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ABSTRACT

Tetrahymena telomeres usually consist of ~250 bp of $T_2G_4$ repeats, but they can grow to reach a new length set point of up to 900 bp when kept in log culture at 30°C. We have examined the growth profile of individual macronuclear telomeres and have found that the rate and extent of telomere growth is affected by the subtelomeric region. When the sequence of the rDNA subtelomeric region was altered, we observed a decrease in telomere growth regardless of whether the GC content was increased or decreased. In both cases, the ordered structure of the subtelomeric chromatin was disrupted, but the effect on the telomeric complex was relatively minor. Examination of the telomeres from non-rDNA chromosomes showed that each telomere exhibited a unique and characteristic growth profile. The subtelomeric regions from individual chromosome ends did not share common sequence elements and they each had a different chromatin structure. Thus, telomere growth is likely to be regulated by the organization of the subtelomeric chromatin rather than by a specific DNA element. Our findings suggest that at each telomere the telomeric complex and subtelomeric chromatin cooperate to form a unique higher order chromatin structure that controls telomere length.

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres from most organisms exhibit a characteristic mean length that results from a balance between addition of telomeric DNA by telomerase or recombination, and loss of DNA due to incomplete replication or nuclease activity (Greider, 1996; McEachern et al., 2000). If this balance is perturbed, telomeres grow or shrink until a new length set point is reached. Factors that control the balance, and hence regulate telomere length, include telomere and telomerase components, replication and repair proteins, and environmental conditions. In S. cerevisiae, over
a dozen different proteins have been shown to affect telomere length (Bours et al., 1998; Blackburn, 2001), while rapid proliferation and increased culture temperature induce telomere growth in Tetrahymena, trypanosomes, and Candida albicans (Bernards et al., 1983; Larson et al., 1987; McEachern and Hicks, 1993).

In organisms such as Tetrahymena and S. cerevisiae that have relatively short telomeres (250-350 nt), the entire telomeric tract can be packaged into a non-nucleosomal complex (Blackburn and Chiou, 1981; Wright et al., 1992; Cohen and Blackburn, 1998), but in organisms with longer telomeres, the telomeric DNA is bound by a combination of nucleosomes and specialized telomere proteins (Tommerup et al., 1994). Both short and long telomeres appear to be subject to a second level of packaging that involves folding or looping of the telomeric tract to form a more compact higher order structure (Grunstein, 1997; Griffith et al., 1999). In S. cerevisiae, this folding is mediated by protein-protein interactions with the telomere protein Rap1 interacting with SIR proteins bound to nucleosomes along the subtelomeric region (Grunstein, 1997). In vertebrate and plant cells, the folding seems to be mediated by nucleic acid interactions. The single-strand overhang that is present on the telomeric G-strand invades and pairs with an internal portion of the telomeric tract to form a large loop on the end of the chromosome (Giffith et al., 1999; Cesare et al., 2003).

Both the composition of the telomeric complex and telomerase access to the DNA terminus have been shown to change during the cell cycle (Diede and Gottschling, 1999; Marcand et al., 2000; Taggart et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2003). These findings suggest that telomeres cycle between a closed state where the telomeric DNA is heavily protected, and an open less compact state (Blackburn, 2001). Switching between states is thought to reflect opening and closing of the higher order folded structure. Conditions that promote telomere
growth are thought to increase access to telomerase by increasing the time that the telomere remains in the open state and/or decreasing the overall compaction of the terminal DNA-protein complex.

Although higher order packaging of the telomere can involve interactions with the subtelomeric region, for most organisms the extent to which the subtelomeric DNA or chromatin contributes to telomere length regulation is unclear. Most information is available for *S. cerevisiae* where telomere length has been shown to depend on the subtelomeric sequence. In certain genetic backgrounds, chromosomes that lack Y’ elements are regulated differently from those that have both X and Y’ elements (Craven and Petes, 1999). Alteration of the subtelomeric chromatin can also affect length regulation as removal of Sir3 and Sir4 correlates with a decrease telomere length (Palladino *et al.*, 1993). We now add to these studies by showing that, in *Tetrahymena*, the subtelomeric region directly affects telomere growth and final telomere length.

