spore walls, and H2B-GFP/RFP-Spo2051-91 double-label imaging confirmed the DIC results (Figure 4, A and B). In both WT and sfh3Δ diploids, RFP-Spo2051-91 specifically labeled the plasma membrane of uninucleate cells. By contrast, the reporter lined the spore plasma membranes of multinucleate cells with mature spores. Multinucleate cells lacking spore walls were prevalent in meiotic Sfh3OE diploids (~75% of sporulating cells; Figure 4B). In those cells, RFP-Spo2051-91 was not associated with replicated genomes. Even during early stages of sporulation, organized relocation of RFP-Spo2051-91 reporter to juxtanuclear positions (diagnostic of active PSM biogenesis) was only rarely observed (~10% of sporulating cells).

The RFP-Spo2051-91 data diagnosed failures in PSM biogenesis under Sfh3OE conditions. Given that RFP-Spo2051-91 peripherally associates with PSMs, we confirmed the biogenic defects by following a PSM integral membrane protein marker during the spindle poles, and the marked structure subsequently progresses through a series of discrete morphological transformations until it resolves into an envelope that surrounds the nucleus (Nakanishi et al., 2006; Diamond et al., 2009).
such productive events were rarely observed in isogenic Sfh3OE contexts (5% of sporulating cells; Figure 4C).

**Sfh3OE supports robust phospholipase D activity**

PSM biogenesis requires developmentally regulated hydrolysis of PtdCho to PtdOH catalyzed by phospholipase D (Rose et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998). One trivial mechanism for why Sfh3OE might evoke PSM biogenic defects is that Sfh3OE inhibits PLD activation. Indeed, the vegetative phenotypes of Sfh3OE yeast (e.g., enhancement of sec14Δ-associated growth defects; see Figure 1B) and the impaired ability of Sfh3OE diploids to complete sporulation were superficially consistent with PLD insufficiencies (Honigberg et al., 1992; Rose et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998; Xie et al., 1998). Moreover, PLD deficiencies nullify all mechanisms for “bypass Sec14” (Xie et al., 1998), and a cardinal phenotype of Sfh3OE vegetative cells was ablation of the “bypass Sec14” phenotypes associated with functional inactivation of 1) the sterol-binding protein Kes1 and 2) the CDP-choline pathway for PtdCho biosynthesis (kes1Δ and cki1Δ; Supplemental Figure S4A). Both qualitative and quantitative measurements of PLD activity by choline release assay indicated that PLD activity was not compromised by Sfh3OE (Supplemental Figure S4, B and C). Instead, Sfh3OE potentiated PLD activity (compare choline release in sec14Δ cki1 vs. sec14Δ cki1 SFH3OE strains; Supplemental Figure S4C). This potentiation was consistent with the functional antagonism between Sec14 and Sfh3 (Figure 1B); given Sec14 deficiencies (Honigberg et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998). One trivial mechanism for why Sfh3OE might evoke PSM biogenic defects is that Sfh3OE inhibits PLD activation. Indeed, the vegetative phenotypes of Sfh3OE yeast (e.g., enhancement of sec14Δ-associated growth defects; see Figure 1B) and the impaired ability of Sfh3OE diploids to complete sporulation were superficially consistent with PLD insufficiencies (Honigberg et al., 1992; Rose et al., 1995; Rudge et al., 1998; Xie et al., 1998). Moreover, PLD deficiencies nullify all mechanisms for “bypass Sec14” (Xie et al., 1998), and a cardinal phenotype of Sfh3OE vegetative cells was ablation of the “bypass Sec14” phenotypes associated with functional inactivation of 1) the sterol-binding protein Kes1 and 2) the CDP-choline pathway for PtdCho biosynthesis (kes1Δ and cki1Δ; Supplemental Figure S4A). Both qualitative and quantitative measurements of PLD activity by choline release assay indicated that PLD activity was not compromised by Sfh3OE (Supplemental Figure S4, B and C). Instead, Sfh3OE potentiated PLD activity (compare choline release in sec14Δ cki1 vs. sec14Δ cki1 SFH3OE strains; Supplemental Figure S4C). This potentiation was consistent with the functional antagonism between Sec14 and Sfh3 (Figure 1B); given Sec14 deficiencies activate PLD by unknown mechanisms (Sreenivas et al., 1998; Xie et al., 1998; Routt et al., 2005).

