The function of the intermediate compartment in pre-Golgi trafficking involves its stable connection with the centrosome
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Abstract

Since the functional borders of the intermediate compartment (IC) are not well defined, the spatial map of the transport machineries operating between the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the Golgi apparatus remains incomplete. Our previous studies showed that the IC consists of interconnected vacuolar and tubular parts with specific roles in pre-Golgi trafficking. Here, employing live cell imaging we demonstrate that the tubules containing the GTPase Rab1A create a long-lived membrane compartment around the centrosome. Separation of this pericentrosomal domain of the IC from the Golgi ribbon, due to centrosome motility, revealed that it contains a distinct pool of COPI coats and acts as a temperature-sensitive way station in post-ER trafficking. However, unlike the Golgi, the pericentrosomal IC resists the disassembly of COPI coats by brefeldin A, maintaining its juxtaposition with the endocytic recycling compartment (ERC), and operation as the focal point of a dynamic tubular network that extends to the cell periphery. These results provide novel insight into the compartmental organization of the secretory pathway and Golgi biogenesis. Moreover, they reveal a direct functional connection between the IC and the endosomal system, which evidently contributes to unconventional transport of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) to the cell surface.

Abbreviations used: BFA, brefeldin A; COP, coat protein; CFTR, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; CM, confocal microscopy; ERC, endocytic recycling compartment; GFP, green fluorescent protein; IC, intermediate compartment, MT, microtubule; pcIC, pericentrosomal domain of the IC; Tf, transferrin
Introduction

The biosynthetic-secretory pathway sorts and delivers newly synthesized proteins and lipids, many with attached glycans, to the various endomembrane compartments of the cell and to its exterior (Palade, 1982). The dynamics and spatial organization of the secretory compartments – endoplasmic reticulum (ER), intermediate compartment (IC) and Golgi apparatus – depend on the microtubule (MT) and actin cytoskeleton and associated motor proteins (Thyberg and Moskalewski, 1999; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 2000; Allan et al., 2002; Egea et al., 2006). The prevailing paradigm of the secretory pathway in mammalian cells states that the Golgi apparatus, positioned around the MT-organizing centre (MTOC)/centrosome (Rios and Bornens, 2003), receives nascent proteins from widely distributed ER exit sites (ERES) due to MT-dependent centralization of IC elements (Saraste and Svensson, 1991; Bannykh et al., 1996; Presley et al., 1997; Scales et al., 1997; Hammond and Glick, 2000). Following their post-translational modification and sorting in the Golgi, the proteins are distributed to the endo-lysosomal system, secretory granules and the plasma membrane (PM) (De Matteis and Luini, 2008). This spatial arrangement of the secretory process, consisting of centripetal and centrifugal transfer of biosynthetic products, is not essential for transport as such (Thyberg and Moskalewski, 1999; Hawes et al., 2008). However, it can promote the co-alignment of the secretory and endocytic routes, allowing their communication at multiple sites (Saraste and Kuismanen, 1992; Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006), and facilitate the processing and sorting of cargo along these pathways via the establishment of a lumenal pH gradient (Paroutis et al., 2004). This topography may also be crucial for information transfer between endomembranes and the nucleus, and thereby for cell cycle progression (Sütterlin et al., 2002), as suggested by the association of signaling molecules with the secretory compartments (Rios and Bornens, 2003; Sallese et al., 2006).

According to the current map of the secretory pathway various proteins, such as lysosomal enzymes and PM proteins, follow the same route from ER to the trans-Golgi network (TGN), where they are sorted into different post-Golgi carriers (Griffiths and Simons, 1986; De Matteis and Luini, 2008). Accordingly, the TGN could equal to the central site where the direction of the
secretory process is reversed. Brefeldin A (BFA), a drug that dissociates coat proteins (COPs) from IC/cis-Golgi (COPI) and TGN/endosomes (clathrin and adaptor proteins), revealed a functional division of the Golgi apparatus. During the ensuing MT-dependent Golgi disassembly cis-, medial- and trans-Golgi compartments merge with the ER (Klausner et al., 1992), whereas the TGN – as defined by TGN38 and the mannose-6-phosphate receptor that binds lysosomal enzymes – seems to fuse with an endosomal network that converges around the centrosome (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1991; Wood et al., 1991; Ladinsky and Howell, 1992; Reaves and Banting, 1992). Recently, specific knock-down of the BFA-sensitive factors that regulate membrane association of COPs at the two sides of the Golgi uncovered a similar borderline (Manolea et al., 2008). Endosome-TGN communication has been implicated in retrograde transport of protein toxins and the cycling of transport machinery, e.g. the endopeptidase furin (Sandvig and van Deurs, 2002; Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006). Moreover, the endosomal system – in particular the endocytic recycling compartment (ERC), a pericentrosomal organelle with complex sorting functions (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004) – is emerging as an intermediate in biosynthetic transport to the PM (Hedman et al., 1987; Futter et al, 1995; Leitinger et al., 1995; Orzech et al., 2000; Harsay and Schekman, 2002; Yoo et al., 2002; Ang et al., 2004; Lock and Stow, 2005; Gravotta et al., 2007).

Assuming continuous, vectorial flow of membranes towards the TGN, the IC elements operating at the ER-Golgi boundary could be seen as pleiomorphic transport carriers, which after their arrival in the Golgi region transform into or fuse with the cis-Golgi cisternae (Glick et al., 1997; Bannykh et al., 1998; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 2000). Alternatively, the transfer of cargo to the Golgi stacks could take place via IC tubules (Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984; Trucco et al., 2004). The binding of COPI highlights the role of the IC in molecular sorting (Lowe and Kreis; 1998; Bannykh et al., 1998); however, these coats are heterogenous both in terms of their composition (Orci et al., 1997; Malsam et al., 2005, Wegmann et al., 2004) and localization (Griffiths et al., 1995; Martinez-Menarguez et al., 1999; Moelleken et al., 2007), and their roles in two-way traffic at the ER-Golgi boundary remain unclear (Pepperkok et al., 1993; Peter et al., 1993; Lippincott-
Further, the IC consists of compositionally distinct vacuolar and tubular domains with specific roles in pre-Golgi trafficking (Sannerud et al., 2006), correlating with the participation of COPI-dependent and -independent pathways in this process (Gaynor and Emr, 1997; Girod et al., 1999; Morsomme and Riezman, 2002; Stephens et al., 2002). The vacuoles contain Golgi-bound cargo and the cargo receptor p58/ERGIC-53 (Saraste and Svensson, 1991; Ying et al., 2000; Horstmann et al., 2002), which interacts with COPI coats (Kappeler et al., 1997; Tisdale et al., 1997). The tubules defined by the GTPase Rab1A (Palokangas et al., 1998) extend from the vacuoles, join the peripheral and central IC elements into a dynamic membrane network, and constitute a pathway that connects the IC with the cell periphery, bypassing the Golgi apparatus (Sannerud et al., 2006). Based on compositional and dynamic properties of the Rab1A-containing tubules we proposed that they participate in Golgi-independent transport of cholesterol to the cell surface (Urbani and Simoni, 1990; Heino et al., 2000; Sannerud et al., 2006).