*Tetrahymena thermophila* is useful for studying many aspects of telomere biology because its unusual genomic organization results in an abundance of telomeres and telomerase. Like other ciliates, it has a transcriptionally active macronucleus and a germline, transcriptionally quiescent, micronucleus (Jahn and Klobutcher, 2002). The macronucleus is formed from a copy of the micronucleus during sexual reproduction through a process that involves subdivision of the 5 micronuclear chromosomes into ~200 smaller molecules (Coyne *et al.*, 1996; Yao *et al.*, 2002). Most of these molecules are 200-400 kb in size and are present at a copy number of ~45; however the ribosomal DNA exists as a 21 kb minichromosome that is amplified to a copy number of ~10,000. Each of the ~20,000 macronuclear chromosomes have telomeres composed of 250-300 bp T2G4 repeats. Most of the telomeres exist as a non-nucleosomal DNA-protein complex that extends <50 bp beyond the end of the telomeric tract,
but ~10% have a smaller telomeric complex, so part of the telomeric tract is packaged into nucleosomes (Blackburn and Chiou, 1981; Cohen and Blackburn, 1998). The telomeric tract can be induced to grow to ~900 bp if the cells are maintained in continuous log culture at 30°C (Larson et al., 1987; Ahmed et al., 1998). The underlying cause of this change in length set point is unknown, but the telomeres shrink to their original length when the culture is returned to room temperature. The current study examines the rate and extent of telomere growth at both rDNA and non-rDNA telomeres. We show that the growth profile is heavily influenced by the adjacent subtelomeric region, indicating that this non-telomeric segment of the chromosome cooperates with the telomeric complex to regulate telomerase access to the DNA terminus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tetrahymena growth and transformation**

*Tetrahymena thermophila* cell lines CU428, CU427, B2086 and D1 were grown in PPYS medium (2% protease peptone, 0.2% yeast extract, 0.003% sequestrin) at room temperature or 30°C. Strain D1 was derived from a cross between CU427 and CU428. To promote telomere growth, cultures were maintained in logarithmic growth (maximum cell density of 2.5 x 10^5 cells/ml) at 30°C by diluting daily using pre-warmed 1-2 % PPYS medium. The cultures of D1 CU428 and B2086 used to compare telomere lengths were not grown in parallel. Clones containing the 11 and 14 kb non-palindromic rDNA molecules were generated by exconjugant tranfection using the rDNA vectors D-500 and D-500A that are defective in palindrome formation (Yao et al., 1990; Yasuda and Yao, 1991). Cell lines CU428 and B2086 or CU427 and B2086 were mated and transformed by particle bombardment 10 hrs later (Cassidy-Hanley et al., 1997). Transformants with 11 or 14 kb rDNA molecules were obtained by selection in 2 mg/ml
paramomycin. Clones that lacked the native rDNA were identified by Southern hybridization after growth for several days in the absence of drug.

**Telomere length analysis and generation of subtelomeric probes**

DNA was isolated as previously described (Brehm and Cech, 1983). Telomere length was analyzed by Southern hybridization of restriction digested genomic DNA using subtelomeric or telomeric probes. The median telomere length each length was determined by PhosphorImager analysis of each lane to find the position with maximum signal. Standard deviation was calculated from 3 different growth experiments for rDNA telomeres and from 2 experiments for non-rDNA telomeres. Length heterogeneity was estimated by eye using the PhosphorImager trace to identify the longest and shortest telomeres. To generate probes to 11 kb and 14 kb rDNAs, the minichromosomes were cloned by ligation of adaptors to the telomeric G-strand overhang and the subtelomeric regions were sequenced. Probes to individual non-rDNA chromosomes were generated by PCR using the following primer sets. The numbers that precede the sequence identify the contigs in the *Tetrahymena* data base that encode each chromosome end.

Tel-1; 1172290f1-TGTAGAAATGAAGATAGTAGGATT, 1172290r1-ATGTTATTATATTTTAATTTTAGTTG
1172290f2- GGCAGTTAATATTGATAATTTGTA, 1172290r2-CTCTGCTTGCTTTAAAAGCTC
Tel-2; 1173116f1-GTAATAACCATTAAATAGCTTTAAA, 1173116r1-TTTAAAAGCTATCAATAAATGATGC
Tel-3; 1173090f1-ATTTGGTTGGTCAATCTATGTAAG, 1173090r1-GTAATTAATTATTTATTCTACCTG
Tel-4; 1173014f1-ATTTGGTTGGTCAATCTATGTAAG, 1173014r1-TTGTGGCCTATAAAGTTAATTCC
Tel-5; 1173021f1-GATGCATAAATGAGACAGCTGGTA, 1173021r1-CAACTAATTTATAACCCCAATTCTC
Tel-7; 1173200f1-TGGCTAATGGATAGAGTAGATAAAG, 1173200r1-AAGCTTCTACTCCCGCAACTAAGT
Tel-8; 1173226f1-TGTGGCTAATGGATAGAGTAGATAAAG, 1173226r1-GCTTTACGAATTTATATTTGGCTA
Tel-10; 1172432f1-GCAAGTAAATCTATGAATAATATGAG, 1172432r1-CAAGATATTACCTCCTATGTTTAT
Tel-11; 1173097f1-ATAAGCTGATATATCTCCTACTTTG, 1173097r1-GAAGCTTTATTATGTCTATCTGGAA
Tel-12; 1171891f1-ATATATGAAGGAAATTAGGACAAGAG, 1171891r1-GAAGCTTTATTATGTCTATCTGGAA
Tel-13; 1173043f1-GCTAATAAAATTTTTACTAGG, 1173043r1-AATAATGAAAGTGTGTTCTTAAG
Tel-13; 1173043f3-TAAAATTTTTTTACTATG

Isolation and nuclease digestion of macronuclei.