**Ergosterol ester hydrolase defects partially phenocopy Sfh3OE**

Of the four class II LD proteins that colocalized with Sfh3 to PSM-associated LDs, two are ergosterol ester hydrolases (Yeh1; Tgl1) and one is a TAG hydrolase (Tgl4). This striking partitioning (documented for Tgl1 in Figure 5A) suggested that PSM-associated LDs are uniquely poised for fueling PSM expansion. This idea was consistent with other evidence that LDs associated with the ascus face of the PSM are consumed during spore morphogenesis (Lin et al., 2013). We analyzed the effects of Tgl1 deficiency on PSM formation as an independent test of whether PSM-associated LD utilization was required for expansion of these membranes. Indeed, of the tgl1Δ diploid yeast cells that completed meiosis II, a major fraction failed to package the haploid nuclei within a spore wall (50%). When earlier stages

---

**FIGURE 4:** **SFH3OE** compromises **PSM formation.** (A) Diploid yeast (S288C) were transferred to starvation medium for 4 d and imaged. DNA was labeled with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Cells with four nuclei are identified by red circles. Cells that had produced mature spores were readily apparent by DIC microscopy for WT and sfh3Δ strains but not for the isogenic SFH3OE derivative. (B) WT, sfh3Δ, and SFH3OE cells expressing RFP-Spo2051-91 were imaged. Yeast genomes were marked with histone H2B-GFP. For all strains, RFP-Spo2051-91 localized to the plasma membrane (PM) in vegetative cells (single nuclei). For sporulating WT and sfh3Δ cells (tetrads, triads, and dyads), RFP-Spo2051-91 labeled PSMs but remained targeted to the PMs of SFH3OE cells that had completed meiosis II (multiple nuclei). Bar, 5 μm. (C) WT, sfh3Δ, and SFH3OE diploid cells expressing Dtr1-RFP were imaged after transfer to starvation medium for 12 h. Dtr1-RFP labeled PSMs of WT and sfh3Δ cells (tetrads, triads, and dyads) but remained cytosolic in SFH3OE cells that had completed Meiosis II (multiple nuclei). Bar, 5 μm.
of the sporulation program were monitored, ~70% of the sporulating tgl1Δ diploid cells exhibited defects in the packaging of haploid nuclei within well-formed PSMs (marked with RFP-Spo2051-91 or rfp-Δ). Thus Tgl1-deficient diploids recapitulated Sfh3OE-like defects in PSM biogenesis, albeit in a less penetrant manner. The similarities evident in the PSM biogenic and spo-lation defects of tgl1Δ diploid cells are defective in PSM formation. Homozygous tgl1Δ diploids coexpressing H2B-GFP and either (A) RFP-Spo2051-91 or (B) Dtr1-RFP were imaged. Cells with multiple nuclei that failed to enclose the meiotic products with PSMs were a dominant phenotype for these cells.

**Sfh3 activity and LD homeostasis**

The selective localization of Sfh3 to an LD subpool in sporulating cells precluded direct functional characterization of how Sfh3 modulates LD metabolism during the meiotic program. Thus the relationships between Sfh3 activity and LD homeostasis were surveyed with BODIPY staining in vegetative haploid cells grown with glucose as carbon source and Sfh3 dosage as experimental variable (Figure 6A).