Here, live imaging of normal rat kidney (NRK) cells constitutively expressing fluorescent Rab1A enabled us to demonstrate that the tubular IC network, like the endosomal system, is stably anchored next to the centrosome, maintaining its dynamics after the Golgi apparatus has been disassembled by BFA. We provide evidence for communication between the pericentrosomal domain of the IC and the Rab11-positive ERC, i.e. the centrally located compartments of the two endomembrane networks, explaining how certain endocytosed molecules gain access to early secretory compartments and, conversely, how biosynthetic products, such as cholesterol and the CFTR chloride channel, can bypass the Golgi apparatus on their way to the cell surface.
Materials and methods

Antibodies

The rabbit antisera against p58 and Rab1 were affinity-purified as described earlier (Saraste and Svensson, 1991; Sannerud et al., 2006). Monoclonal anti-GM130, anti-p115 and anti-Rab11 were purchased from BD Transduction Laboratories, anti-HA from Nordic Biosite, and the polyclonal anti-GFP and anti-β-COP from Clontech and Affinity BioReagents, respectively. Monoclonal anti-β-tubulin was a kind gift from Thomas Kreis. Polyclonal antibodies were generously provided by the following: anti-γ-tubulin by Michel Bornens (Institut Curie, Paris, France), anti-mSec13 by Wanjin Hong (University of Singapore), anti-TGN38 by George Banting (University of Bristol, UK), anti-KDEL-receptor by Irina Majoul (Royal Holloway University of London, UK) and anti-mannosidase II by Kelley Moremen (University of Georgia). Polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies against the SFV spike glycoproteins were kindly provided by Esa Kuismanen (University of Helsinki, Finland) and Margaret Kielian (Albert Einstein College of Medicine, NY), respectively. Secondary antibodies, FITC- or Texas Red-coupled F(ab)-fragments of goat anti-rabbit and goat anti-mouse IgG, were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch.

Generation of stable NRK cells expressing GFP-Rab1A

The plasmid encoding pEGFP-Rab1A (Sannerud et al., 2006) was used as a template for the following synthetic oligonucleotide primers: 5'-GGATACGCGTTCCGCCACCATGAGTGAG-3' (MluI; forward primer) and 5'-GGGAATTCCATATGTGGCAACCTCCAC-3' (NdeI) (Invitrogen) to generate MluI/GFP-Rab1A/NdeI fragments. The PCR products were cloned into the pCR 2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), excised, gel purified, and subsequently inserted into the MluI/Ndel-linearised lentiviral vector pWPXL (Tronolab) to generate pWPXL-GFP-Rab1A. The accuracy of the construct was verified by sequencing. To produce retroviral particles (Karolewski et al., 2003), subconfluent HEK293T producer cells bound to poly-D-lysine-coated (BD Biosciences) dishes were transiently transfected using complete culture medium [DMEM containing fetal bovine serum (FBS; 10%), L-glutamine (2 mM), penicillin (50 units/ml) and streptomycin (50µg/ml)] supple-
mented with SuperFect Transfection Reagent (QIAGEN), 3 µg of the vector genome plasmid and 9 µg of ViraPower™ Lentiviral Packaging Mix (Invitrogen). At 48 h post-transfection the virus-containing medium was harvested, centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 x g, filtered through a 45 µm filter (Schleicher and Schuell), and stored in aliquots at –80°C. A stably transformed NRK cell line expressing GFP-Rab1A, produced during a 24 h infection with the virus supernatants in the presence of polybrene (1 µg/µl), was subjected to fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to give three subclones with various expression levels of GFP-Rab1A. The cells expressing the fusion protein at close to endogenous levels, as determined by Western blotting using antibodies against Rab1 and GFP (Fig. 1), were used for immunocytochemistry and live cell imaging.

**Cell culture, synchronization and drug treatments**

The parental NRK, GFP-Rab1A-expressing NRK, HeLa and BHK-21 cells were cultivated as described previously (Palokangas et al., 1998; Sannerud et al., 2006). The BHK cells stably expressing triple HA-tagged CFTR (Sharma et al., 2004) were passaged in complete DMEM supplemented with 1 µg/ml puromycin (Sigma). For synchronization, the GFP-Rab1A-expressing NRK cells, grown to 60-80% confluency, were pre-synchronized at G₀ during a 48 h growth in medium containing low serum (0.5% FBS), or arrested at G₁/S junction during a further 14 h incubation in complete DMEM containing 5 µg/ml aphidicolin (Sigma). Finally, the cells were examined by confocal microscopy (CM) or live cell imaging. BFA (Epicentre Technologies) was used at 5 µg/ml and cycloheximide (Sigma) at 50 µg/ml. In wash-out experiments the BFA-treated cells were first extensively washed with pre-warmed, drug-free medium, followed by incubation for different times at 37°C in the absence of BFA. To depolymerize MTs or actin filaments the cells were treated for 30 min at 37°C with nocodazole (10 µg/ml; Sigma) or latrunculin B (1 µg/ml; Sigma), respectively. F-actin was detected with TRITC-labelled phalloidin (Sigma).

**Virus infection**

Infection of the parental and GFP-Rab1A-expressing NRK cells with the temperature-sensitive mutant ts-1 of Semliki Forest virus (SFV ts-1) and their growth for 3.5 h at the restrictive temp-
erature (39°C) were carried out as described earlier (Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984; Kuismanen and Saraste, 1989). After incubation at 39°C the cells were shifted for different time periods (10, 20, 30, 60 or 120 min) to the permissive temperature (28°C), or transferred for 1 to 2 h to low temperature (15°C or 20°C) in the presence of cycloheximide. Alternatively, the cells were first shifted to 28°C for 5 min and then returned to 39°C for various periods of time (5, 10, 15, 30 or 60 min; Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984). At harvest the cells were fixed for 30 min with 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.

**Uptake of fluorescent transferrin**

To deplete endogenous transferrin the NRK cells expressing GFP-Rab1A were first incubated for 60 min in serum-free DMEM containing 0.2% BSA. Subsequently, another 60 min incubation in the same medium containing 20 µg/ml Alexa-Fluor® 555-conjugated human transferrin (Molecular Probes) resulted in saturation of the compartments participating in the endocytic and recycling itineraries of the protein. Finally, the cells were washed with complete medium and fixed with 3% PFA, as above, or treated for 30 min with BFA prior to washing and fixation.

**Immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy (CM)**

Cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed for 30 min with 3% PFA as above or, alternatively (to preserve better the Rab1A-containing tubules in BFA-treated cells), in PFA-lysine-sodium periodate (2% PFA, 0.075 M lysine-HCl, 0.01 M NaIO₄ in 0.375 M Na-phosphate buffer, pH 6.2). To localize β-tubulin, the cells were incubated for 30 min on ice and then fixed for 30 min at RT°C in 3% PFA in MT-stabilizing buffer (5 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl₂ in 70 mM PIPES buffer, pH 6.8). The immunofluorescence staining protocol has been described in detail elsewhere (Sannerud et al., 2008). The samples were examined using Leica TCS SP2 AOBS (Leica Microsystems) and Zeiss LSM 510 Meta (Carl Zeiss) confocal microscopes using 63x/1.4 NA and 100x/1.4 NA HCX Plan-Apochromat (Leica), or 40x/1.3 NA Plan-Neofluor and 63x/1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat (Zeiss) oil-immersion objectives, ~1.2 airy unit pinhole aperture, and appropriate filter combinations. Images were acquired with 405 Diode, Argon ion/Argon Krypton (Leica) and Argon/Helium Neon (Zeiss)
lasers. The acquired images were processed using the PhotoShop CS2 image software (Adobe Systems). In the BFA wash-out experiments with CFTR-expressing BHK cells quantitation of the colocalization of HA-tagged CFTR and endogenous Rab1A in the pericentrosomal region and the expression of CFTR at cell surface involved a minimum of 200 randomly selected cells per time point. Similarly, to estimate the kinetics of Golgi reassembly, parallel samples were stained with anti-mannosidase II and the fraction of cells where the signal was confined to a single compact juxtanuclear structure was determined.

**Live cell imaging**

GFP-Rab1A-expressing NRK cells were grown on 35 mm glass bottom culture dishes (MatTek No. 1.5) in a constant 5% CO₂-environment at 37°C. Time-lapse CM was performed on a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta with a 40x/1.3 NA Plan-Neofluor oil-immersion objective, 3 airy unit pinhole aperture, and an Argon laser. Fast imaging was performed by using the UltraView™ RS Live Cell Imager (PerkinElmer). Samples were viewed with 63x/1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat oil-immersion objective and images were acquired at 512 x 512 resolution using a 488 nm excitation laser line. The CCD camera was operated at 1 x 1 binning. Time series were produced by collecting images at regular intervals, acquiring Z-stacks of part or the entire cell depth. The recorded images were converted to 8-bit TIFF files and further processed using the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). Still images were compiled using Adobe Photoshop CS2 and QuickTime movie sequences were assembled using Adobe Premiere Pro.
Results

Identification of the pcIC

The two Rab1 isoforms that regulate ER-Golgi trafficking, Rab1A and Rab1B, are both recruited to the peripheral IC at ERES, but show differential affinities to its tubular or vacuolar domains, respectively (Allan et al., 2000; Sannerud et al., 2006; Monetta et al., 2007). To promote further studies of IC dynamics we generated stable NRK cells expressing the previously described, green fluorescent protein (GFP)-coupled variant of Rab1A (Sannerud et al., 2006). The expression level (Fig. 1A) and distribution (Fig. 1B) of GFP-Rab1A in these cells were similar to those of endogenous Rab1, and its expression did not affect the localization of the other IC and Golgi markers studied (see Fig. 6). In addition, time-lapse CM showed that the cells remain motile and undergo apparently normal cell division (Supplementary Movie 1). Since previous immunolocalization studies of NRK cells demonstrated the typical association of Rab1 with pleiomorphic IC elements located close to the widespread ERES and the cis-face of the Golgi stacks (Saraste et al., 1995; Palokangas et al., 1998; Sannerud et al., 2006; M.M. and J.S., unpublished data), it was of interest that time-lapse CM revealed the additional presence of GFP-Rab1A in large membrane clusters at the cell center; however, often at a considerable distance (up to 10 µm) from the Golgi ribbon (Movie 1). To rule out that they result from mis-localization of the fusion protein, we re-examined the distribution of endogenous Rab1 in the parental NRK cells, as well as other cell types (HeLa and BHK cells), and could verify that they represent authentic structures (Fig. 2A; see also Fig. 9A). Notably, while about one third of semi-confluent, actively growing cells express these clusters, they are much less frequent in stationary cultures (Figs. 2A and B).

Co-staining of cells with antibodies against β- and γ-tubulin showed that the Rab1A-containing membrane clusters are located next to the centrosome (Figs. 2C and D). Although the p58-positive vacuolar IC elements (Saraste and Svensson, 1991; Ying et al., 2000; Sannerud et al., 2006) are also found in this region, they display a more dispersed pattern (see Fig. 6B). Below, we use the term pericentrosomal IC (pcIC) when referring to these structures. Electron microscopy further showed that the pericentrosomal, Rab1A-positive tubules and vesicles below the nucleus (see
Fig. 2D) constitute part of a complex membrane system, which does not display Golgi-type cisternal organization (data not shown; however, see Tooze and Hollinshead, 1992). The tubules also extend towards the cell periphery co-aligning with centrosomal MTs (Fig. 2C; see also Fig. 4H). In contrast to its well-known effects on the Golgi (Thyberg and Moskalewski, 1999), depolymerization of MTs by nocodazole lead to fragmentation of the Rab1-positive pcIC, but did not affect its central positioning (Fig. 2E), indicating that the association of the pcIC membranes with the centrosome does not solely depend on their centralization along MTs. Similar results were obtained using latrunculin B, which breaks down actin filaments (data not shown).

To further study the relationship of the pcIC with the Golgi apparatus, we used brefeldin A (BFA), which removes membrane-bound COP coats and results in Golgi disassembly (Klausner et al., 1992). Spinning disk CM of GFP-Rab1A showed that the dispersal of the IC membranes residing at the cis-face of the Golgi apparatus – like that of Golgi stacks (Sciaky et al., 1997) – is complete by ~8 min of BFA addition (Fig. 2F; Movie 2), coinciding with an increased GFP-Rab1A signal in IC elements at nearby ERES (Movie 2; see also Fig. 7B). Interestingly, in contrast to the Golgi ribbon, the Rab1-positive pcIC resists the disassembly of COPI coats and maintains its pericentrosomal positioning (Figs. 2F and G; Movie 2), revealing the stability and functional speciality of this novel IC domain. Although the pcIC also retains its tubular organization in the drug-treated cells, as demonstrated by live cell imaging (Fig. 2F, Movie 2; see also below, Fig. 7A), the tubules are especially sensitive to fixation, resulting in diffuse appearance of this compartment (Fig. 2G).