Macronuclei were isolated essentially as described by Karrer and VanNuland (Karrer and VanNuland, 1999). Briefly, 400 ml cells grown to a density of 3x10^5 cells/ml were pelleted and lysed in 60 ml TMS (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 3 mM CaCl2, 250 mM Sucrose, 1 mM DTT) and 0.16 % Igepal CA-630 (NP40) at 4°C. Sucrose was added to a concentration of 0.816 g/ml and stirred until completely dissolved. The lysate was centrifuged at 9000g for 30 min at 4°C and the pelleted nuclei were washed with TMS prior to micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion.

For MNase digestion, nuclei were resuspended in Buffer A (15 mM Tris 7.5, 60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 0.05 % spermidine phosphate and 1 mM DTT) at a concentration of 200 µg/ml and MNase (Worthington) was added at a concentration of 30 unit/mg nuclei for 2-60 min at 30°C. The reaction was stopped by adding EGTA to 14 mM, and the DNA was purified. Purified macronuclear DNA was digested with 8 units MNase/mg DNA for 1, 2 and 4 min at 30°C. The digestion products were purified and further digested with HindIII or EcoRI. Subtelomeric restriction fragments were visualized by indirect end-labeling using oligonucleotides (for the rDNAs) or PCR products (for the non-rDNAs) that hybridized adjacent to the HindIII or EcoRI site.
RESULTS

Effect of subtelomeric sequence on telomere growth

Although the *Tetrahymena* rDNA is normally a 21 kb palindrome that has two transcription units and two identical telomeres (Fig. 1A) it is possible to generate cells that contain recombinant, non-palindromic rDNAs (Yao *et al.*, 1990; Yasuda and Yao, 1991). These molecules have only one transcription unit and two different telomeric restriction fragments. We were using 11 and 14 kb non-palindromic rDNAs to study telomeric DNA structure when we observed an unexpected difference in the growth rate of telomeres lying adjacent to natural and recombinant subtelomeric regions of the chromosome. This observation suggested that the subtelomeric DNA might be influencing telomere length regulation.

To examine the growth of telomeres with natural and recombinant subtelomeric sequences more closely, we isolated DNA from cells maintained in continuous log culture at 30°C for up to 26 days and determined the length of the telomeric restriction fragments. The 11 and 14 kb molecules used in this study both have the natural subtelomeric sequence at one end (the native telomere, Fig. 1A) and recombinant sequence at the other end (the recombinant telomere). In the 14 kb molecule, the 3 kb of recombinant sequence is derived from the cloning vector used to generate the non-palindromic molecule. This plasmid DNA is removed during formation of the 11 kb rDNA, so the telomere lies directly adjacent to the 5’ NTS of the single remaining transcription unit.

In initial experiments, we examined telomeric restriction fragments from cells carrying the 14 kb rDNA (Fig.1B & C). Hybridization of a telomeric DNA probe to PstI digested rDNA revealed that the length of the telomeric tract from the recombinant telomere was on average ~50 bp shorter than that of the native telomere when cells were maintained under conditions that did
not cause telomere growth (e.g. room temperature or 1 day at 30°C). The fragment from the native telomere was 1.1-1.2 kb and contained ~230-380 bp T2G4 repeat and 835 bp subtelomeric DNA, while the fragment from the recombinant telomere was 700-800 bp and contained 200-340 bp T2G4 repeat and 472 bp subtelomeric sequence (Fig. 1B, lane 1).

On continuous passage at 30°C the length of the native telomere became more heterogeneous and increased by up to 6 bp (1 telomeric repeat) per population doubling (Fig. 1B lanes 2-5) as had been previously observed for wild type cells (Larson et al. 1987). By 10-11 days of growth, the overall length had increased by 250-400 bp to give a total telomere length of 500-800 bp. The length of the recombinant telomere also became more heterogeneous, however the average growth rate was considerably slower with an average increase of only ~1 bp per population doubling. Thus, after 10-11 days growth they were only 250-450 bp long. Both telomeres gradually returned to their original size when the cultures were transferred back to room temperature or kept in non-logarithmic growth (Fig 1B lane 9).