LD lipidomics

No gross derangements in LD structure/morphology were observed in sfh3Δ and Sfh3OE yeast relative to WT cells (Supplemental Figure S5B). To probe for biochemical changes, we profiled the glycerophospholipid and neutral lipid compositions of LDs purified from WT, sfh3Δ, and Sfh3OE yeast (Supplemental Tables S3–S5). No significant differences were recorded in the lipidomic profiles. In all cases, PtdCho was the most abundant phospholipid species, followed by PtdEtn and PtdSer were enriched in LDs relative to bulk membranes (15 and 6 mol%, respectively; unpublished data). SE and TAG molecular species pro-

LDs were stained with BODIPY-TR. PSM was labeled with mTagBFP-Spo2051-91. Tgl1 was tagged with GFP. (B, C) Homozygous tgl1Δ diploid cells were imaged. Cells with multiple nuclei that failed to enclose the meiotic products with PSMs were a dominant phenotype for these cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGURE 5: Defects in the LD-resident Tgl1 lipase compromise PSM formation. (A) Tgl1 is associated with LDs that line the ascal face of the PSM. The ascal face of the PSM. LDs were stained with BODIPY-TR. PSM was labeled with mTagBFP-Spo2051-91. Tgl1 was tagged with GFP. (B, C) Homozygous tgl1Δ diploid cells are defective in PSM formation. Homozygous tgl1Δ diploids coexpressing H2B-GFP and either (A) RFP-Spo2051-91 or (B) Dtr1-RFP were imaged. Cells with multiple nuclei that failed to enclose the meiotic products with PSMs were a dominant phenotype for these cells.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Tgl1-GFP</td>
<td>BODIPY-TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgl1-GFP/ BODIPY-TR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFP-Spo2051-91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgl1-GFP/ BFP-Spo2051-91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY-TR/ BFP-Spo2051-91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgl1-GFP/ BODIPY-TR/ BFP-Spo2051-91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>H2B -GFP</td>
<td>RFP -Spo2051-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tgl1Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>H2B -GFP</td>
<td>DTR1 -RFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tgl1Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sfh3 inhibits LD utilization**

We sought to distinguish whether Sfh3 enhanced neutral lipid al-
location into the LD pool or retarded neutral lipid mobilization from LD stores. A [3H]oleate pulse-radiolabeling regimen assessed whether LD expansion in Sfh3OE cells resulted from elevated
neutral lipid synthesis. Those experiments demonstrated that WT, sfh3Δ, and Sfh3OE cells exhibit comparable rates of [3H]oleate incorporation into TAG and SE (Figure 7A). To analyze LD consumption, we measured lipolysis-mediated glycerol release for WT, sfh3Δ, and Sfh3OE yeast challenged with glucose and nitrogen deprivation. Whereas WT cells showed rapid and sustained release of free glycerol upon starvation, this response was strongly diminished in starved Sfh3OE cells. No reductions in glycerol release were recorded for sfh3T264WOE cells relative to WT (these cells express endogenous Sfh3), whereas sfh3Δ cells produced the most vigorous release of free glycerol upon nutrient deprivation (Figure 7B).

In vivo TAG catabolism was independently measured as reporter of LD utilization. Again, Sfh3OE cells showed delayed TAG degradation relative to WT cells when shifted from stationary phase into fresh medium dosed with cerulenin, a potent inhibitor of fatty acid synthesis (Figure 7C). Reciprocally, sfh3Δ cells exhibited substantially increased rates of TAG degradation. Taken together, these data established that Sfh3 inhibits neutral lipid mobilization from LD stores.

**Elevated PtdIns-4-P inhibits LD utilization and spore formation**

The PtdIns-binding requirement for Sfh3 to inhibit PSM formation in sporulating diploid cells and bulk LD utilization in vegetative cells suggested that a pool of PtdIns-4-P is channeled toward inhibition of LD utilization. If so, Sfh3-independent elevation of PtdIns-4-P is predicted to phenocopy Sfh3OE with regard to LD homeostasis. Sac1, the major yeast PtdIns-4-P phosphatase, is an integral membrane protein of the ER and Golgi systems, and sac1Δ mutants accumulate high levels of PtdIns-4-P (Guo et al., 1999; Rivas et al., 1999). Indeed, BODIPY 493/503 staining reported a threefold to fourfold increase in LD load in sac1Δ cells compared with WT (Figure 8A). Expression of the catalytic-dead sac1C392S failed to reverse LD accumulation in sac1Δ cells, establishing a Sac1 phosphoinositide phosphatase activity requirement for LD homeostasis. Our finding that Sac1KDD (a catalytically active enzyme that fails to exit the ER; Kurat et al., 2006) restored normal LD homeostasis suggested that LD accumulation in sac1Δ cells was provoked via an ER-localized PtdIns-4-P pool (Figure 8A).