**Mobility and plasticity of the pcIC**

The positioning of the pcIC strictly correlates with that of the centrosome. Accordingly, it is either masked by the Golgi ribbon, or present as a distinct structure due to the relocation of the centrosome below the nucleus (Fig. 2D; Fig. 3). Examination of pcIC dynamics by time-lapse CM revealed that its separation from the Golgi is coupled to cell migration or division (Movie 1), i.e. events that involve centrosome motility (Rios and Bornens, 2003). However, separation also takes place in interphase cells arrested at the G0 and S phases of the cell cycle (data not shown),
suggesting that it is coupled to other processes, as well. Indeed, the pcIC reversibly changes its location in stationary cells, remaining isolated for several hours (Fig. 3A; Movie 3). Strikingly, the integrity of the Golgi apparatus remains unaffected by the separation, possibly due to its ongoing communication with the pcIC (see below) and/or ability to nucleate its own MTs (Chabin-Brion et al., 2001). The detachment of the pcIC is regularly accompanied by its expansion, i.e. the compartment grows as it moves away from the Golgi ribbon and, conversely, turns more compact before merging with it again (Fig. 3A, Movie 3). Expansion involves the formation of a polygonal tubular network (Palokangas et al., 1998), which undergoes continuous remodeling due to extension, retraction, branching and shedding of tubules (see Figs. 4E and H, Movie 4). More stationary pcIC tubules can grow at their tips via the attachment of incoming, mobile IC elements, or the latter are adsorbed into the pericentrosomal network (Fig. 4J).

In motile cells the separation of the pcIC from the Golgi ribbon is frequently followed by the repositioning of the latter to the juxtanuclear pole facing the leading edge (Fig. 3B; Movie 1). Time-lapse CM revealed that the pcIC acts as an intermediate during the Golgi transfer, in line with the proposal that this process involves dis- and reassembly rather than mechanical displacement of the organelle (Bisel et al., 2008). Apparently, membranes are first conveyed from the original Golgi to the central, expanding pcIC, which eventually joins the nascent Golgi on the other side of the nucleus (Fig. 3B, Movie 5). Based on spinning disk CM such bulk membrane transfer may occur via tubular connections between the pcIC and the Golgi stacks (Movie 4).

Participation of the pcIC in ER-Golgi trafficking

To study the role of the pcIC in post-ER trafficking we first employed GFP-Rab1A as a marker in live cell imaging. Spinning disk CM showed that in cells where the pcIC is masked by the Golgi, pleiomorphic transport intermediates containing GFP-Rab1A move from ERES towards the Golgi ribbon along curvilinear paths, following MT tracks (Fig. 4A; Sannerud et al., 2006). Most of the predominantly tubular intermediates (Simpson et al., 2006) move towards a specific site in the Golgi ribbon (Fig. 4A, time projection; Movie 6), evidently corresponding to the position of the
centrosome (Fig. 1D). In addition, some join the Golgi at other locations; however, their target sites could not be identified. Notably, in cells expressing a separate pcIC the IC elements predominantly move towards this centrally located network (Fig. 4B, time projection, Movie 7). Although part of the elements still target the Golgi (Fig. 4C), they do not show the same directionality as seen in cells where the pcIC is masked by this organelle.

To verify that the pcIC operates in the transport of secretory cargo, the GFP-Rab1A-expressing cells were infected with a temperature-sensitive mutant of Semliki Forest virus (SFV ts-1). In cells kept at 39°C the ts-1 membrane proteins are arrested in the ER, while shift to 28°C triggers their synchronized transfer via the Golgi to the PM (Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984). Examination of cells kept at 39°C showed the absence of the virus glycoproteins from the pcIC region (Fig. 5A), showing that it is devoid of rough ER, as well as ERES (Supplementary Fig. S1A). By contrast, in cells shifted to 28°C in the presence of cycloheximide the virus proteins appeared in the pcIC (Fig. 5A). Examination of cells shifted for different times (10-120 min) to 28°C indicated that the proteins enter the pcIC and the Golgi region simultaneously. Similar results were obtained even when the cargo load in post-ER compartments was restricted by exposing the cells only for 5 min to 28°C, followed by shift back to 39°C. After longer times at 28°C the pcIC pool of the proteins was reduced (data not shown). Interestingly, shift of cells to 15°C or 20°C, to establish transport blocks at the IC or trans-Golgi, respectively (Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984), lead in both cases to pericentrosomal accumulation of the SFV proteins (Fig. 5A). Notably, the bulk of the proteins does not reach the TGN during the 20°C block, as expected (Griffiths and Simons, 1986), but a considerable fraction remains arrested in the pcIC. Experiments with the vesicular stomatitis virus G protein showed that its ER-to-Golgi transfer also involves the pcIC (data not shown).

At 15°C the SFV glycoproteins were also detected in GFP-Rab1A-positive tubules, which connect the pcIC with the Golgi stacks (Fig. 5A), most likely mediating their transfer between these compartments (Saraste and Kuismanen, 1984). Spinning disk CM further showed that these tubules establish transient continuities at the pcIC-Golgi boundary (Fig. 4E and inset; see Movies
8 and 9), creating tracks for bidirectional movement of bolus structures (Fig. 4F; Movie 4). Two-way traffic between the pclC and Golgi also occurs in a discontinuous fashion via tubular and vesicular intermediates (Fig. 4D).

Localization of Golgi mannosidase II (Man II) to the pclC (Fig. 5B) suggested that this compartment also participates in the trafficking of endogenous cellular proteins. However, the pclC pool of Man II, which is enriched in *cis*- and *medial-*Golgi cisternae and has a half-life of ~20 hr (Moremen and Touster, 1985), is relatively small (Fig. 5C) and highly variable – in some cells negligible (data not shown) – most likely reflecting the different functional states of the cell that involve centrosome motility. To study the nature of this pool, cells were treated with cycloheximide to arrest protein synthesis and the Man II signals of the separate pclCs and the Golgi stacks were determined. As compared to control cells, the pclC pool was reduced by one third after the drug treatment, whereas the Golgi pool remained unaffected (Fig. 5C). Thus, ER-derived Man II is at least partly transported to the Golgi via the pclC, as also shown by BFA wash-out experiments (see Fig. S2), whereafter the protein can cycle between the pclC and the Golgi stacks.

