Protein kinase CK2 phosphorylates and activates p21-activated kinase 1 (PAK1)
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Activation of the p21-activated kinase 1 (PAK1) is achieved through a conformational change that converts an inactive PAK1 dimer to an active monomer. Here, we show that this change is necessary but not sufficient to activate PAK1 and that it is, rather, required for CK2-dependent PAK1 S223 phosphorylation that converts a monomeric PAK1 into a catalytically active form. This phosphorylation appears to be essential for autophosphorylation at specific residues and overall activity of PAK1. A phosphomimetic mutation (S223E) bypasses the requirement for GTPases in PAK1 activation, whereas the constitutive activity of the PAK1 mutant (PAK1^{H83,86L}), postulated to mimic GTPase-induced structural changes, is abolished by inhibition of S223 phosphorylation. Thus, S223 is likely accessible to CK2 upon conformational changes of PAK1 induced by GTPase-dependent and –independent stimuli, suggesting that S223 phosphorylation may play a key role in the final step of PAK1 activation process. Physiological significance of this phosphorylation is reinforced by the observations that CK2 is responsible for EGF-induced PAK1 activation and that inhibition of S223 phosphorylation abrogates PAK1-mediated malignant transformation of prostate epithelial cells. Taken together, these findings identify CK2 as an upstream activating kinase of PAK1, providing a novel mechanism for PAK1 activation.

INTRODUCTION

P21-activated kinases (PAKs) are downstream effectors of the small GTPases Cdc42 and Rac, molecular switches that transduce various extracellular signals into intracellular responses (Manser et al., 1994). To date, 6 PAK family members have been identified and subdivided into two groups on the basis of their structural and biochemical features (Arias-Romero and Chernoff, 2008; Bokoch, 2003); Group I (PAK1-3) and Group II (PAK4-6). PAK1, the best-characterized member of the PAK family, is regulated by autoinhibition imposed on the C-terminal catalytic domain by the N-terminal autoinhibitory domain (AID) (Lei et al., 2000; Parrini et al., 2002). This autoinhibition is relieved upon binding of activated...
GTPases to the PBD (p21-binding domain)/CRIB (Cdc42/Rac-interacting) domain that partially overlaps with AID, leading to PAK1 activation (Morreale et al., 2000). However, Group II PAKs do not contain the AID, and thus GTPases have little effect on kinase activity (Pandey et al., 2002).

Group I PAKs are also activated by various mechanisms independent of GTPases (Field and Manser, 2012). PAK1 is recruited to the plasma membrane via the SH3-containing proteins Nck and Grb2 (Bokoch et al., 1996), where it is activated by signaling molecules such as PDK1 kinase (King et al., 2000), sphingolipids (Zenke et al., 1999) and PIP2 (Strochlic et al., 2010). PAK2 is activated through proteolytic cleavage by caspases, liberating the N-terminal autoinhibitory domain and thereby generating an active C-terminal catalytic domain (Rudel and Bokoch, 1997). PAK3 is unique among PAKs because it exists as four alternatively spliced isoforms that are activated in different manners (Kreis et al., 2008). Thus, PAKs are likely regulated by different mechanisms that operate independently.

PAK1 plays fundamental roles in various cellular processes, including actin cytoskeleton dynamics (Edwards et al., 1999), apoptosis (Vadlamudi et al., 2004), histone modification (Li et al., 2002), and cell cycle progression (Zhao et al., 2005). PAK1 does so by acting as a convergence point of many signaling pathways that are frequently dysregulated in human cancer and appears to be widely overexpressed and hyperactivated in various cancer cells (Kumar et al., 2006). Consistent with these observations, overexpression of an activated mutant form of PAK1 leads to the formation of mammary gland tumors in a transgenic mouse model (Wang et al., 2006a). However, in some cell types, including prostate cells, there is no significant difference in PAK1 mRNA expression between normal and malignant tissues (GeneCards, http://www.genecards.org), suggesting the existence of a distinct mechanism for the conversion of PAK1 from an inactive to an active form through posttranslational mechanisms in those cells.