One difference between the native and the 14 kb rDNA is that the vector sequence incorporated into the subtelomeric region of the 14 kb molecule has an ~44% GC base content as compared to 24% GC in the native subtelomeric region and ~30% GC in the total *Tetrahymena* genome (Fig. 2A). To determine whether this change in base composition contributed to the altered telomere growth, we examined the growth profile of telomeres from the 11 kb rDNA molecule because it has a comparable GC base composition in both subtelomeric regions. DNA isolated from clones carrying the 11 kb rDNA was digested with XbaI or HindIII to release the telomeric restriction fragments and hybridized with subtelomeric probes. XbaI cuts the subtelomeric DNA of the recombinant telomere 269 bp internal to the telomeric tract while HindIII cuts the native telomere 360 nt from the telomeric tract. As observed for the 14 kb
rDNA, the recombinant telomere from the 11 kb rDNA initially had a slightly shorter telomeric tract than the native telomere, and upon continuous culture, the two telomeres again showed a difference in growth rate (Fig. 2B). As before, the native telomere grew by as much as 6 bp per population doubling, while the recombinant telomere grew at a rate of \(~1.4\) bp per doubling. We therefore conclude that alteration of the subtelomeric sequence can lead to changes in telomere growth, however these changes do not correlate with the subtelomeric base composition in any simple way.

**Telomere growth and subtelomeric chromatin structure**

The native *Tetrahymena* rDNA has a very characteristic chromatin structure that consists of three positioned nucleosomes along each 3’ NTS and seven positioned nucleosomes along the 5’ NTS at the center of the palindrome (Fig. 3A) (Blackburn and Chiou, 1981; Palen and Cech, 1984; Budarf and Blackburn, 1986; Cohen and Blackburn, 1998). Although the cause of the nucleosome positioning in the 3’ NTS is unknown, it is likely to be determined either by the underlying DNA sequence or by the telomeric complex serving as a boundary element. If positioning depends on the DNA sequence, we might expect it to be disrupted along the recombinant subtelomeric region of the 11 and 14 kb rDNAs. Such an alteration in chromatin structure might, in turn, cause the lower growth rate of the recombinant telomere. Given this possibility, we decided to use micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion to compare the chromatin structure within the recombinant and natural subtelomeric regions.

The 11 and 14 kb rDNAs have different restriction sites at the two telomeres, so we first examined the MNase digestion pattern using probes that hybridized a set distance (40 or 400 nt) in from the junction between the telomeric tract and the subtelomeric DNA. Macronuclei were
isolated from cultures carrying 11 or 14 kb rDNA molecules, digested with MNase and the subtelomeric digestion products identified by Southern blotting. As expected, a very distinct pattern of products was observed with probes that hybridized to the native subtelomeric region (Fig. 3B and data not shown). This pattern was identical for the 11 and 14 kb molecules and corresponded to the three positioned nucleosomes in the 3’ NTS. However, the pattern obtained with probes to the recombinant subtelomeric region was quite different. For the 14 kb rDNA, the banding pattern close to the telomeric tract was indistinct, but the chromatin was more resistant to digestion than the deproteinized DNA controls. This indicates that nucleosomes were probably still present along the subtelomeric region, but they were no longer at the same position on each molecule. For the 11 kb rDNA, a faint banding pattern could be observed, however it was different from that observed at native telomeres and probably corresponded to a partial positioning of the nucleosomes normally present along the 5’ NTS.

To look more closely at nucleosome positioning on the 14 kb rDNA, we used indirect end-labeling to map the MNase digestion sites. Macronuclei were isolated from cells after 1 or 5 days growth at 30°C, treated with MNase, and the purified DNA was digested with HindIII. Fragments extending towards the telomere were then identified with probes that hybridized adjacent to the cut site (Fig. 4). The native and recombinant telomeres have HindIII sites 360 bp or 420 bp internal to the telomeric tract. The probe for the native subtelomeric region identified bands of ~100 and 300 nt which corresponded to the two most terminal nucleosomes in the 3’ NTS (Budarf and Blackburn, 1986). The banding pattern was unchanged by the continuous culture, confirming a previous observation that the rDNA subtelomeric chromatin structure is unaffected by conditions that promote telomere growth (Cohen and Blackburn, 1998). As in the previous experiment, the probe for the recombinant subtelomeric region revealed a less distinct
digestion pattern that was composed of multiple bands. The pattern was unchanged during telomere growth and many of the same bands were seen in the naked DNA control, indicating that cleavage occurred preferentially at certain sequences within the subtelomeric DNA. Thus, the digestion pattern gave no indication of nucleosome positioning. Nonetheless, the sensitivity to digestion was considerably lower in the chromatin samples indicating that although the subtelomeric region lacks positioned nucleosomes, it is unlikely to be nucleosome free.

Since both digestion experiments revealed that the positioned nucleosomes in the 3’ NTS were lost when the DNA sequence was altered, our results indicate that the chromatin structure within the natural subtelomeric region of the rDNA must be determined by the underlying DNA sequence. Our experiments also demonstrated that the reduced growth of the recombinant rDNA telomeres correlates directly with an alteration in the subtelomeric chromatin structure. Thus, our findings support a role for the subtelomeric chromatin in regulating the rate and extent of telomere growth in *Tetrahymena*.