**The pclC contains transport machinery**

The separation of the pclC from the Golgi (Fig. 2B) made it possible to study in more detail the localization of cargo and machinery proteins. Membrane-bound COPI coats could be resolved into three spatially distinct, BFA-sensitive pools associating with peripheral IC, pclC, or Golgi membranes, respectively (Fig. 6A). COPI overlaps with GFP-Rab1A in the more compact regions of the pclC, but is not readily detected along the tubules extending from it (Fig. 6A). Strikingly, the KDEL-receptor (KDEL-R) and p58 – transport receptors which cycle at the ER-Golgi boundary in COPI-dependent fashion (Girod et al., 1999) – displayed differential distributions in the pericentrosomal region. Unlike p58, which is enriched in punctate IC elements in this area (Fig. 6B), the KDEL-R colocalizes with Rab1A in the tubular network (Fig. 6C). The latter maintains its localization in BFA-treated cells (Fig. 6C, insets), whereas p58 relocates to peripheral IC elements and the ER (Fig. 6B; Saraste and Svensson, 1991).
Interestingly, the pclC contains distinct pools of the golgins GM130 (Figs. 6D and G) and p115 (Fig. S1B), Rab1 effectors implicated in homotypic fusion of IC elements and Golgi biogenesis (Sztul and Lupashin, 2006). GM130 maintains its association with the pclC in BFA-treated cells (Figs. 6D and G) – in accordance with results showing that it contributes to centrosomal organization (Kodani & Sütterlin, 2008) – and membrane binding of p115 also remains unaffected (Sztul and Lupashin, 2006). Like Man II, TGN38, which cycles between the TGN, the endosomal system and the PM (Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006), displays a highly variable signal in the pclC area of control cells, whereas BFA leads to its accumulation at a pericentrosomal site (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1991; Ladinsky and Howell, 1992; Reaves and Banting, 1992), most likely the ERC (Figs. 6F and G; see also Fig. 8A). However, additional nocodazole treatment revealed that TGN38 partly overlaps with GFP-Rab1A (Fig. 6F), suggesting that it can also move to the pclC.

The pclC is a monensin-sensitive compartment

In contrast to control cells (Figs. 5B and 6E), shortly after addition of BFA Man II was detected in GFP-Rab1A-positive tubules connecting Golgi elements with the pclC (Fig. 6E, BFA 5 min), or extending from the latter towards the cell periphery (Fig. 6E, inset), indicating that the pclC acts as a way station during the transfer of Man II to the ER (Fig. 6E; BFA 30 min). The separation of the pclC from the Golgi allowed us to map the site where neutralization of acidic compartments affects the BFA-induced back-flow of Golgi components (Palokangas et al., 1998; Barzilay et al., 2005). Notably, Man II was partly arrested in the pclC in the additional presence of monensin (Fig. 6E), providing evidence that the pclC maintains a low lumenal pH, which could facilitate its function in post-ER sorting events (see Fig. 10).

The IC maintains its dynamic properties in BFA-treated cells

Since previous studies (Sannerud et al., 2006) and the above data suggested that the IC maintains its dynamics in BFA-treated cells, we used spinning disk CM to follow GFP-Rab1A dynamics at various times (30-90 min) after drug addition. Indeed, the peripheral IC elements residing close to ERES (Fig. 7B) continue to communicate with the pclC via narrow tubules that either create
transient connections, or bud off, move towards the pcIC and merge with it. These events, together with the recruitment of GFP-Rab1A at the exit sites, can explain the oscillation of their fluorescent signals (Fig. 7A and C; Movie 10), similar to that seen in control cells (Sannerud et al., 2006). In addition, dynamic tubules continue to connect peripheral IC structures and also move to the cortical regions of the cell, giving rise to a widespread IC network (Figs. 7D and E; Movies 11 and 12). The dynamic features of the IC remained unchanged at ~90 min after BFA addition, the last time point recorded (data not shown).

**A pericentrosomal membrane system consisting of pcIC and ERC**

We next studied the relation to the pcIC with the Rab11-containing ERC, which in many cell types converges around the centrosome (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004). In control cells expressing a separate, GFP-Rab1A-positive pcIC below the nucleus, the ERC is found at the same location, typically showing tight clustering around the centrosome (Fig. 8A). After BFA treatment these compartments not only maintain their spatial connection, but increase their overlap due to preferential expansion of the ERC (Fig. 8A). Whereas the ERC maintains its relatively compact appearance, the pcIC extends tubules towards the cell periphery (see Fig. 7). The absence of Rab11 from these tubules suggested that the compartments remain as separate entities.

To reveal a possible functional link between the IC and the endosomal system, we first employed transferrin (Tf), a commonly used reporter of endocytic recycling. After its uptake into sorting endosomes, Tf is partly transported to the ERC from which it returns to the PM still bound to its receptor (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004). Accordingly, following 60 min internalization fluorescent Tf was found both in peripheral endosomes and the ERC of the GFP-Rab1A-expressing cells (Fig. 8B). Whereas only a minor overlap was seen in control cells, BFA-treatment resulted in redistribution of Tf to the Rab1A-positive pcIC and the associated tubular system (Fig. 8B). Thus, in contrast to earlier studies concluding that Tf employs the tubular endosomal network during its recycling in BFA-treated NRK and HeLa cells (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1991; Tooze and Hollinshead, 1992), the present results implicate the IC in this process. We propose that by affecting
clathrin-mediated recycling of Tf from endosomes to the PM (Stoorvogel et al., 1996; van Dam et al., 2002), BFA causes its accumulation in the ERC and subsequent transfer via the pclIC to the tubular IC network. Since the kinetics of recycling is not affected either by BFA (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1991) or other inhibitors of clathrin function (Bennett et al., 2001), Tf evidently can utilize the BFA-resistant, Rab1A-mediated pathway (Sannerud et al., 2006) for its retrieval to the PM. A prerequisite for this unexpected journey is that Tf remains bound to its receptor, i.e. that the IC maintains an acidic lumenal pH (Palokangas et al., 1998; Appenzeller-Herzog et al., 2004).