CK2 is a ubiquitously expressed protein kinase and regulates a wide variety of biological processes including cell growth and survival, gene expression, and actin cytoskeleton organization (Meggio and Pinna, 2003). CK2 is overexpressed in cancer cells and promotes tumorigenesis by regulating the stability and/or activity of tumor suppressors and by perpetuating multiple cell survival signaling pathways. CK2 is also membrane-targeted and acts directly on actin or actin-associated proteins such as WASP (Cory et al., 2003) and CRN2 (Xavier et al., 2012), regulating actin polymerization. This study reveals that CK2 kinase plays a key role in regulating PAK1 activity. The prevailing view is that PAK1 is activated through conversion of PAK1 from an inactive dimer to an active monomer. Here, we provide evidence that this conversion is necessary but not sufficient to bring about full activation of PAK1 and that it is, rather, required for CK2-dependent PAK1 phosphorylation, enabling the kinase domain of PAK1 to be catalytically competent.
RESULTS

CK2 phosphorylates PAK1 at S223

The observations that CK2 and PAK1 both are often activated by the same external stimuli, including growth factors, and that PAK1 contains several putative CK2 phosphorylation sites (Meggio and Pinna, 2003) support a hypothesis that CK2 may directly phosphorylate and activate PAK1. To test this hypothesis, GFP-PAK1 expressed in 293T cells with or without CK2α knockdown was immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody and processed for LC-MS/MS analysis (Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure S1A). Data analysis with MASCOT and Scaffold software identified a peptide that matches \[ ^{215} \text{RDVATSPISPTE} \text{NTPPDAL}^{235} \], indicating that S223 (underlined) is modified by phosphorylation (Figure 1A, top, arrow). The observation that this phosphorylation is not detected in the peptide derived from PAK1 expressed in CK2α knockdown cells (Figure 1A, bottom) indicated that S223 phosphorylation is CK2-dependent. Consistent with this notion, S223 lies within a canonical CK2 phosphorylation site, \( \text{S/T-X-E/D/Ps} \) (Diella et al., 2008; Meggio and Pinna, 2003) and appears to be conserved in mammalian PAK1 proteins (Supplemental Figure S1B). Interestingly, however, S223 is not found in other members of the PAK family but is unique to PAK1 (Supplemental Figure S1C).

To obtain further evidence of CK2-catalyzed PAK1\(^{S223} \) phosphorylation, we infected 293T cells with lentivirus expressing GFP-PAK1 and metabolically labeled them with \(^{32}\text{P}\)-orthophosphate. Because wild type PAK1 possesses autophosphorylation activity (Manser et al., 1994), the kinase-dead (KD) PAK1 (PAK1\(^{K299R} \)) was generated with a point mutation (GST-PAK1-KD) and used for phosphorylation assays. We found that CK2α knockdown does not affect PAK1 expression but significantly decreases PAK1 phosphorylation (Figure 1B) and that phosphorylation of GFP-PAK1-KD is inhibited by treatment of the CK2 inhibitor TBB (Figure 1C). Purified CK2α was shown to phosphorylate bacterially produced wild type PAK1 protein (GST-PAK1-KD), but not mutant PAK1 protein (GST-PAK1\(^{S223A} \)-KD) (Figure 1D) \textit{in vitro}; however, this phosphorylation was also significantly inhibited by treatment of the CK2 inhibitor heparin in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1E).

PAK1 activity is critically regulated by CK2-dependent S223 phosphorylation

Given that increased PAK1 activity is associated with autophosphorylation of specific sites, including S144 and S199 (Chong et al., 2001), we examined whether S223 phosphorylation affects the kinase activity of PAK1. Our results showed that S223A mutation or CK2α knockdown inhibits phosphorylation of those residues (top panels of Figure 2A and Figure 2B) and reduces PAK1 kinase activity, as determined by \textit{in vitro} phosphorylation assay using myelin basic protein (MBP) as a substrate (bottom panels of Figure 2A and Figure 2B). We further examined the significance of PAK1\(^{S223} \) phosphorylation in cell growth and found that proliferation of human prostate RWPE-1 (Figure 2C, left) and human breast MCF10A (Figure 2C, right) cells was enhanced by expression of wild type PAK1 but
not of mutant PAK1 (PAK1$^{S223A}$). Similar observations were made in mouse NIH 3T3 and Rat1 cells (Supplemental Figure S2), suggesting that S223 phosphorylation may be a general requirement for PAK1 activation. Phosphorylation of T423 in the activation loop of PAK1 is important for PAK1 activation, but this phosphorylation does not readily occur; rather, it is catalyzed by PDK1 at the plasma membrane in a GTPase-independent manner (King et al., 2000). S223A mutation did not affect T423 phosphorylation (Figure 2A); T423A mutation also did not regulate S223 phosphorylation (Figure 2D), suggesting that S223 and T423 are phosphorylated by different signaling pathways. However, we found in comparison of the effects of S223A and T423A mutations on PAK1-induced cell invasion that S223A mutation is more severe than T423A mutation (Figure 2E and Figure 2F).