**Size and organization of the telomeric complex**

One way that altered subtelomeric chromatin might affect telomere growth is by causing a change in the size or structure of the terminal DNA-protein complex. For example, loss of positioned nucleosomes might allow the terminal complex to extend beyond the end of the telomeric tract to make a larger more stable complex that reduces telomerase access to the DNA terminus. To determine whether this had occurred, we calculated the size of the telomeric complex at native and recombinant telomeres by subtracting the size of the largest MNase digestion product (second band down in Fig. 4A & B) from the average size of the HindIII restriction fragment. The resulting value provides an estimate for the maximum size of the
complex because it includes any MNase sensitive linker DNA that lies between the telomeric complex and the first nucleosomes on the subtelomeric sequence.

Measurements from several experiments indicated that prior to telomere growth, the complex at the recombinant telomere protected on average 210-250 bp DNA while the complex at the native telomere protected 300-330 bp. Since the recombinant telomere had about 50 bp less telomeric DNA than the native telomere, this indicated that the altered chromatin structure did not cause the telomeric complex to expand into the recombinant subtelomeric region. To the contrary, it appeared to cover less of the junction between the telomeric tract and the subtelomeric DNA. This lack of expansion upon disruption of the phased nucleosomes in the 3’ NTS indicates that the size of the complex is likely to be dictated by the length of the telomeric tract rather than by boundary nucleosomes limiting its expansion. Interestingly, the decrease in size of the complex at the recombinant telomere did not lead to a change in MNase sensitivity as the size of the largest band remained the same with increased digestion. Thus, the complexes at the native and recombinant telomeres both prevent cleavage within the telomeric tract, suggesting that their gross structure is not significantly different.

We attempted to examine the size of each telomeric complex more precisely using probes that hybridized to the subtelomeric DNA either 10 or 40 nucleotides internal to the telomeric tract (Fig. 4C and data not shown). Both probes identified a series of products that corresponded to telomeric DNA that had been protected by the telomeric complex, however as previously reported, no limit digestion product was observed (Blackburn and Chiou, 1981; Cohen and Blackburn, 1998) so it was not possible to determine the minimum size of the complex at either telomere. Each probe also identified one discrete digestion product (340 nt at the native telomere and 450 nt at the recombinant telomere) that probably came from rDNA molecules where the
telomeric complex did not extend into the beginning of the subtelomeric region. These molecules may correspond to the population that has part of the telomeric tract packaged by nucleosomes (Cohen and Blackburn, 1998).

_Tetrahymena_ telomeres have a very well defined terminal DNA structure (Jacob et al., 2001) where the G-strands are extended to form 3’ overhangs that are mostly 14-15 or 20-21 nt long and end with the sequence 5’—G₄T. Since telomerase adds new telomeric repeats directly to these overhangs, any change in their structure could make them a better or worse telomerase substrate and hence alter the ability of a telomere to grow. Although it seemed unlikely that the recombinant and native telomeres would have a different overhang structure, we tested this possibility using an oligonucleotide ligation and primer extension procedure previously developed in the lab (Jacob et al., 2001). As expected, the length and sequence of the overhangs was identical at the native and recombinant telomeres (data not shown).

Taken together, our findings indicate that altering the subtelomeric chromatin has a relatively minor effect on either the size or the gross structure of the telomeric complex. Thus, the reduced growth of the recombinant telomere cannot be explained by the presence of a larger more stable telomeric complex. The decrease in size of the complex might instead have been expected to render the recombinant telomere more accessible to telomerase, and hence more prone to growth. Since this does not occur, our results suggest that the telomeric complex and subtelomeric chromatin may cooperate to regulate access to the DNA terminus.

**Growth of non-rDNA telomeres**

If growth of rDNA telomeres is affected by the subtelomeric chromatin, we would expect this to also be true for telomeres on other macronuclear chromosomes because rDNA and non-
rDNA telomeres are structurally very similar (Jacob et al., 2001). Although previous studies of telomere growth demonstrated that growth during continuous culture is not limited to rDNA telomeres (Larson et al., 1987), preliminary analysis of the subtelomeric DNA from non-rDNA molecules indicated that each chromosome end has a different DNA sequence (Ed Orias, personal communication). Thus, it seemed likely that each chromosome might have its own characteristic subtelomeric chromatin structure and hence growth profile. To determine whether this is the case, we set out to examine both the growth profile and subtelomeric chromatin structure from a selection of macronuclear chromosomes.

The ends of non-rDNA chromosomes were identified by searching the Tetrahymena macronuclear DNA database for contigs that ended with telomeric sequence T2G4. Further analysis revealed a subset of 12 chromosome ends that gave restriction fragments of a suitable size for telomere length analysis (\(\geq 1.5 \text{ kb}\)). All the subtelomeric sequences were AT rich (19-26% GC within the first 2kb) and they each had a different sequence. They did not contain copies of native or variant telomeric repeats or the type VI or V repeats that are found in the rDNA subtelomeric region. They also appeared to lack conserved complex sequence elements analogous to the X or Y’ elements found at S. cerevisiae telomeres or the complex repeats found at human telomeres (Mefford and Trask, 2002).