**The pclIC operates as a way station in CFTR trafficking**

Finally, we addressed the role of the pclIC in biosynthetic trafficking of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a chloride channel, which utilizes an unconventional, apparently Golgi-independent, but still poorly understood pathway to reach the PM (Bannykh et al., 2000; Yoo et al., 2002). Since the passage of viral proteins through the pclIC is inhibited at low temperatures (Fig. 5), we examined if incubation at 15, 20 or 25°C has a similar effect on CFTR transport in stably transfected BHK cells. Whereas shift of cells to 15°C did not seem to affect CFTR localization, as compared to 37°C (Figs. 9A and C), incubation at 20 or 25°C, correlating with increased rates of protein synthesis, resulted in its accumulation in a central, Rab1-positive compartment (Figs. 9B and C). Although mostly juxtanuclear (Fig. 9B), these structures were also found below the nucleus (Figs. 9A and E), verifying that they correspond to the pclIC. To synchronize CFTR transport, we took advantage of the observation that long-term BFA-treatment results in reversible arrest of the protein in the ER (Lukacs et al., 1994; Okiyoneda et al., 2004; Fig. 9D). Localization of CFTR shortly after BFA wash-out in the presence of cycloheximide showed that it rapidly redistributes to the tubular IC network, including the centrally located pclIC (Fig. 9E). Importantly, the transient accumulation of CFTR in the pclIC coincides with its rapid re-expression at the PM, but precedes the re-assembly of the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 9F). These results show that the pclIC functions as a way station during the trafficking of CFTR to the cell surface, a process that has been suggested to involve the endosomal system (Yoo et al., 2002).
Discussion

Here we describe a novel subdomain of the IC (pcIC) by demonstrating the connection between Rab1A- and p58-positive IC elements and the centrosome. Crucial for the identification of the pcIC was the visualization of GFP-Rab1A dynamics in living cells, revealing its striking separation from the Golgi ribbon. The pcIC is a long-lived compartment equipped with transport machineries that communicates with peripheral IC elements and the Golgi stacks via two-way traffic. Thus, it can contain variable amounts of Golgi enzymes although it does not display Golgi-like, stacked morphology. Moreover, unlike the Golgi, the pcIC is resistant to BFA, persisting as the mid-point of a tubular network that connects with the cell periphery and participates in the drug-induced transfer of Golgi components to the ER. It also acts as a way station during Golgi relocation in motile cells, suggesting a role in Golgi biogenesis. Previously, based on its expansion, proposed biosynthetic functions (Sannerud et al., 2006), and functional similarity with the ERC, we introduced the term biosynthetic recycling compartment (BRC) to describe the tubular domain of the IC defined by Rab1A (Saraste and Goud, 2007). The present results showing the stable connection of the pcIC and the ERC at the cell center seem to further justify the use of this term.

The separation of the pcIC from the Golgi is coupled to cell division and motility, i.e. events that involve Golgi disassembly or relocation, but it may also have other roles in cell physiology. Of practical importance, this rearrangement of the secretory apparatus allows more precise localization of various cargo, transport machinery and signaling proteins at the IC-Golgi boundary. Based on the finding that ER-derived cargo is arrested in the pericentrosomal region at 20°C, it will also be of interest to study the relation of the pcIC/ERC system with the TGN – e.g. by investigating the localization of proteins that have been assigned as components of the latter. For example, the finding of a distinct pool COPI of coats in the pcIC that is typically located next to the Golgi ribbon – and thus is obscured by it – could explain their reported association with the TGN (Griffiths et al., 1995; Martinez-Menarguez et al., 1999).
Imaging of GFP-Rab1A dynamics in BFA-treated cells showed that the pcIC is a major target not only for COPI-containing (Stephens and Pepperkok, 2002; Lippincott-Schwartz and Liu, 2006), but also COPI-free transport carriers originating close to the ERES (Fig. 10). The latter may correspond to the ER-derived carriers that operate in the transport of GDI-anchored proteins in yeast, and depend on functional Ypt1 (Rab1) for their formation (Morsomme and Riezman, 2002).

The pcIC also extends tubules that frequently establish transient continuities with the cis-Golgi or peripheral ERES. Some of the tubules contain the KDEL-R, suggesting that they recycle proteins back to the ER (Simpson et al., 2006). The function of the pcIC as a crossroads station in secretory trafficking (Fig. 10) can also explain the effect of low temperature on the passage of cargo through this compartment (Kuismanen and Saraste, 1989). Moreover, the binding of COPI coats to the pcIC underscores its function in molecular sorting.

Based on the present results, the IC could be seen as an autonomous organelle whose sorting and transport activities create the functional boundary between the ER and the Golgi apparatus. The segregation of forward-directed molecules from recycled components within the IC network could be achieved via multiple sorting and transport steps (Fig. 10) involving different COPI coats, Rab proteins, fusion factors (tethers and SNAREs) and molecular motors. The coiled coil proteins GMAP-210 and Hook3, which have been proposed to attach cis-Golgi membranes to the minus ends of MTs (Walenta et al., 2001; Rios et al., 2004), are candidates to anchor the pcIC to the pericentrosomal region. Notably, the latter maintains its juxtanuclear localization after BFA-treatment (Walenta et al., 2001). The pcIC-centrosome connection further implies that transport from peripheral ERES via the pcIC to the cis-Golgi requires both dynein and kinesin motors (Fig. 10), which associate with the IC membranes (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 2000; Allan et al., 2002).

Reinforcing early ideas on a dual role of COPI in forward transport and recycling (Bannykh et al., 1998; Lowe and Kreis, 1998), we propose that transport from pcIC to cis-Golgi depends on these coats (Fig. 10), possibly coinciding with a key step in Golgi biogenesis that is regulated by Rab1 (Haas et al., 2007). Although many proteins require COPI function for ER exit (Altan-Bonnet et al.,
inhibition of this novel anterograde transport step may be a major reason for Golgi disassembly in BFA-treated cells, leading to COPI-independent transfer of its components to the pcIC (Fig. 10). However, the BFA-induced backflow of Golgi proteins to the ER may not exclusively occur via the pcIC, but also involves ERES located close to the Golgi stacks (Fig. 10). Direct communication between the Golgi and such nearby ERES, occurring in a MT-independent fashion or involving Golgi-nucleated, more stable MTs, could explain the redistribution of the Golgi during the depolymerization of MTs, as well as contribute to the maintenance of the integrity of this organelle as it becomes separated from the centrosome and the pcIC/ERC.

The existence of at least three biochemically distinct COPI coats in mammalian cells (Wegmann et al., 2004) correlates with COPI association with peripheral IC, pcIC and cis-Golgi (Golgi stack) membranes (Fig. 10). The operation of COPI isotypes and COPI-independent pathways allows molecules to employ alternative routes, as exemplified by the differential localization of p58 and the KDEL-R in BFA-treated cells. Evidently, p58 can recycle from the pcIC and cis-Golgi after coat disassembly, accumulating in the peripheral IC at ERES, since its forward transport requires COPI function (Saraste and Svensson, 1991; Ward et al., 2000). By contrast, the KDEL-R maintains its colocalization with Rab1 in the drug-treated cells, apparently due to its ability to cycle between the peripheral IC and pcIC in a COPI-independent manner. The COPI vesicles found in the Golgi area, containing the KDEL-R or p58/ERGIC-53 (Griffiths et al., 1994; Orci et al., 1997; Klumperman et al., 1998; Martinez-Menarguez et al., 1999), could also operate in the transfer of these proteins from the pcIC to the cis-Golgi.