To assess directly phosphorylation state of PAK1$^{S223}$, we generated a monoclonal antibody specific for PAK1 phosphorylated at S223 (pPAK1$^{S223}$) and determined the specificity of the antibody by dot blot analysis (Figure 3A) and by Western blot analysis of S223 phosphorylation of PAK1 (WT), PAK1$^{S223A}$ and PAK1$^{S223E}$ (Figure 3B). Serum-stimulated phosphorylation of S223 was shown to be inhibited by CK2$\alpha$ knockdown (Figure 3C) or TBB treatment (Figure 3D), confirming that S223 of PAK1 is a CK2 target in vivo. We further examined the time course of PAK1 phosphorylation by CK2 and found that phosphorylation of S223 and S144 is enhanced by treatment of serum but inhibited by CK2$\alpha$ knockdown (Figure 3E) in a similar fashion (Figure 3F).

**CK2-catalyzed PAK1$^{S223}$ phosphorylation may constitute a novel mechanism for regulation of PAK1 activity**

To understand the role of PAK1$^{S223}$ phosphorylation in the regulation of PAK1 activity, we first assessed the autophosphorylation activity of the PAK1 mutant with glutamic acid substituted at S223 (PAK1$^{S223E}$). The results showed that S144 phosphorylation of PAK1$^{S223E}$ is strongly detected (Figure 4A) and, more importantly, that this phosphorylation occurs independently of CK2 (Figure 4B). Thus, S223E mutation likely mimics phosphorylation of S223, suggesting that this mutation may convert PAK1 into a constitutively active form. The binding of PAK1 to Cdc42 and Rac to the CRIB domain results in release of the autoinhibition and conversion of the dimeric PAK1 to a monomeric form (Lei et al., 2000). Therefore, a mutation of S76 (S76A), located in the CRIB domain, renders PAK1 inactive by impairing its binding to GTPases (Zhao et al., 1998). We found that the S76A mutation impairs phosphorylation of S223 and S144, but that this inhibition is abolished by the S76A/S223E double mutation (Figure 4C, pPAK1$^{S144}$). Notably, the dimer-to-monomer conversion of PAK1 was inhibited by S76A mutation, whereas it was not affected by S223A mutation (Figure 4E). Therefore, it is likely that S223 becomes available for phosphorylation upon the binding of GTPases to the CRIB domain leading to release of the autoinhibitory conformation of PAK1. In addition, we also confirmed that S223A mutation does not
impair the binding of PAK1 to Rac1 (Supplemental Figure S3A) and that CK2 does not regulate Rac1 activity (Supplemental Figure S3B).

We also examined whether S223 phosphorylation is required for PAK1 activation in a GTPase-independent manner. The H83,86L double mutation impairs the binding of PAK1 to GTPases but does not inhibit its activity, illustrating that this mutation mimics activation by GTPases and that PAK1 can also be activated in a GTPase-independent manner (Sells et al., 1997). We found that the effect of the double mutation (H83,86L) on S144 phosphorylation is abolished by the additional mutation of S223A (Figure 4D, H83,86L/S223A).

**CK2 is responsible for EGF-induced PAK1 activation**

To understand the regulation of PAK1 activity by S223 phosphorylation in cancer cell growth, we first assessed autophosphorylation of endogenous PAK1 in the prostate cancer cell line PC3. CK2α knockdown was shown to decrease phosphorylation of S144 and S199, while it did not affect PAK1 expression (Figure 5A). Recent studies show that CK2 is a component of the KSR1 (Kinase Suppressor of Ras) scaffolding complex associated with the plasma membrane that facilitates ERK cascade (Ritt et al., 2007) and that the activity of a subcellular portion of CK2 is enhanced by EGFR-ERK signaling (Ji et al., 2009). Thus, we examined the possible connection between PAK1 and EGFR-ERK-CK2 pathway by determining the deletion effect of CK2α on the PAK1 autophosphorylation in EGF-stimulated PC3 cells. The results showed that CK2α knockdown inhibits EGF-induced PAK1 phosphorylation at both S223 and S144 (Figure 5B).

PAK1 is the major isoform of PAKs expressed in most cancers (Ye and Field, 2012). The fact that S223 of PAK1 is not conserved in other PAK family members (Figure S1C) led to an idea that CK2-mediated PAK1 phosphorylation enables PAK1 to be the principal PAK isoform in cancer cells. To test the relative significance of PAKs in tumor cell growth, we investigate the effect of PAK1 and PAK2 knockdowns on the growth of the prostate cancer cell line PC3. The results indicated that knockdown of PAK1, but not of PAK2, is sufficient to inhibit the growth of PC3 cells (Figure 5C) and are consistent with the previous work that PAK1 promotes prostate tumor growth (Goc et al., 2013).