Biochemical measurements were congruent with the imaging data. Neutral lipid analyses reported ~3- and 1.5-fold increase in the SE and TAG mass, respectively, in sac1Δ cells relative to isogenic WT controls (Figure 8, B and C). Expression of Sac1KDD, but not of the catalytic-dead sac1C392S, corrected neutral lipid accumulation defects associated with sac1Δ, confirming that Sac1 phosphoinositide phosphatase activity was essential for maintenance of proper neutral lipid homeostasis. [3H]Oleate pulse-radiolabeling experiments reported that sac1Δ mutants did not exhibit increased rates of
neutral lipid synthesis (Figure 8D). Instead, glycerol release measurements confirmed that LD homeostatic derangements reflected diminished LD utilization in sac1Δ and sac1Δc392s cells and that expression of either Sac1 or Sac1ΔC392S corrected these LD consumption defects (Figure 8E). To identify which of the two major yeast PtdIns 4-OH kinases (Pik1 and Stt4) might generate the PtdIns-4-P pool from this perspective that we comment on annotation of Sfh3 as one component of a tuning (or timing) mechanism for modulating rates of LD consumption. We propose that this Sfh3/LD relationship is the principle that underlies the superficial association of Sfh3 activity with pleiotropic drug resistance phenotypes, an association that depends on how the experiments are performed. It is from this perspective that we comment on annotation of Sfh3 as Pdr16 on the basis of pleiotropic drug resistance phenotypes associating rates of LD consumption. We further document an unexpectedly complex heterogeneity of LD pools during diploid yeast sporulation and that Sfh3-mediated regulation of LD utilization influences the efficiency of this developmental stress response.

**Sfh3 and LD metabolism**

Several lines of genetic and biochemical evidence identify Sfh3 as an inhibitor of neutral lipid mobilization from LDs. Because Sfh3 is required for neither LD production nor degradation, we interpret Sfh3 as one component of a tuning (or timing) mechanism for modulating rates of LD consumption. We propose that this Sfh3/LD relationship is the principle that underlies the superficial association of Sfh3 activity with pleiotropic drug resistance phenotypes, an association that depends on how the experiments are performed. It is from this perspective that we comment on annotation of Sfh3 as an inhibitor of neutral lipid mobilization from LDs. Because Sfh3 is required for neither LD production nor degradation, we interpret Sfh3 as one component of a tuning (or timing) mechanism for modulating rates of LD consumption. We propose that this Sfh3/LD relationship is the principle that underlies the superficial association of Sfh3 activity with pleiotropic drug resistance phenotypes, an association that depends on how the experiments are performed. It is from this perspective that we comment on annotation of Sfh3 as Pdr16 on the basis of pleiotropic drug resistance phenotypes associating rates of LD consumption. We further document an unexpectedly complex heterogeneity of LD pools during diploid yeast sporulation and that Sfh3-mediated regulation of LD utilization influences the efficiency of this developmental stress response.

**Developmental programs for LD utilization**

Sfh3 tuning/timing mechanisms would be advantageous under conditions in which vigorous fatty acid flux must be delicately balanced with the need to shelter precious cellular resources from oxidative damage. Such a situation is confronted by diploid yeast dually challenged with carbon and nitrogen deprivation. Under
those conditions, diploid cells induce a robust program of PSM biogenesis in which a massive flux of fatty acid and lipid is channelled toward the ordered sequestration of the haploid genomes produced by meiosis. Sfh3 localization to a specific subpopulation of LDs associated with the ascalside of the PSM suggests that LD metabolism is closely coordinated with PSM expansion. Supporting evidence comes from our demonstration that PSM biogenesis is compromised in Sfh3OE and tgl1Δ diploid cells.