To examine the growth profile of each chromosome end, we made probes that hybridized to the sequence adjacent to the restriction site on each end. We then used these probes to detect the telomeric restriction fragments from cells grown for 1-15 days at 30°C. As shown in Figure 5, each telomere exhibited a unique but characteristic growth pattern in terms of both rate and extent of growth, and generation of length heterogeneity. Some showed a fairly large increase in size (e.g. rDNA tel and Tel-13), while others showed a more modest increase. In all cases the
growth rate was fastest initially but then slowed as the telomeres approached a new length set point. When we determined the size of the telomeric restriction fragments prior to telomere growth, we observed that the initial length of the telomeric tract varied considerably between chromosomes ends (Fig. 5). However, the rate and extent of growth did not depend on the initial length of the telomeric tract as chromosome ends with the same initial length showed quite different growth patterns (compare rDNAtel and Tel-3 or Tel-12).

To determine whether individual telomere growth profiles always remained the same, we re-cultured *Tetrahymena* strain CU428 and grew an additional two strains (D1 and B2086, Fig. 5 A & B) for 15 days at 30°C. As observed previously for rDNA telomeres (Cohen and Blackburn, 1998)(N. K. Jacob and C. Price, unpublished observations), re-analysis of CU428 showed that the extent of telomere growth varied slightly when the same strain was analyzed on different occasions. However, the relative tendency of each telomere to grow remained the same; i.e. telomere 13 and the rDNA telomere always grew the most and telomere 10 and 4 grew the least (data not shown). The median telomere length for rDNAtel in strain CU428 was 261 bp +/- 44 bp at room temperature and 358 bp +/- 36 after 10 days growth. The median telomere length Tel-10 was 411 bp +/- 14 bp at room temperature and 420 bp +/- 5 bp after 10 days growth.

Comparison of the three different strains revealed that there were some strain specific differences in the extent of telomere growth and generation of length heterogeneity (Fig. 5A). However, the relative growth potential of the individual telomeres and the initial length of their telomeric tract was strikingly similar (Fig. 5B). As the three strains have similar subtelomeric sequences (N. K. Jacob and C. Price unpublished observations), this finding further supports the importance of the subtelomeric region in determining both the length set point and growth potential for an individual telomere.
We next examined the chromatin structure within the subtelomeric region of each chromosome end. Freshly isolated macronuclei were digested with MNase as described earlier, then the chromosome specific probes were used to indirectly end-label the subtelomeric digestion products. Strikingly, each chromosome end gave a different MNase digestion pattern (Fig. 6, right panel and data not shown). Some displayed a banding pattern that was similar to that observed for the naked DNA control, suggesting a lack of nucleosome positioning. Others had discrete bands that were absent in the DNA control indicating a well defined chromatin structure and the possibility of positioned nucleosomes. In all cases the banding pattern and hence the nucleosome placement was quite different from that observed for the rDNA 3’NTS. The unique organization of the chromatin within each subtelomeric region indicates that subtelomeric chromatin structure is likely to be the underlying cause of the characteristic growth profile exhibited by individual telomeres. Interestingly, there was no obvious correlation between any one type of MNase digestion pattern (e.g. discrete versus indistinct) and the extent of telomere growth. Thus, telomere growth does not seem to be determined by the exact positioning of nucleosomes within the subtelomeric region, but rather by a different level of subtelomeric chromatin structure. Since the telomeric complex that packages the telomeric tract also contributes to telomere length regulation, one possibility is that the subtelomeric chromatin from each telomere interacts with the telomeric complex to form a unique higher order telomeric chromatin structure that determines the growth potential and telomere length set point for that telomere.
DISCUSSION

Although various studies have shown that proper telomere function depends on the telomeric tract being packaged into a higher order chromatin complex (Grunstein, 1997; Lowell and Pillus, 1998; Smogorzewska et al., 2000; Chan and Blackburn, 2002), the contribution of the subtelomeric region to the organization of this complex, and hence to telomere length regulation remains largely unexplored. In this study, we show that the subtelomeric region has a direct impact on both the rate and extent of telomere growth in *Tetrahymena* cells. We have been able to alter the final length set point and amount of length heterogeneity at a telomere by simply changing the subtelomeric sequence. This finding indicates that the subtelomeric region somehow controls accessibility of the DNA terminus to the enzymes (telomerase and nucleases) that alter the length of the telomeric tract. We have also shown that individual telomeres from different macronuclear chromosomes each have a unique basal telomere length and growth profile. This basal length and growth tendency is highly reproducible and is conserved in different *Tetrahymena* strains. As every chromosome end has a different subtelomeric sequence, the unique behavior of individual telomeres confirms the importance of the subtelomeric region in telomere length regulation.