**Inhibition of S223 phosphorylation abrogates PAK1-mediated malignant transformation**

Overexpression of an activated mutant form of PAK1 leads to the stimulation of anchorage-independent growth in breast cancer cell lines (Vadlamudi et al., 2000) and is sufficient for the formation of mammary gland tumors in a transgenic mouse model (Wang et al., 2006b). To understand further the significance of S223 phosphorylation in PAK1-mediated cellular transformation, we compared the ability of wild type (GFP-PAK1) and mutant (GFP-PAK1-S223A) PAK1 proteins to transform the human
prostate epithetical cell line RWPE-1 to a tumorigenic phenotype in culture (Figure 2C left, insert). Cell proliferation was enhanced by expression of wild type PAK1 but not of mutant PAK1 (PAK1<sup>S223A</sup>), as shown in MTT (Figure 2C left,) and foci-forming (Figure 6A) assays, respectively. It was also demonstrated that, in contrast to expression of PAK1, expression of PAK1<sup>S223A</sup> is neither able to induce anchorage-independent growth of (Figure 6B) nor to confer an invasive phenotype to RWPE-1 cells (Figure 6C). To investigate the transforming activity of PAK1 in vivo, RWPE-1 cells expressing PAK1 or PAK1<sup>S223A</sup> were subcutaneously injected into the flanks of nude mice (Supplemental Figure S4), and the mice were sacrificed 6 weeks afterward. Progressive tumor growth was observed in mice injected with RWPE-1 cells expressing PAK1 but not PAK1<sup>S223A</sup> (Figure 6D and Figure 6E). Immunohistochemical analysis of the tumor tissues dissected from the mice demonstrated the expression of GFP-PAK1, the proliferation marker Ki67 and the angiogenic marker CD31 (Figure 6F), and the phosphorylation of PAK1<sup>S223</sup> (Figure 6G). These results establish for the first time that PAK1 overexpression is sufficient for the malignant transformation of epithelial prostate cells and support the view that S223 phosphorylation is critical for the transforming activity of PAK1.

DISCUSSION

The functional significance of PAK1 in cell migration and survival has long been appreciated (Kumar et al., 2006), but the underlying mechanism of PAK1 activation is not clearly understood. This is mainly due to the fact that PAK1 can be activated in response to a variety of external signals by GTPase-dependent and -independent mechanisms. The critical question in these mechanisms is how these signals are integrated to bring about efficient activation of PAK1. In this study, we provide evidence that CK2 has an important role in integrating these signals and functions as an upstream activating kinase of PAK1: (1) CK2 phosphorylates PAK1 at S223 in vivo and in vitro (Figure 1); (2) S223 phosphorylation plays a key role in the final step of the PAK1 activation process (Figure 2 - Figure 4); (3) this phosphorylation is unique to PAK1 among PAK family members, but sufficient for oncogenic conversion of PAK1 (Figure 5 and Figure 6). PAK1, as a key regulator of cell adhesion and motility, is targeted to the plasma membrane upon stimulation (Parrini et al., 2005). Once recruited, PAK1 associates with Cdc42 and Rac1 and is activated at the membrane. CK2 is ubiquitously expressed but redistributed to various cellular compartments upon stimulation (Meggio and Pinna, 2003); its recruitment to the plasma membrane is mediated by the CK2α interacting partner CKIP-1 (Canton et al., 2006). Thus, CK2-dependent PAK1 activation may provide a framework for spatiotemporal regulation of PAK1, as proposed previously (Parrini et al., 2005). Sphingosine positively regulates CK2 activity (McDonald et al., 1991) and activates PAK1 in a GTPase-independent manner (Zenke et al., 1999); moreover, sphingosine 1-
phosphate (S1P), a derivative of sphingosine, activates ERK1/2 (Catarzi et al., 2011; Maupas-Schwalm et al., 2004), which in turn phosphorylates and activates CK2 (Ji et al., 2009). These observations may support our findings that CK2 is responsible for EGF-induced PAK1 activation and the view that CK2 plays a key role in integrating both GTPase-dependent and –independent signals to regulate PAK1 activity.

The process of PAK1 activation entails two major events: (1) conversion of a PAK1 dimer to a monomer and relief of autoinhibition; (2) activation of the catalytic domain and autophosphorylation at specific residues for full activation of PAK1. A phosphomimetic mutation (S223E) bypasses the requirement for GTPases in PAK1 activation, suggesting that CK2 phosphorylation of PAK1S223 may be a key regulatory step for PAK1 activation. In addition, membrane-targeted PAK1 can be activated in the absence of stimulation of Rho-family GTPases (Lu et al., 1997), supporting the view that aberrant activation of this phosphorylation may bypass the requirement for GTPases. The PAK1 mutant (PAK1H83,86L) postulated to mimic GTPase-induced structural changes is constitutively active (Sells et al., 1997); however, the kinase activity of the activated PAK1 mutant is abolished by inhibiting S223 phosphorylation of the protein (Figure 4). Thus, S223 likely becomes available for phosphorylation by CK2 after conformational changes induced by GTPase-dependent and –independent stimuli. This notion may be supported by the observation that PAK1 contains potential phosphorylation sites that become accessible upon activation by Cdc42 or Rac (Hoffman et al., 2000). Based on our observations, we surmise that S223 phosphorylation may be important for locking PAK1 in a catalytically competent conformation and keeping it in an activate state by serving as a priming event for subsequent phosphorylation. We anticipate that a structural analysis would yield a better understanding of the exact role of S223 phosphorylation in PAK1 activation.