Finally, our demonstration that LD components differ between individual LD pools in the same cell during sporulation (i.e., a developmental stress response unique to diploid yeast cells) indicates that LD subpools are functionally diversified during meiosis. The striking enrichment of neutral lipid hydrolases to the PSM-associated LDs suggests that this LD subpool is uniquely engineered for consumption into PSM biogenesis and that Sfh3 tunes the rate and/or timing of neutral lipid mobilization from this specific LD pool. This novel concept is invisible to haploid yeast studies that dominate contemporary cell biological and functional genomics efforts and to LD proteomics studies that can only report bulk-averaged profiles. Whereas this Sfh3 tuning/timing role is dispensable for formation of viable spores, it is interesting to ask whether spore hardiness or meiotic genome quality is affected when spores are produced in the absence of Sfh3.
with concepts for how Sec14-like PITPs regulate PtdIns-4-P signaling (Schaaf et al., 2008; Bankaitis et al., 2009), we posit that Sfh3 stimulates production of a specific PtdIns-4-P pool dedicated to control of LD utilization. Although Sac1 deficiencies closely phenocopy Sfh3OE, one difference is that rates of TAG lipolysis are more strongly inhibited by Sfh3OE than by Sac1 deficiencies. Given that Sac1 catalytic activity is relevant to LD utilization, we interpret this difference to reflect an inefficient “spilling” of excess PtdIns-4-P in sac1Δ mutants into the LD-relevant PtdIns-4-P pool. In LD utilization experiments that measure initial rates of lipolysis, such an inefficient mechanism would present attenuated effects on LD consumption rates. However, the effects would still be apparent in steady-state measurements (i.e., bulk TAG and SE measurements).

What is the nature of the proposed Sfh3-regulated PtdIns-4-P pool whose signaling is channeled to LD metabolism? One possibility is the operant PtdIns-4-P pool resides on the LD surface, although attempts to detect such a pool using PtdIns-4-P biosensors (e.g., FAPP1 PH domain) failed. Alternatively, PtdIns-4-P regulation of LD metabolism might be ectopically transmitted from another organelle, as LDs are closely apposed to ER membranes (Binnis et al., 2006; Zehmer et al., 2009). Rescue of sac1Δ LD defects by an ER-trapped Sac1 PtdIns-4-P phosphatase supports such a possibility. The TGN/endosomal system, which harbors significant Pik1-dependent PtdIns-4-P pools, is another candidate source for transmitting PtdIns-4-P signaling to LDs. Either way, as we find no obvious lipid specificity in Sfh3-mediated inhibition of LD utilization (there is a balanced accumulation of all LD constituents in Sfh3OE cells), Sfh3-mediated regulation is not directed at one particular class of lipase. Instead, lipolysis is generally inhibited.

A role for PtdIns-4-P in control of LD metabolism is consistent with other observations. For example, a potential link between PtdIns-4-P and LD metabolism is suggested in cells infected with hepatitis C virus. During infection, elevation in cellular PtdIns-4-P coincides with LD accumulation, and increased PtdIns-4-P and LD accumulations are both required for production of infectious virus (Miyanari et al., 2007; Fukasawa, 2010; Zhang et al., 2012). Moreover, proteomic analyses identify ARF GTPase, subunits of the COPI complex, and Rab GTPases as constituents of the LD surface (Binnis et al., 2006; Bartz et al., 2007), and genome-wide screens in yeast and Drosophila link the activities of these same membrane-trafficking regulators to LD homeostasis (Szymanski et al., 2007; Beller et al., 2008; Fei et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2008). Although the underlying mechanisms remain to be established, it is suggested that recruitment of an active vesicle biogenic machinery to LD surfaces promotes lipolysis by stimulating fission of small LDs from mother LDs. Such fission events are proposed to facilitate lipase access to LD lipids (Walther and Farese, 2009; Brasaeeme and Wolins, 2012). Our data demonstrating an antagonistic involvement of PtdIns-4-P in LD lipolysis suggest that activity of these components on the LD surface might be inhibited, either directly or indirectly, by an Sfh3-dependent PtdIns-4-P pool.