Given the known contribution of the telomeric complex to telomere length regulation (Lowell and Pillus, 1998; Smogorzewska et al., 2000), our results suggest that the capacity of a telomere to grow may be determined by a higher order chromatin structure that is composed of both the subtelomeric chromatin and the telomeric complex. As the subtelomeric chromatin at each telomere is different, the precise organization of this higher order structure, and hence telomere growth potential, would be telomere specific. Alteration of the subtelomeric chromatin
at a particular telomere would change this structure, and hence either increase or decrease telomerase or nuclease access to the DNA terminus.

The chromatin structure of an individual telomere could dictate its growth rate in a number of ways. First, it might determine how much DNA telomerase or nucleases add or remove during any one cell cycle. Second, it might determine the frequency with which telomerase gains access to the DNA terminus. Both the extent and frequency of elongation could also cause the increase in length heterogeneity that occurs at many telomeres during continuous culture. In yeast, telomerase does not extend each telomere at every cell cycle and it is the frequency of telomerase extension, rather than the amount of DNA added, that promotes growth of very short telomeres (Teixeira et al., 2004). Thus, it seems likely that growth of Tetrahymena telomeres and the generation of length heterogeneity may also be dictated by the frequency of telomerase extension.

Early studies of chromosome healing suggested that subtelomeric sequences were not important for telomere function because when a broken chromosome is healed by the addition of telomeric repeats, the new telomere is maintained quite normally despite the lack of natural subtelomeric sequence (Gottschling et al., 1990; Barnett et al., 1993). However more recent work has shown that if normal telomere structure is perturbed, the subtelomeric region can provide a backup structure that allows certain aspects of telomere function to continue (Chan and Blackburn, 2002). This is apparent in Drosophila and budding yeast where the subtelomeric region can be used to form a telomeric cap when the natural telomeric DNA is absent. S. cerevisiae that lack telomerase can amplify the Y’ subtelomeric repeats to form large arrays that provide sufficient capping function to allow continued growth (Lundblad and Blackburn, 1993). The capping function is thought to result from formation of a nucleosome based heterochromatin
structure along the amplified Y' elements (Chan and Blackburn, 2002). Likewise, Drosophila strains that have lost the natural telomeric HeT-A and TART elements form a functional cap that contains telomere-associated sequences and the heterochromatin proteins HP1 and HOAP (Fanti et al., 1998; Cenci et al., 2003). Subtelomeric chromatin can also promote chromosome segregation. In fission yeast, accurate meiotic chromosome segregation only occurs if the chromosomes cluster and associate with the spindle pole body. This process requires Taz1, a telomere protein that normally binds to telomeric DNA. However in cells that lack a telomeric tract, Taz1 can bind to a subtelomeric element thus allowing an epigenetically regulated association with the spindle pole body (Sadaie et al., 2003).

In addition to providing backup functions at dysfunctional telomeres, subtelomeric chromatin also appears to contribute to telomere length regulation at functionally normal telomeres. As cells can maintain telomeres at an acceptable length in the absence of natural subtelomeric sequences (Gottschling et al., 1990; Barnett et al., 1993), the native subtelomeric chromatin seems to serve to fine tune the length regulation process. Mice that lack histone methytransferases and hence have no H3 lysine 9 methylation or heterochromatin protein HP1 in the telomeric region, undergo large increases in telomere length on a subset of their chromosomes (Garcia-Cao et al., 2004). These findings suggest that the subtelomeric chromatin is important for preventing abnormal telomere lengthening, perhaps through the ALT pathway. Subtelomeric chromatin also helps determine the length of individual telomeres in S. cerevisiae, Plasmodium falciparum and probably also Drosophila melanogaster (Craven and Petes, 1999; Figueiredo et al., 2002; Mason et al., 2003), while in Tetrahymena it appears to dictate the new length set point when telomeres are induced to grow. Because the effect of subtelomeric chromatin on telomere length is usually not apparent until the subtelomeric region is somehow
altered, it is likely this component of the telomere length regulation machinery is a normal feature of telomere biology that has not yet been characterized in many organisms. Indeed recent studies indicate that subtelomeric regions may be responsible for the conserved telomere length profile that exists on human chromosomes. Each arm of a human chromosome has a characteristic relative telomere length that is maintained both throughout the life of an individual and between individuals (Martens et al., 1998; Graakjaer et al., 2003). Interestingly, the characteristic length of a specific telomere is dependant on a factor that is located in the distal region of the same chromosome arm (Graakjaer et al., 2003). As human subtelomeric sequences can encompass hundreds of kilobases (Wilkie et al., 1991), these factors may well correspond to the subtelomeric DNA or chromatin.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Growth of rDNA telomeres.** A. Organization of native and recombinant rDNA molecules. Coding sequences (CDS) are represented as open boxes, 5’ and 3’ nontranscribed spacer (NTS) are light-shaded boxes, telomeres are dark boxes. HindIII (H), PstI (P), and XbaI
(X) sites are marked. **B** & **C.** Differential growth of 14 kb rDNA telomeres with natural and recombinant subtelomeric regions. **B.** Native (N) and recombinant (R) telomeric restriction fragments from the 14 kb rDNA were detected by Southern hybridization with probe for telomeric DNA. Cells were grown at 30°C for 1-26 days then at room temperature (RT) for 3 days. **C.** The average length of the telomeric tract from the native and recombinant telomere is plotted against time in culture.