Finally, our results reveal a novel spatial organization of the secretory pathway by placing the Rab1A-containing pcIC next to the Rab11-positive ERC that typically converges around the centrosome. This pericentrosomal membrane system evidently communicates with the cis- and trans sides of the Golgi stacks (Fig. 10, inset; Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006; present results) and, based on GFP-Rab1A dynamics and the localization of Golgi proteins, this communication is not prevented, but may be modulated, when these compartments move away from the Golgi ribbon.
The BFA-resistant juxtaposition of the pcIC and ERC raises the possibility that they establish stable membrane contact sites that facilitate the exchange of ions, lipids or sterols (Levine, 2004). Moreover, our results on CFTR trafficking and transferrin recycling indicate that these compartments are connected via membrane traffic.

Regarding biosynthetic trafficking, studies of the yeast *S. cerevisiae* have revealed functional links between Ypt1 and Ypt31/32, the yeast counterparts of mammalian Rab1 and Rab11 (Yang et al., 1998; Sacher et al., 2008). For example, two conserved tethers (TRAPPI and TRAPPII) have been implicated as GEFs during the activation of Ypt1 (Rab1) and Ypt31/32 (Sacher et al., 2008; Yamasaki et al., 2009). Interestingly, mammalian Bet3p – one of the common subunits of these complexes that acts in ER-Golgi trafficking – localizes in NRK cells to a BFA-resistant juxtanuclear structure (Yu et al., 2006), which most likely corresponds to the pcIC described here.

Direct connection(s) between the IC and the endosomal system (Fig. 10, inset) could explain the transport of cholesterol and certain types of membrane proteins to the PM even in the presence of BFA (Urbani and Simoni, 1990; Marie et al., 2008), since the dynamics of the IC (Sannerud et al., 2006; present results) and the endocytic apparatus (Klausner et al., 1992; Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006) remain largely unaffected by this drug. Future studies employing live cell imaging, electron microscopy and biochemical methods should provide further insight into the structure and function of this novel pericentrosomal membrane system in different cell types and physiological conditions, as well as its role during cell division.
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Figure legends

**Figure 1. Characterization of the GFP-Rab1A-expressing cells.** (A) Proteins in the post-nuclear supernatants, prepared from parental NRK cells and the cells stably expressing low levels of GFP-Rab1A, were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using antibodies against GM130 (loading control), Rab1 and GFP. Note the detection of the 51 kDa fusion protein (arrow) only in latter cells, and the two additional bands (asterisks) in both lysates that react non-specifically with anti-GFP. (B) The GFP-Rab1A-expressing cells were stained with anti-Rab1 and anti-rabbit IgG coupled to Texas Red. These maximum intensity projections show the normal appearance of the Golgi ribbon, and the overlap between the green and red signals. Bar: 10 µm. See also Movie 1.

**Figure 2. Identification of the pcIC.** Panels (A-C) and (D-G) show the parental and stable GFP-Rab1A expressing NRK cells, respectively. (A) Endogenous Rab1 localizes to peripheral IC (black arrowheads), cis-Golgi region (arrows), and centrally located membrane clusters (pcIC; white arrowheads). (B) Quantification showing that these novel clusters are readily detected in subconfluent (60-70%) cells, as compared to confluent (~100%) cultures (n = 1100). (C) Double staining for Rab1 and β-tubulin revealing their connection with the MTOC (inset, white arrowhead). The insets show the co-alignment of pcIC tubules with MTs (inset, black arrowhead). (D) The location of the pcIC next to the Golgi ribbon (left), or under the nucleus (right) is linked to that of the centrosome marked by γ-tubulin (n = 115). The locations of the XZY projections (lower panels) are indicated by the dashed lines in the upper panels (XYZ). (E) The central location of the pcIC is maintained in NOC-treated cells (n = 20), as shown by triple imaging of GFP-Rab1A, the duplicated centrosome (γ-tubulin), and β-tubulin (cytosolic). (F) Dynamics of GFP-Rab1A-positive membranes in BFA-treated cells was recorded by spinning disk CM (Movie 2), starting at the time of drug addition (time = min:sec). Note the dispersal of the juxtanuclear “cis-Golgi reticulum” (white arrowhead), the persistence of the central pcIC (white arrows), and ongoing communication between the two locations (black arrowheads). (G) Colocalization of the pcIC and the centrosome (γ-tubulin) in cells treated for 30 min with BFA. Bars: 20 µm (A); 10 µm (C-G).
Figure 3. **Time-lapse CM shows the mobility of the pcIC.** (A and B) Selected images from Movies 3 and 5, respectively (time = hr:min). (A) Reversible detachment of the pcIC from the Golgi ribbon, which is coupled with its expansion (n = 7). (B) The mobile pcIC acts as an intermediate during Golgi repositioning in a migrating cell (n = 8). The original position of the nucleus is indicated in the last panel. Bars: 10 µm.