PITP specificity in LD homeostasis
Sec14-like PITPs function not as transfer proteins, but as PtdIns-presentation scaffolds that potentiate the biologically insufficient activities of PtdIns 4-OH kinases (Schaaf et al., 2008; Bankaitis et al., 2009). Cell biological and structural data indicate that classic Sec14-like PITPs (Sec14, Sfh1) present PtdIns to PtdIns 4-OH kinases in a manner that requires priming by a second ligand (PtdCho) and that the chemical nature of that priming ligand instructs channeling of the expanded phosphoinositide pool to a specific physiological outcome (Schaaf et al., 2008; Bankaitis et al., 2009; Nile et al., 2010; Kono et al., 2013). That is, individual PITP action specifies functionally distinct PtdIns-4-P pools.

In this regard, the PITP specificities in LD homeostatic circuits are striking. No other yeast Sec14-like PITP, including the related Sfh4, can execute Sfh3-like functions in control of LD utilization. Although this functional specificity likely involves the LD-targeting properties of Sfh3, another contributing factor may be the mechanism by which Sfh3 stimulates PtdIns-4-P synthesis. The lipid-binding pockets of Sfh3 and its orthologues present structural and chemical environments that accommodate PtdIns binding but otherwise radically differ from those of Sec14 orthologues (e.g., in the PtdCho-binding barcode). These structural distinctions forecast that Sfh3-like proteins prime PtdIns-4-P synthesis in response to distinct sets of lipophiles. In that regard, the antagonistic effects of Sfh3 activity on essential Sec14- and Pik1-dependent vegetative functions 1) highlight that Sfh3 and Sec14 channel PtdIns-4-P synthesis/signaling toward distinct biological outcomes and 2) indicate that this differential channeling is competitive. We interpret these data as indicating that bulk PtdIns-4-P synthesis/signaling is functionally partitioned by PITPs, in this case according to the fractional influences of Sfh3 versus Sec14 on total cellular Pik1 PtdIns-4-OH kinase activity (Figure 9).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents
Standard reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). All lipids were purchased from Avanti (Alabaster, AL). LD540 was provided by Christoph Thiele (Max Planck Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Dresden, Germany).

Yeast strains and media
Yeast strains CTY182 (MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 Δhis3-200, CTY1-1A (MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 Δhis3-200 sec14-1ts), and CYT303 (MATa ura3-52 cki1 Δhis3-200 sec14A::HISG) were previously described (Bankaitis et al., 1989, 1990; Cleves et al., 1991). Yeast strains BY4741 (MATa his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 and sfh3Δ (MATa his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 sfh3Δ::KanMX) were purchased from thermo Scientific Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL). Yeast strains AN117-4B (MATα arg4-4Np slt35K ho::Lys2 leu2 lys2 rme1::LEU2 trp1::hisG ura3) and AN117-16D (MATa his3/3K ho::Lys2 leu2 lys2 trp1::hisG ura3) were previously reported (Neiman et al., 2000). Sfh3OE strains (MATα his3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0 PMA1-SFH3::URA3) and (MATα arg4-4Np slt35K ho::Lys2 leu2 lys2 rme1::LEU2 trp1::hisG ura3 PMA1-SFH3::URA3) were constructed by integrating the SFH3 open reading frame under control of the PMA1 promoter into the ura3Δ0 locus of strains BY4741 and AN17-4B. Strains SFH3::GFP (HIS3) and ERG6::RFP (HIS3) were constructed by genomic integration of GFP or RFP at the C-terminus of Sfh3 or Erg6 by homologous recombination. Strains containing SFH3::GFP (HIS3) ERG6::RFP (HIS3) were constructed by standard crosses and tetrad dissection. All the diploid yeast were generated by standard crosses. Plasmid pRS426-R20 is a PTEF::RFP-Spo20Δ construct.