PAK1 phosphorylation at T423 in the activation loop in the kinase domain, which is mediated by PDK1 (King et al., 2000; Zenke et al., 1999), plays a role in stabilizing the active site (Lei et al., 2005). The tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10) dephosphorylates PIP3 (phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-phosphate), downregulating the PI3K/Akt signaling; CK2 phosphorylates PTEN and reduces PTEN activity (Al-Khoury et al., 2005; Torres and Pulido, 2001; Vazquez et al., 2000), leading to activation of PDK1 (Ruzzene and Pinna, 2010). Hence, it may be possible that, in addition to phosphorylation at S223, CK2 also regulates PAK1 activity by promoting phosphorylation of T423 via the PI3K/Akt signaling (Figure 7).

Activation of oncogenic kinases by elevated expression is a common feature of human primary tumors. However, there is no significant difference in PAK1 expression between prostate normal and cancer cells. A similar pattern of PAK1 expression is also observed in various cell types, including pancreas, kindey, lung, thymus, bone marrow and brain (GeneCards, http://www.genecards.org). These
observations suggest that hyperactivation of PAK1 in these cancer cells is not due to overexpression of PAK1, but rather due to the conversion of PAK1 to a hyperactive form, and thus strongly support our finding that this conversion is mediated by CK2-dependent PAK1 phosphorylation. It is interesting to note that PDK1 phosphorylation of PAK1 at T423 is involved in actin polymerization in normal cells (King et al., 2000), but does not significantly affect tumor cell growth (Lu et al., 2010). In addition, overexpression of the artificially activated PAK1 mutant, PAK1T423E, leads to stimulation of anchorage-independent growth in breast cancer cell lines (Vadlamudi et al., 2000) and breast carcinomas in transgenic mice (Wang et al., 2006a); however, it does not suffice to draw clinically-relevant conclusions (Kichina et al., 2010). These observations might highlight the significance of CK2 phosphorylation of S223 in regulating PAK1 activity. PAK1 overexpression appears to be sufficient to induce malignant transformation of prostate epithelial cells, but blocking PAK1S223 phosphorylation leads to growth inhibition of the tumor cells (Figure 6). These findings reinforce the view that PAK1 activation in prostate cancer cells may rely on CK2 activity. CK2 level is increased in most tumor tissues and acts as an anti-apoptotic and pro-survival agent, which confers a number of selective advantages to tumor cells. In this sense, our findings establish that PAK1 phosphorylation by CK2 may play a fundamental role in the activation and perpetuation of PAK1 oncogenic signaling, as expression of PAK1 targets is robust in cancer cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The benign prostate epithelial cell line RWPE-1 cells were grown in keratinocyte serum-free medium (K-SFM) containing bovine pituitary extract and epidermal growth factor, as described previously (Shin and Kim, 2012). The human embryonic kidney cell line 293T and the human prostate cancer cell line PC3 were cultured in RPMI 1640 or DMEM containing 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

Cell invasion

Cell invasion assay was performed using the cell invasion kit (Transwell Boyden’s chamber with Transwell® Permeable Support Inserts Coated with Cultrex® BME (basement membrane extract) Corning Costar) according to the manufacture’s instruction (Shin and Kim, 2012).

Soft agar assay

For soft agar assays, anchorage independent growth was determined in 6-well plates. A lower layer plug of 0.6% Agar solution in K-SFM containing 2 µg/ml of puromycin was prepared. This was overlaid
with 3 ml of a 0.3% agar solution also in RWPE-1 with $3 \times 10^4$ lentivirally infected cells. Cells were then placed in a 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ incubator. Colonies were allowed to grow over the course of 2 weeks. After MTT treatment for 4 h, colonies were counted under a microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti). All assays were performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

**Generation of monoclonal antibody**

Mouse studies were performed in accordance with the policies of the George Washington University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC protocol A257). Peptides based on the human PAK1 sequence (214–227; TRDVATSPI-pSer-PTEN) were synthesized by solid state synthesis, conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) (Biomatik), and used for immunization. All mice were either obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. Hybridomas were prepared in BALB/c mice according to the procedures described in (Mechetner, 2009), and mAbs were selected with indirect ELISA against peptide, peptide-KLH, and KLH. PAK1 antibody was purified from culture supernatant using protein A coupled to Sepharose beads. The columns were washed with PBS, eluted with 0.2 M glycine (pH 2.8), and neutralize.