Figure 4. **Role of the pcIC in pre-Golgi membrane dynamics.** (A-D) The movements of pleiomorphic IC elements were recorded by spinning disk CM. (A) and (B) show selected images from Movies 6 and 7 (time = sec) of GFP-Rab1A-expressing cells, in which the pcIC is either masked or unmasked by the Golgi, respectively. The last panels show time projections, highlighting the paths of tubular elements that move from the peripheral ERES towards the pcIC (asterisks). The tracks of individual tubules are indicated by arrowheads (images) and plain lines (time projections). In (A) the tubule changes direction as it shifts from one MT track to another (black arrowhead). In (B) the tubule originating at a peripheral ERES (black arrowhead) moves directly towards the pcIC. (C) and (D) show the regions indicated in (B) at higher magnification. In (C) “vesicular” (upper row, white arrowheads) and tubular (lower row, black arrowheads) elements move directly towards and join the Golgi, whereas in (D) two-way communication between the pcIC and the Golgi ribbon takes place via similar structures. (E) The formation of transient tubular continuities (arrows) between the pcIC and the Golgi ribbon. These images (see Movie 8) show the breakage (black arrowheads) and rapid reformation (last frame, arrow) of such connections. The white arrowheads denote a tubule protruding from the pcIC that suddenly retracts. The inset (asterisk = pcIC) corresponds to Movie 9, showing the formation of numerous tubular pcIC-Golgi continuities (white arrowheads). (F) Selected images from two movies (the upper panels are from Movie 4), documenting bidirectional movement of boluses (white arrowheads) along the pcIC-Golgi connections. (G) A short tubule (white arrowheads) pinches off from a pcIC tubule (arrows), and moves towards a peripheral site (ERES; black arrowhead). (H) Time projection of successive images from a movie (not shown) on an expanding, polygonal pcIC network. (J) A pre-existing pcIC tubule (white arrows) grows in length due to fusion (black arrowhead) of an incoming tubule (white arrowheads). Bars: 10 µm, except in F: 5 µm.
Figure 5. Role of the pclC in ER-Golgi trafficking. (A) Localization of SFV ts-1 membrane proteins in infected GFP-Rab1A-expressing cells, which were first incubated at 39°C (3.5 hr) and then shifted to 28°C (30 min), 20°C (2 hr), or 15°C (2 hr) in the presence of cycloheximide. At 39°C the virus proteins are absent from the pclC, but enter this compartment after the temperature shifts, partially overlapping with Rab1A. At 15°C the proteins are also detected in the tubules connecting the pclC and the Golgi ribbon (left panels, white arrowhead), but not in ones that extend from the pclC to the cell periphery (right panels). (B) Localization of mannosidase II to the pclC and the Golgi stacks in GFP-Rab1A-expressing control cells and cells treated for 60 min with cycloheximide. (C) Quantification (n = 35) showing that the protein is selectively, but only partially, depleted from the pclC (see also the insets in B) in response to cycloheximide. Bars: 10 µm, except A (15°C): 5 µm.

Figure 6. The mobility of the pclC facilitates protein localization. Control NRK cells expressing GFP-Rab1A, and cells treated for different times (5 or 30 min) with BFA, were stained with antibodies against β-COP (A), p58 (B), KDEL-R (C), GM130 (D), mannosidase II (E) and TGN38 (F). In addition, the right panels in (E) and (F) show the localizations of mannosidase II and TGN38 in cells treated for 30 min with a combination of BFA + monensin or BFA + nocodazole, respectively. (G) Determination of the percentage of cells showing pericentrosomal labeling for GM130, mannosidase II and TGN38 (n = 250). Note that the cells were simply scored as positive or negative, irrespective of the intensity of the fluorescent signals, which were highly variable. Bars: 10 µm.

Figure 7. The IC maintains its dynamics after Golgi disassembly. (A) Live cell imaging by spinning disk CM shows the movements of GFP-Rab1A-positive IC elements in cells treated for 40 min with BFA. Selected images from Movie 10 are shown (time = sec). Narrow tubules (black arrows) that extend from peripheral sites (ERES; white arrows) move towards and join the pclC. The inset shows a stationary IC element (white arrowheads) transforming into a mobile tubule (black arrowheads) that merges with the pclC. Note reappearance of the GFP signal at the original location. (B) Peripheral IC elements (white arrowheads) in BFA-treated cells (30 min) localize close to the ERES marked by the COPII coat subunit mSec13. (C) Recruitment of GFP-Rab1A to
peripheral IC elements is not affected by BFA (40 min), as shown by the oscillation of their GFP signals (n = 17). (D and E) In BFA-treated cells (45 min) a tubular network extends from the pclC towards the cell periphery (Movie 11). The tubules interconnect “globular” IC elements (E) and are also found close to the PM. See also Movie 12. (E) A tubule (white arrowheads) passes through two neighbouring globular IC elements (black arrowheads). Bars: 10 µm (A, B and D); 2 µm (E).

**Figure 8. The pclC and ERC constitute a stable pericentrosomal membrane system.** A. NRK cells expressing GFP-Rab1A were stained with antibodies against Rab11, an ERC marker. Note partial colocalization of Rab1A and Rab11 under the nucleus in control cells (upper panels) and the increased overlap of the pclC and the expanded ERC in cells treated for 30 min with BFA (lower panels). B. Comparison of the localizations of GFP-Rab1A and transferrin. Note the distinct distributions of the two proteins in control cells (upper panels), except for some overlap in the pericentrosomal area and peripheral IC elements (insets). By contrast, the two markers show extensive colocalization in BFA-treated cells, including the pclC, the tubular IC network, and peripheral IC elements (upper insets). Bars: 10 µm.

**Figure 9. The pclC acts in CFTR trafficking.** A-C. Localization of HA-tagged CFTR and endogenous Rab1 in BHK cells kept at 37°C or shifted for 3 hr to 15, 20 or 25°C. A. Note the weak colocalization of the proteins in a control (37°C) cell expressing a separate pclC, and the lack of concentration of CFTR in the Golgi area. B. After 25°C incubation the proteins show considerable overlap in a juxtanuclear structure. C. Percentage of cells showing colocalization of CFTR and Rab1 at the different temperatures. D and E. Localization of CFTR and Rab1 after 3 h BFA treatment (D) and 30 min after drug removal in the presence of cycloheximide (E). Note the ER-like pattern of CFTR after the BFA-treament and its transfer to the Rab1-positive pclC below the nucleus after BFA wash-out. The location of the XZY projection is shown by the dashed line in the XYZ image. F. The percentage of cells showing colocalization of Rab1 and CFTR (△), expression of CFTR at the cell surface (○), or compact, juxtanuclear, mannosidase II-positive Golgis (□) was determined at different times after BFA wash-out.
Figure 10. Pathways of membrane traffic at the ER-Golgi boundary. Based on the identification of the pIC, localization of marker proteins, and imaging of IC dynamics in control and BFA-treated cells, a working model is presented for forward transport and membrane recycling at the ER-Golgi boundary. The different transport steps are numbered and the BFA-sensitive steps are indicated. The centrosome with its radiating MTs (red) and the distinct pools of COPI coats (green) are shown in colour. Steps ① and ②: Forward transport from peripheral IC to the pIC involves MT-based centralization of COP-dependent and –independent carriers. Step ③: Membrane recycling from the pIC to peripheral IC/ERES can also occur in a COPI-independent manner. Forward transport (step ①) and membrane recycling (step ③) at the pIC-Golgi boundary involve COPI-dependent and -independent pathways, respectively. Step ④: Two-way ER-Golgi traffic may also take place between Golgi stacks and nearby ERES. In addition to steps ③ and ④, this transport step (④) and dynamic, possibly actin-mediated, connections between peripheral IC elements (step ⑥) could contribute to BFA-induced redistribution of Golgi components to the ER, and also explain their MT-independent redistribution to peripheral IC/ERES. The inset shows a model proposing bidirectional communication between the pIC and the ERC. Putative clathrin coats operating in transport between the ERC and trans-Golgi are shown in blue colour. See the text for further discussion.