Media included YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% bactopeptone, and 2% glucose), SD (0.67% nitrogen base, 2% glucose with amino acids supplemented), YPA (2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% potassium acetate), and starvation medium (2% potassium acetate with amino acids supplemented).
FIGURE 9: Differential partitioning of PtdIns-4-P signaling outcomes by Sfh3 and Sec14. The PtdIns-4-P pools generated by action of a PtdIns 4-OH kinase (we presently favor Pik1) are channeled toward different biological outcomes. The pool generated by collaboration of Sec14 with the PtdIns 4-OH kinase channels to regulation of TGN/endosomal membrane trafficking, whereas the pool whose production is potentiated by Sfh3 is channeled toward control of LD utilization. We propose this to be a competitive design, as the fractional balance between Sfh3/kinase interactions and Sec14/kinase interactions will determine allocation of PtdIns-4-P signaling power toward specific cellular outcomes. This concept is on display in cells with reduced Sec14 or Pik1 and increased Sfh3 activities (Figure 1, B and C). A tunable PITP/lipid kinase balance affords considerable flexibility to the cellular PtdIns-4-P signaling landscape.

were incubated with TALON metal affinity resin (Clontech, Mountain View, CA), followed by elution with imidazole (Ren et al., 2011).

Gel filtration
Purified recombinant proteins were subjected to gel filtration chromatography on a S200 (10/300) column (Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ) pre-equilibrated with buffer (25 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM NaN$_3$, pH 7.5) run at 0.4 ml/min. Fractions (0.5 ml) containing peak $A_{280}$ nm absorbance eluted between 12 and 15 ml were pooled, and protein content was quantified using SDS–PAGE gel electrophoresis with internal bovine serum albumin standards.

Phospholipid transfer
Transfer assays measured [$^3$H]PtdIns transport from rat liver microsomes to PtdCho liposomes or [$^{14}$C]PtdCho from liposomes (98 mol% PtdCho, 2 mol% PtdIns) to bovine heart mitochondria (Aitken et al., 1990; Bankaitis et al., 1990; Schaaf et al., 2008).

Confocal microscopy
Yeast cells were cultured in SD medium for 20 h and mounted onto Slab medium (SD medium with 20% gelatin) for microscopic analysis (63×/oil immersion objective; numerical aperture 1.3). Z-stack images were collected using a Zeiss LSM510 (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and compiled to generate three-dimensional projections for visualizing all LDs.

Imaging of sporulating cells
For localization of different LD proteins, strains carrying C-terminal GFP fusions to the protein examined were mated to strain AN117-4B carrying pRS426-mTagBFP-Spo2051-91 as a prospore membrane marker (Neiman et al., 2000; Huh et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2013). The resulting diploids were sporulated and stained with 5 mM BODIPY-TR methyl ester (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) to visualize LDs. Images were collected on a Zeiss AxioObserver Z.1 microscope. All images were processed using AxioVision 4.7 software.

Glycerol release assay
Yeast were cultured in SD medium for 40 h. Cells were then collected, washed three times with water, and resuspended at $\sim 2.5$ OD/ml in 2% potassium acetate supplemented with the required amino acids. Supernatants were collected at different time points, and free glycerol concentrations were determined using Free Glycerol Reagent (F6428; Sigma-Aldrich).

Lipid droplet purification
LDs were purified as described (Leber et al., 1994). Briefly, yeast lysates were prepared in 12% Ficoll 400 solution, followed by centrifugation for 60 min at 28,000 rpm in an SW28 swing bucket rotor (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). The floating layer was collected from the top of the gradient and subjected to a second round of flotation through a discontinuous gradient (12% Ficoll 400 overlaid with 8% Ficoll 400). The floating layer was collected and refloated through a final discontinuous gradient (8% Ficoll 400 overlaid with 0.25 M sorbitol). The LD fraction was collected from the top of the gradient.

Transmission electron microscopy
Cells were cultured in SD minimal media, and 10 OD$_{600}$ of cells were pelleted, fixed with 3% glutaraldehyde, converted to spheroplasts by digestion with Zymolyase, and stained with 2% OsO$_4$ (Adamo et al., 2001). Samples were dehydrated and embedded in Spur’s resin, and 60-nm ultrathin sections were cut with a diamond knife. The sections were stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined with a Tecnai 12 (FEI, Hillsboro, OR) electron microscope.
Neutral and phospho lipidomics

Quantitative profiling of neutral lipids (Hutchins et al., 2008) and phospholipids was performed as described (Ivanova et al., 2007; Myers et al., 2011). Experimental details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Phosphoinositide analyses

Cells were cultured in SD medium, radiolabeled with [3H]inositol to steady state (20 h), and collected by precipitation with trichloroacetic acid. Lipids were extracted and deacylated by incubating cell pellet with methylation reagent (10% methyamine, 45% methanol, and 11% n-butanol) at 55°C for 1 h. Deacylated glycerophosphoinositols were dissolved in water, extracted twice with n-butanol/petroleum ether/ethyl formate (20:4:1 vol/vol/vol), and resolved and quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography (Stolz et al., 1998).