**Figure 2. Effect of subtelomeric base composition on telomere growth. A.** Table showing the % G+C within the first 0.5, 1 or 2 kb of the natural and recombinant subtelomeric DNA. **B.** Southern blot showing native and recombinant telomeric restriction fragments from the 11 kb rDNA after 1-15 days growth at 30°C. Hybridization was with subtelomeric probes. The sharp bands in the lower panel reflect cross-hybridization to an internal restriction fragment. The bimodal native telomere signal in lane 4 reflects outgrowth of a short telomere mutant (Ahmed et al., 1998).

**Figure 3. Subtelomeric chromatin structure. A.** Chromatin structure of the native 21 kb rDNA. Positioned nucleosomes are shown as circles, the telomeric complexes are ovals. NTS, non-transcribed spacer; CDS, coding sequence. **B.** Southern blots showing MNase sensitivity of the 11 and 14 kb rDNA subtelomeric chromatin. Macronuclei were digested with 30 units MNase per mg chromatin for 2, 4, 6, 16 or 60 min. For the DNA control, deproteinized DNA from the 14 kb rDNA clone was digested with 8 units MNase per mg DNA for 1, 2 or 4 min. Each blot was probed first with an oligonucleotide that hybridized 400 bp from the telomeric tract within the recombinant subtelomeric region (R) and then with an oligonucleotide that
hybridized at the same position within the native subtelomeric region (N). Arrowheads mark bands corresponding to positioned nucleosomes, arrow marks band corresponding to positioned nucleosome + telomeric complex.

**Figure 4. Nucleosome positioning on the 14 kb rDNA.** MNase digestion products from macronuclei or deproteinized DNA were digested with HindIII and the subtelomeric restriction fragments identified by Southern hybridization using a probe that hybridized adjacent to the restriction site (A-B), fragments protected by the telomeric complex were identified using a probe that hybridized 40 nt internal to the telomeric tract (C). The cartoons show the relative positions of the HindIII site (arrow), MNase hypersensitivity (arrowheads), hybridization probes (bar), telomeric complex on days 1 & 5 (overlapping ovals) and nucleosomes (circles). A. Digestion products from the native rDNA telomere after 1 or 5 days culture at 30ºC. Left panel, macronuclei were digested with 30 units MNase per mg chromatin for 0, 2, 4, 6, 16 or 60 min. Right panel, deproteinized DNA controls; digested with 8 units MNase per mg DNA for 1 or 2 min. B. Same blot hybridized with the probe to the recombinant subtelomeric sequence. C. Day 1 blot from panel A hybridized with probes 40 bp internal to the telomeric complex from the native telomere (right panel) or the recombinant telomere (left panel).

**Figure 5. Growth profile and average length of individual macronuclear telomeres.** A. Southern blots showing telomeric restriction fragments from different chromosome ends after growth at room temperature (RT) or continuous culture at 30ºC for 2-15 days. DNA was isolated from three *Tetrahymena* strains D1, CU428 and B2086. B. The median length of the telomeric tract from 3 different chromosome ends (rDNA tel, Tel-10, Tel-13, data from panel A) is plotted against time in culture. C. Histogram showing the median telomere length at different
chromosome ends in strain CU428 after growth at room temperature (open bars) or 30°C for 15 days (shaded bars). The lines that extend above and below each bar indicate the length heterogeneity by showing the maximum and minimum length of the telomeric restriction fragments. Data are from one representative experiment.

**Figure 6. Telomere growth and chromatin structure at non-rDNA telomeres.** Left panels show telomeric restriction fragments from different chromosome ends after growing strain CU428 at room temperature (RT) or in continuous culture at 30°C. Middle two panels show the corresponding MNase digests of subtelomeric chromatin. Macronuclei were isolated from cells grown at room temperature (RT) or for 30°C for 15 days. Digestion was with 30 units MNase/mg chromatin for 4 & 6 min. Right panels show deproteinized DNA controls digested with 8 units MNase/mg DNA for 1 & 2 min. Purified MNase digestion products were restriction digested and analyzed by Southern hybridization with a probe that hybridized adjacent to the restriction site.
### A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.5 kb</th>
<th>1.0 kb</th>
<th>2.0 kb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native tel</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 kb recomb tel</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 kb recomb tel</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B

**Days at 30°C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>