**Lentiviral infection**

Lentiviral expression vectors for wild-type PAK1-GFP and mutant PAK1$^{S223A}$-GFP were constructed by subcloning corresponding cDNAs into pLV-puro lentiviral vector, as described previously (Shin and Kim, 2012). Lentiviral short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) specific for CK2α (NM_177559) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (MISSION® shRNA). Biologically active shRNAs were generated from the pLKO.1-puro vector, utilizing the Polymerase III U6-RNA promoter. Transfection was performed as described previously (Shin and Kim, 2012).

**Protein phosphorylation and Western blot assays**

For *in vitro* protein phosphorylation assay, GST-PAK1 proteins were harvested from *E. coli* cell extracts with Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads. After equilibrated with kinase buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.0, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 100 µM cold ATP), the beads were mixed with purified CK2 kinase (20 ng) and 0.5 µCi of $[^{32}\text{P}]$-γ-ATP and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. For *in vivo* protein phosphorylation assay, 293T cells were first starved for phosphate in phosphate-free DMEM containing 10% FBS for 2 h. The medium was again replaced with fresh phosphate-free medium and 500 µCi of $[^{32}\text{P}]$-orthophosphate per 100-mm dish and cells were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours. GST-PAK1 proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and subjected to autoradiography. Western blot analysis was performed, as described previously (Shin and Kim, 2012).
MTT cell proliferation and primary focus formation assays

For MTT cell proliferation assay, cell growth was evaluated by replacing the culture media with 200 µl of 0.5 mg/ml MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide)-media solution after incubation for 1-4 days. For primary focus formation assays, RWPE-1 cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells/well on a 6-well plate. Next day, cells were transiently transfected with 100 ng of plasmids encoding GFP-PAK1 or GFP-PAK1^{S223A}, and the appearance of PAK1-induced foci of transformed cells was quantitated after 14 days of transfection. Cell invasion was performed as described previously (Shin and Kim, 2012).

Tumor xenograft assay

All mouse studies were performed in accordance with the policies of the George Washington University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC protocol A244). Xenografts were carried out by a flank subcutaneous injection of 5- to 6-week-old athymic (nu/nu) nude mice (The Jackson Laboratories, USA) with 4 × 10^6 of RWPE-1 cells (200 µl) infected with control, GFP-PAK1, or GFP-PAK1^{S223A} plasmid (n = 8 for each group). For injection, RWPE-1 cells were suspended in a 1:1 solution of growth media Matrigel matrix. Tumor size was measured and tumor volumes were calculated using the formula: tumor volume = (W^2 × L)/2, where W is width; L is length in mm. Xenograft tumor tissues were formalin-fixed and embedded in paraffin, as described previously (Wang et al., 2006a).

Immunohistochemistry

Xenograft tumor tissues were formalin-fixed and embedded in paraaffin, as described previously (Shin and Kim, 2012). Seven-micron thick serial sections were cut from paraaffin blocks, mounted on acid-cleaned glass slides, and heated at 55°C for 60 min. After deparaffinization and rehydration, tissue section slides were blocked for endogenous peroxidase in 3% H_2O_2 in methanol for 20 minutes. Slides were incubated with 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 95-100°C for 20 min. Remove the staining container to room temperature and allow the slides to cool for 30 min. After washing, slides were incubated with 5% goat serum to reduce non-specific background staining. Finally, the slides were incubated with anti-Ki67, and CD31 antibodies. Tumor tissue images were taken by a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon, Inc., Melville, NY) and analyzed using the NIS-Elements BR software. For immunofluorescence staining, After blocking, the slides were incubated with anti-pPAK(Ser223) antibody for 2day at 4°C. After washing, they were incubated with Alexa Fluor® 594 goat anti-mouse IgG at a 1/2000 dilution for 1 h. After mounting with mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector Labs, H-1200), the cells were photographed using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Imaging America Inc, IX71 microscope).
Statistics