Phospholipase D measurements

PLD activities were assayed by quantifying release of free choline into growth medium using a coupled choline oxidase assay (Li et al., 2000). Details are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Sporulation assay

Diploid yeast cells were cultured in YPD overnight to stationary phase. Cells were washed with water, transferred to YPA medium for another 24 h, and washed with water one final time before being resuspended in starvation medium for at least 2 d.

Crystallization and structure determination

Sfh3 crystals were grown by sitting drop vapor diffusion at 22°C from solutions containing 1 μl of selenomethionine-labeled protein at 5 mg/ml and 1 μl of crystallant: 21% (wt/vol) polyethylene glycol, 5% (wt/vol) glycerol, 100 mM ammonium sulfate, and 100 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.6). Crystals were grown in cryoprotectant and flash-cooled in liquid N2. Data to 1.9-Å resolution were collected at the South East Regional Collaborative Access Team (SERCAT, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL) and processed and scaled with HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). Experimental phases were determined by single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) in SGXpro (Fu et al., 2005). Model building and refinement used phase-combine maps in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and REFMAC 5 (Collaborative Computational Project N, 1994), respectively. The final model contains two molecules of Sfh3 (residues 17–345). Residues 205–220 were not modeled due to lack of interpretable electron density.

Phylogenetic and structural bioinformatics

Fungal Sec14-like homologues were identified using BLAST pairwise similarity searches (Altschul et al., 1997). Protein sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), and multiple sequence alignments (MSAs) were edited according to structural alignment of Sec14 and Sfh3 crystal structures. Sequences were separated into alignments (MSAs) were edited according to structural alignment of Sec14 and Sfh3 based on a superposition with the PtdIns- and PtdCho-bound structures of Sfh1 (PDB IDs 3B7N and 3B7Q, respectively).

Circular dichroism

Purified proteins (0.2 mg/ml) were dialyzed against 4 l of 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5. Spectra were collected between 180 and 250 nm on an AVIV 62DS Circular Dichroism spectrometer (Aviv Instruments, Lakewood, NJ) using a 1-mm-path length cuvette.

Lipid analysis

Yeast strains were grown in synthetic medium (with 2% glucose) to stationary phase. Lipids were isolated from ~100 OD of cells by the method of Holch et al. (1957) with modifications. Briefly, lipids were extracted with chloroform: methanol (2:1) and washed with 1 M KCl. Lipid extracts were resolved by TLC on silica gel 60 plates (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in petroleum ether/diethyl ether/acetone (80:20:1) and visualized with methanolic MnCl2, followed by plate charring. Band intensities were quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) after digital scanning. Standards included trioleylglycerol, dioleoylglycerol, and cholesterol stearate (Sigma-Aldrich).

Analyses of TAG degradation

In vivo analyses of TAG turnover were performed as reported (Kurat et al., 2006), with modifications. Cells were cultured in minimum medium with 2% glucose for 30 h before transfer to fresh minimum medium to a cell density of {\text{600 nm}} = 2 in the presence of 10 μg/ml cerulenin. Aliquots (20 ml) were collected for lipid analyses at the appropriate time points.

[^1H]olate pulse radiolabeling

Incorporation of [\(^3\)H]olate into TAG and SE species was quantified as previously described (Oelkers et al., 2000). Log-phase cultures were pulsed in YPD with [\(^3\)H]olate (1 μCi/ml) for 30 min at 30°C. Total lipids were extracted, resolved by TLC, and stained with iodine vapor. TAG and SE species were identified using trioleylglycerol and cholesterol stearate (Sigma-Aldrich) as standards and individually harvested, and radiolabel in each fraction was determined by liquid scintillation counting.
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