In all experiments, statistical significance was defined by P-values: *P<0.05, **p<0.005, ***p<0.001 (as compared with control).
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FIGURE 1: CK2 phosphorylates PAK1 on S223. (A) Mass spectrometry identification of CK2-catalyzed PAK1 phosphorylation at S223. 293T cells treated with a non-targeting shRNA (NT, top) or a CK2α-specific shRNA (shRNA, bottom) were infected with lentivirus expressing GFP-PAK1. GFP-PAK1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody, resolved by SDS-PAGE, digested with trypsin or chymotrypsin/elastase. The resulting eluted peptides were analyzed directly by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The m/z difference between y-13s (arrows) of the peptides derived from 293T cells with (bottom) or without (top) CK2α knockdown matched S223 phosphoserine. (B) Effect of CK2α knockdown on PAK1 phosphorylation in vivo. Western blot analysis of expression of GFP-PAK1-KD, CK2α, and actin in 293T cells with (sh1 and sh2) or without (NT) CK2α knockdown (WB). The 293T cells expressing GFP-PAK1-KD were metabolically labeled with [32P]-orthophosphate. GFP-PAK1-KD was immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody and analyzed by autoradiography. (C) Effect of the CK2 inhibitor TBB on PAK1 phosphorylation in vivo. 293T cells expressing GFP-PAK1-KD were treated with different concentrations of TBB, as indicated above each lane, and metabolically labeled with [32P]-orthophosphate. (D) In vitro phosphorylation of PAK1 by CK2. Bacterially expressed GST-PAK1-KD or GST-PAK1-KD-S223A was incubated with purified CK2 holoenzyme (20 ng) and
[\textsuperscript{32}P]-\gamma-ATP and analyzed by autoradiography. (E) Effect of the CK2 inhibitor heparin on PAK1 phosphorylation \textit{in vitro}. Bacterially expressed GST-PAK1-KD was incubated with purified CK2 holoenzyme (20 ng) and [\textsuperscript{32}P]-\gamma-ATP in the absence and presence of different amounts of heparin (3, 25 µg; 4, 5 µg; 5, 1 µg) for 30 min and analyzed by autoradiography.
FIGURE 2: CK2-catalyzed PAK1\textsuperscript{S223} phosphorylation is required for autophosphorylation and activity of PAK1. 293T cells were transiently transfected with wild type or mutant PAK1 protein, and cell extracts were subject to Western blot analysis with phospho-specific PAK1 antibodies. The same blots were stripped and reprobed with anti-GFP antibody to control for equal protein loading. (A) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of S144, S199, and T423 of GFP-PAK1 (WT) or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A}. The kinase activity of PAK1 (GFP-PAK1 (WT) and GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A}) was assessed by in vitro phosphorylation assay using MBP as substrate (bottom). (B) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of GFP-PAK1 expressed in 293T cells with (sh1 and sh2) or without (NT) CK2\textsuperscript{\alpha} knockdown with anti-pPAK1\textsuperscript{S144} antibody (pPAK1\textsuperscript{S144}). Western blot analysis of expression of CK2\textsuperscript{\alpha} (CK2\textsuperscript{\alpha}). Actin was used as a loading control (Actin). In vitro phosphorylation of MBP by GFP-PAK1 immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody from extracts of 293T cells with (sh1) or without (NT) CK2\textsuperscript{\alpha} knockdown (bottom). (C) MTT proliferation assays of RWPE-1 (left) and MCF 10A (right) cells. Cell viability over time was expressed as fold increase over untreated control at day 1. Error bars represent mean ± S.D (n=5). Inserts: Western blot analysis of expression of GFP-PAK1 (WT) or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A}. (D) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of T423, S223, and S199 of GFP-PAK1 (WT) or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{T423A}. (E) PAK1-induced cell invasion. The benign prostate RWPE-1 cells infected with lentivirus expressing the vector plasmid, GFP-PAK1 (WT), GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A} (S223A), or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{T423A} (T423A) were used for invasion assays.
(F) The invasion rate was determined by counting the cells that migrated through BME-coated inserts in the Transwell Boyden chamber and expressed as the percentage relative to control (vector). Each bar represents the mean ± S.D of five fields counted.
FIGURE 3: Verification of CK2-dependent PAK1$^{S223}$ phosphorylation by Western blot analysis using anti-pPAK1$^{S223}$ antibody. (A) Dot blot analysis of newly generated anti-S223 phospho-specific PAK1 monoclonal antibody (pPAK1$^{S223}$). The phospho-PAK1 peptide (214–227; TRDVATSPI-pSer-PTEN) was untreated or treated with calf intestinal phosphatase and incubated with anti-pPAK$^{S223}$ and anti-PAK1 antibodies. Signals were detected by chemiluminescence detection reagents. (B) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of S223 of PAK1 (WT), PAK1$^{S223A}$, and PAK1$^{S223E}$. (C) GFP-PAK1 expressed in 293T cells were treated with (sh1) or without (NT) CK2α knockdown. The cells were incubated for one day in the absence of serum, and then cultured in the presence (+) or absence (−) of serum for 15 min. Cell lysates were subject to Western blot analysis with anti-pPAK1$^{S223}$. (D) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of PAK1$^{S223}$ in 293T cells treated with TBB (2, 1 μM; 3, 5 μM; 4, 20 μM) with anti-pPAK1$^{S223}$ or anti-GFP antibody. (E) 293T cells with (sh1 and sh2) or without (NT) CK2α knockdown were infected with lentivirus expressing GFP-PAK1. Cells were incubated for one day in the absence of serum, and then cultured in the presence (+) or absence (−) of serum for different periods of time, as indicated above each lane, and cell extracts were subject to Western blot analysis with anti-pPAK1$^{S223}$ or anti-pPAK1$^{S144}$ antibody. The same membrane was then stripped and reprobed with anti-PAK1 antibody. (F) Densitometry analysis of panel E. The signals of pPAK1$^{S223}$ in the immunoblot were quantified by scanning densitometry and normalized to the signals of total GFP-PAK1. Each point represents the mean value of three independent assays, with error bars indicating the standard deviation.
FIGURE 4: CK2-dependent PAK1\textsuperscript{S223} phosphorylation is required for PAK1 activation by both GTPase-dependent and –independent mechanisms. (A) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of S144 of PAK1 (WT), PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A}, and PAK1\textsuperscript{S223E}. The same blots were stripped and reprobed with anti-GFP antibody to control for equal protein loading. (B) GFP-PAK1 (WT) or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223E} was expressed in 293T cells with (sh1) and without (NT) CK2α knockdown, and cell extracts were subject to Western blot analysis with anti-pPAK1\textsuperscript{S144} or anti-GFP antibody. (C) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of S144 and S223 of GFP-PAK1 (WT), GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S76A}, and GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S76A/S223E}. (D) Western blot analysis of phosphorylation of S144 and S223 of GFP-PAK1 (WT), GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{H83,86L}, or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{H83,86L/S223A}. (E) 293T cells were transiently transfected with GFP-PAK1 (WT), GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S76A}, or with GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A}, and cell extracts were resolved in 8% native PAGE gels. The immunoblots were probed with anti-GFP antibody.
FIGURE 5: CK2-dependent PAK1 phosphorylation is critical for growth of the prostate cancer PC3 cells. (A) Cell extracts of PC3 cells with (sh1) or without (NT) CK2α knockdown were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-pPAK1S144, anti-PAK1S199, anti-PAK1, anti-CK2α or anti-actin antibody. (B) PC3 cells with (sh1) or without (NT) CK2α knockdown were incubated for one day in the absence of serum, and then cultured in the presence (+) or absence (−) of EGF (100 ng/ml) for 1hr and total cell extract were subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-pPAK1S223, anti-PAK1S144, anti-CK2α or anti-actin antibody. (C) Western blot analysis of expression of PAK1 and PAK2 in PC3 cells with (sh1 and sh2) or without (NT) PAK1 or PAK2 knockdown (left) and MTT proliferation assay of the PC3 cells (right).
FIGURE 6: S223A mutation abrogates PAK1-mediated malignant transformation of prostate epithelial cells in vitro and in vivo. The benign prostate RWPE-1 cells infected with lentivirus expressing the vector plasmid, GFP-PAK1, or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A} (S223A) were used for experiments A to E. (A) Focus formation assays. Quantitative analysis of foci numbers (mean ± S.D) in week 3 (n = 4). (B) Anchorage-independent growth assay. Cells (RWPE-1) expressing GFP-vector, GFP-PAK1 (WT), or GFP-PAK1\textsuperscript{S223A} (S223A) were visualized by phase contrast (Phase) and fluorescence (GFP) microscopy. Quantitative analysis of colony numbers (mean ± S.D) in week 3 is shown (n=4). (C) Invasion assay. The invasion rate was determined by counting the cells that migrated through BME-coated inserts in the Transwell Boyden chamber and expressed as the percentage relative to control (vector). Each bar represents the mean ± S.D of five fields counted. (D) Tumor growth determined by measuring the volumes of each tumor every 7 days after injection, as described in Materials and Methods. (E) The average tumor weight (left) and the representative tumors (right) of the GFP-PAK1 (WT) group mice sacrificed 6 weeks after injection. (F and G) Tumor tissues dissected from the mice (panel E) were stained with anti-Ki67, anti-CD31, or anti-pPAK1\textsuperscript{S223} antibody. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue).
FIGURE 7: A proposed model for the role of PAK1<sub>S223</sub> phosphorylation in PAK1 activation. PAK1 activation involves conformational changes that lead to the conversion of an inactive dimeric PAK1 to an active monomeric form. This conversion, however, is not sufficient to bring about PAK1 activation; it is required for PAK1 phosphorylation at S223 by CK2. This phosphorylation is thought to lock the PAK1 kinase domain in a catalytically competent form. S223 phosphorylation does not directly affect phosphorylation of T423; however, the possibility is not excluded that CK2 might activate PDK1 by activating the PI3K/Akt signaling via PTEN and positively regulates T423 phosphorylation.